

# **Fitness for Duty Drug Testing Requirements Final Rule**

## **Backfit and Issue Finality**

CRGR Brief  
August 24, 2021

---

---

# Meeting Purpose

- Discuss final rule backfits with the CRGR
- Answer questions from the CRGR on final rule concurrence
- Obtain the CRGR endorsement of backfits

---

# Schedule

August 30	NRR Office Director provides concurrence
September 1	Final rule provided to the OEDO (concurrence due by September 15)
September 15	Final rule provided to SECY

---

# Background

- Aligning with HHS Guidelines changes in 2008 and 2017 by:
  - Lowering cutoff levels and improving methods for testing of drugs in the testing panel (enhances detection of drug use)
  - Adding substances to the drug testing panel (addresses societal drug use trends)
  - Adding a new test result review for invalid specimens to account for specimen handling conditions (donor protection)
  - Change from limit of detection (LOD) to limit of quantitation (LOQ) for validity testing
- Changing Part 26 based on lessons learned

---

# Description of Backfits

- The final rule consists of nine categories of amendments to 10 CFR Part 26
- The amendments:
  - meet the definition of “backfitting” under 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) and 70.76(a)(1), and
  - affect the issue finality of a holder of a combined license under 10 CFR Part 52 because they result in modifications to the procedures required to operate a facility

---

# 1. Lower initial and confirmatory cutoff levels for amphetamines and cocaine metabolites

- Rule changes:
  - Initial testing cutoff levels in 10 CFR 26.133
  - Initial testing cutoff levels in 10 CFR 26.163(a)(1), and confirmatory testing cutoffs levels in 10 CFR 26.163(b)(1)
- Basis for changes: 2008 HHS Guidelines (lower cutoff levels extend the time that a drug is detectable after use in a urine specimen)

---

## 2. Expand initial drug testing panel to include heroin metabolite 6-acetylmorphine (6-AM) and revise confirmatory testing cutoff level for 6-AM

- Rule changes:
  - Remove requirement in 10 CFR 26.163(b) that confirmatory testing of 6-AM only proceed after a morphine positive result, and instead
  - Add 6-AM to initial testing panels in 10 CFR 26.133 and 26.163(a)
- Basis for changes: 2008 HHS Guidelines (clinical research demonstrated that existing testing methodology missed some heroin users; the changes address these research findings)

---

### 3. Expand initial and confirmatory drug testing panels to include Ecstasy-type drugs

- Rule changes add MDMA and MDA to:
  - Initial testing panel in 10 CFR 26.133
  - Initial testing panel in 10 CFR 26.163(a), and confirmatory testing panel in 10 CFR 26.163(b)
  - Annual statistical summary reporting requirements for HHS-certified laboratories in 10 CFR 26.169(h)(3)
- Basis for changes: 2008 and 2017 HHS Guidelines (addresses societal drug use trends)



---

## 4. Expand initial and confirmatory opioid testing panel to include hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone, and oxymorphone

- Rule changes add these substances to:
  - Initial testing panel in 10 CFR 26.133
  - Initial testing panel in 10 CFR 26.163(a), and confirmatory testing panel in 10 CFR 26.163(b)
  - Annual statistical summary reporting requirements for HHS-certified laboratories in 10 CFR 26.169(h)(3)
- Basis for changes: 2017 HHS Guidelines (addresses societal drug use trends)

---

## 5. Require special analyses testing of dilute specimens and suspected subversion attempt specimens

- Rule changes to 10 CFR 26.163(a)(2):
  - Require special analyses testing of:
    - dilute specimens
    - specimens collected during suspected subversion attempts
  - Lower immunoassay response to initiate special analyses testing from 50 percent to 40 percent of the cutoff calibrator for each drug
- Basis for changes: lessons learned and subversion attempt trends (using lower testing cutoff levels extends time a drug is detectible after use)

---

## 6. Require use of limit of quantitation (LOQ) instead of limit of detection (LOD) for special analyses testing and adulterant testing of specimens

- Rule changes replace LOD with LOQ in:
  - § 26.161(c)(3) through (c)(6) for validity testing (adulterants)
  - § 26.161(f)(5) and (f)(7) for validity testing (invalid results)
  - § 26.163(a)(2) for special analyses testing for drugs
- Basis for changes: 2008 HHS Guidelines (validity testing) (LOQ provides additional donor protection on the accuracy of test results)

---

## 7. Required MRO actions for invalid validity test results (high pH, 9.0 to 9.5) and donor requests for additional testing

- Rule changes:
  - Add 10 CFR 26.185(f)(3) to require MRO to consider if specimen handling conditions (time and temperature) could cause an invalid validity test result
  - Revise 10 CFR 26.165(b)(2) to require MRO to document date and time of a donor's oral request for retesting/Bottle B testing
  - Revise 10 CFR 26.165(f)(2) to require MRO to cancel a test if a donor specimen is unavailable for retesting/Bottle B testing and order a second specimen collection without prior notice to the donor
- Basis for changes: 2008 HHS Guidelines

---

## 8. Require specimen testing even if a refusal to test is determined at the collection site (post-event tests)

- Rule change in 10 CFR 26.107(d)(5):
  - Any specimen collected must be tested at an HHS-certified laboratory
  - Previously, any specimen collected could be discarded
- Basis for change: Support root-cause evaluations of accidents

---

## 9. Implement drug testing program changes

In response to final rule changes, licensees must make one-time changes to:

- Revise FFD program policies and procedures
- Conduct training of subject personnel
- Revise contracts with HHS-certified laboratories and blind performance test sample providers
- Validate drug testing assays at licensee testing facilities (only 3 sites)

# Costs

Backfit Description*	7% Net Present Value	3% Net Present Value
1. Lower cutoff levels for amphetamine, cocaine, methamphetamine	(\$185,898)	(\$277,775)
2. Expand testing panel to include initial testing of 6-AM (and revise confirmatory testing cutoff level)	(\$935,375)	(\$1,397,666)
3. Expand initial and confirmatory testing panel to include Ecstasy-type drugs	(\$702,980)	(\$1,050,415)
4. Expand initial and confirmatory testing panel to include oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone, hydromorphone	(\$1,829,243)	(\$2,733,312)
5. Require special analyses testing of dilute specimens and specimens collected under direct observation	(\$109,322)	(\$163,353)
9. Implement one-time drug testing program changes	(\$136,936)	(\$136,936)
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>(\$3,899,754)</b>	<b>(\$5,759,457)</b>

\* No estimated costs associated with backfits 6, 7 and 8

---

# Cost-Justification Determination

- Drug testing panel changes estimated to result in 16- to 29-percent annual increase in individuals identified using illegal drugs, misusing legal drugs, or attempting to subvert the testing process
- Final rule is estimated to result in averted training costs to licensees of \$4.3 million (7% discount rate) or \$6.5 million (3% discount rate)
- Drug testing panel changes reduce likelihood individuals can subvert the testing process through temporary abstinence of drug use and improve detection of drugs
- Required special analyses testing of dilute specimens and adding special analyses testing for suspected subversion attempt specimens reduces likelihood individuals can subvert the testing process



---

# Cost-Justification Determination

- Use of LOQ instead of LOD for special analyses and validity testing increases assurance of testing precision
- Final rule enhances consistency with the HHS Guidelines, FFD program integrity, and the protection of individual rights
- Required testing of specimens collected for post-event tests when a refusal to test has been made at the collection site improves root-cause analysis of accidents

---

# Consideration of Backfitting Factors

- The staff completed the 9-point analysis of backfitting factors as required under 10 CFR 50.109(c)
- The assessment is documented in Section VIII of the Backfitting and Issue Finality Assessment (ML21111A030)
- The backfits are final

---

# Issue Finality Assessment

10 CFR 52.103 does not apply and therefore the NRC must satisfy 10 CFR 50.109 to impose the requirements on holders of combined licenses

---

# Conclusion

- The final rule satisfies 10 CFR 50.109
- The NRC staff finds that the backfits contained in the final rule, when considered in the aggregate, constitute a cost-justified substantial increase in public health and safety or the common defense and security under 10 CFR 50.109 and 10 CFR 70.76

---

# NRC Contacts

Stewart Schneider

Rulemaking Project Manger

301-415-4123

[Stewart.Schneider@nrc.gov](mailto:Stewart.Schneider@nrc.gov)

Brian Zaleski

Technical Lead

301-287-0638

[Brian.Zaleski@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Zaleski@nrc.gov)