

**From:** [Susan Gordon](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] NRC 2018-0300 No to Expanding Categorical Exclusions  
**Date:** Thursday, July 22, 2021 12:10:06 PM

---

Dear NRC Commissioner NRC Commissioners and Staff,

Dear NRC Commissioners and Staff:

The Multicultural Alliance for a Safe Environment is opposed to the NRC's proposed expansion of Categorical Exclusions (published 5-7-2021).

It is extremely important that frontline communities, those most impacted by radioactive materials, be informed and involved with all decisions that can negatively impact their lands, air and waters.

It appears NRC wants to avoid legitimate controversy and generically exclude further public input on over a dozen important and controversial impacts from the nuclear power fuel chain.

I do NOT want radioactive waste at any level released from nuclear control, to get into regular trash and commercial recycling, which could make everyday household items radioactive. This proposal could do that, despite decades of public opposition to "below regulatory concern" (BRC), "very low-level waste" (VLLW), and other generic exemptions from 10 CFR 20.2002 regulations.

I do NOT want even hotter nuclear waste to go to "low-level" waste sites.

I DO WANT continued and increased surveillance of closed uranium mills.

I WANT more, not less, input on storage and transport cask designs.

I WANT more input, not less, on decommissioning plans and funding assurance requirements for reactors, uranium facilities and the proposed Consolidated "Interim" Storage facilities.

I DO NOT want NRC to improperly reclassify actions which actually cause significant environmental consequences to avoid environmental review.

I DO NOT want NRC to assume there are no impacts from the numerous exclusions listed in the advanced notice of proposed rulemaking.

This proposal violates the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

NRC has not "provide(d) a reasoned explanation for the change(s)," and each of them deserves its own environmental impact statement, not an exemption.

DO NOT expand categorical exclusions. NRC should scrap this proposal and focus on reducing exclusions already allowed.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,  
Susan Gordon  
PO Box 4524  
Albuquerque, NM 87196