

From: [Amy Rosmarin](#)
To: [Burnell, Scott](#); [Liu, Tilda](#); [Williams, Kevin](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Question from attendee at the 3/9/21 webex meeting with Public Watchdogs
Date: Friday, March 12, 2021 9:36:36 AM

Dear Scott Burnell,

I was on the March 9, 2021 NRC Petition Review Board webex meeting with Public Watchdogs. Thank you for inviting members of the public to submit questions to you. I am a concerned resident living near a nuclear facility.

Please answer the following questions.

1. What is meant by “not credible” and where is it defined in 10 CFR or elsewhere? This term is used in numerous licensee submittals and part of Final Safety Analysis Reports and Safety Evaluation Reports.
2. What process does the NRC use to establish that an event is “not credible”?
3. What “not credible” events have occurred at an NRC licensed facility? Please provide a list referenced by ML number of all occurrences at nuclear facilities where a “not credible” event occurred.
4. What is the origin of the use by the NRC of the term “not credible”? Also, in what context and when was it first used in a licensing document by the NRC?
5. In a letter to Public Watchdogs, the NRC stated that “concerns expressed in this [Public Watchdogs’] petition were previously evaluated or considered in NRC staff licensing reviews.” Please supply the analysis supporting this statement as well as the analysis of the impact of such an event on people and the environment.
6. Is MD 8.8 a legal requirement and part of the regulations?
7. Please cite the regulation that prohibits questions from the public to the NRC Petition Review Board and prohibits the NRC from responding to questions by a 2.206 petitioner.

Thank you,

Amy Rosmarin