

From: [Jean Farris](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] NRC 2018-0300 No to Expanding Categorical Exclusions
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 10:12:41 AM

Dear NRC Commissioner NRC Commissioners and Staff,

Dear NRC Commissioners and Staff:

I VEHEMENTLY oppose NRC's RECKLESSLY proposed expansion of Categorical Exclusions (published 5-7-2021).

It appears NRC wants to NEGLIGENTLY avoid legitimate controversy and, UNCONSCIONABLY, generically exclude DESPERATELY NEEDED further public input on over a dozen important and controversial impacts from the nuclear power fuel chain.

I do NOT EVER want ANY DEADLY, LIFE-THREATENING radioactive waste at ANY level released from nuclear control, to get into EASILY ACCESSIBLE regular trash and commercial recycling (PLEASE, TELL ME THAT THIS IS A JOKE!), which could EASILY make everyday household items radioactive-REALLY??? This INSANELY DEADLY proposal could do that, despite decades of public opposition to "below regulatory concern" (BRC), "very low-level waste" (VLLW), and other generic exemptions from 10 CFR 20.2002 regulations.

I do NOT EVER want even DANGEROUS hotter nuclear waste to go to ANY "low-level" waste sites.

I DO DESPERATELY WANT continued and GREATLY increased surveillance of ALL closed uranium mills!

I WANT INCREDIBLY more, not less, input on CRUCIAL storage and transport cask designs.

I WANT more input, not less, on decommissioning plans and funding assurance requirements for ALL reactors, ALL uranium facilities and the proposed Consolidated "Interim" Storage facilities.

I DO NOT EVVER want NRC to EVEN THINK TO improperly reclassify VILE actions which actually cause LIFE-THREATENING, significant environmental consequences SIMPLY to NEGLIGENTLY avoid environmental review.

I DO NOT want NRC to INSANELY assume there are no impacts from the IMMORAL numerous exclusions listed in the VILE, DEADLY advanced notice of proposed rulemaking. This REPREHENSIBLE proposal TOTALLY violates the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

NRC has not "provide(d) a reasoned explanation for the change(s)," and each AND EVERY ONE of them deserves its own RIGOROUS environmental impact statement, not an exemption!

DO NOT expand categorical exclusions. NRC should IMMEDIATELY scrap this CATASTROPHIC proposal and ONLY focus on SIGNIFICANTLY reducing exclusions already allowed.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jean Farris
2411 Vine Street
Orlando, FL 32806