

Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency

2. Subdivision or Office

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NMSS

3. Rule Title

Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule Non-major Rule

6. Final Rule Other Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes No N/A

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)

Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant

Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other
(Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes No

Submitted by: Eugene Dacus 9/23/99 (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



Yes No N/A

- A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits? Yes No N/A
- B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency
 - 1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? Yes No N/A
 - 2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? Yes No N/A
- C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)? Yes No N/A
- E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?
 - E.O. 13132, Federalism Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks Yes No N/A
 - Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) Yes No N/A



41217

Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency

2. Subdivision or Office

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NMSS

3. Rule Title

Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule Non-major Rule

6. Final Rule Other Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes No N/A

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)

Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant

Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other
(Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes No

Submitted by: Eugene Dacus 9/23/21 (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



Yes No N/A

- A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits? Yes No N/A
- B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency
 - 1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? Yes No N/A
 - 2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? Yes No N/A
- C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)? Yes No N/A
- E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?
 - E.O. 13132, Federalism Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks Yes No N/A
 - Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) Yes No N/A





41217



Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Representatives GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	2. Subdivision or Office NMSS
---	----------------------------------

3. Rule Title
Extending the Duration of the AP1000 Design Certification

4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if applicable) 3150-AK56

5. Major Rule Non-major Rule

6. Final Rule Other Direct Final Rule

7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public comments? Yes No N/A

8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)
 Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other
(Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)

9. Effective Date (if applicable) 75 days after publication

10. Is a concise Summary of the Rule provided? Yes No

Submitted by: David L. Decker Digitally signed by David L. Decker Date: 2021.09.20 11:20:23 -04'00' (signature)

Name: Eugene Dacus

Title: Director

Office of Congressional Affairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: _____

Committee of Jurisdiction: _____



41217



Yes No N/A

- A. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits? Yes No N/A
- B. With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency
 - 1. certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? Yes No N/A
 - 2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)? Yes No N/A
- C. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- D. With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)? Yes No N/A
- E. Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995? Yes No N/A
- F. Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?
 - E.O. 13132, Federalism Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform Yes No N/A
 - E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks Yes No N/A
 - Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify) Yes No N/A

