

From: [cletus.stein](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:38:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is a moral issue. May God reward you according to your care for God's earth and people, our brothers and sisters!

Sincerely,
cletus stein
5113 SW 16th Ave
Amarillo, TX 79106

From: [Nina Kornstein](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:26:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon's petition is extremely unwise and risky. The NRC must stand by its own statutory and regulatory requirements and reject this petition.

Sincerely,
Nina Kornstein
59 Flanagan Dr
Framingham, MA 01701

From: [Maurine Canarsky](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:10:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is an appalling demonstration of corporate irresponsibility and greed. Nuclear power is poison. Remember what it takes to maintain or decommission these deadly forces. Don't put

us all at risk. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Maurine Canarsky
1977 SE 22nd Ave
Portland, OR 97214

From: [Deborah Filipelli, PhD](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:07:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Protect the public and reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo).

Sincerely,
Deborah Filipelli, PhD
the sea ranch, CA

From: [marilyn blen](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:53:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Renewable energy has got to be our future or there will be no future for our grandkids and maybe not even us elders.

Sincerely,
marilyn blen
14665 NW Oak Ridge Rd
Yamhill, OR 97148

From: [MICHAEL LETENDRE](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:45:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Oppose this divestment. It's just a way for Exelon to absolve themselves of liability after they've tapped out all the welfare of the system.

Sincerely,
MICHAEL LETENDRE
140 Cass St Unit B
Portsmouth, NH 03801

From: [Betty Ramsey](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:36:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We need to be moving to clean energy. We still can't recycle nuclear waste and every day the aging plants are in service is one more day closer to bigger problems.

Sincerely,
Betty Ramsey
8426 Drop Camp St
Las Vegas, NV 89123

From: [Lauren Steiner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:56:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear is not the answer when we can get abundant cheap power from renewables.

Sincerely,
Lauren Steiner
14 Ebby Ridge
Fairview, NC 28730

From: [Deborah DeRosa](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:29:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

The public safety should be your TOP PRIORITY & this is not in the public interest in any way !!!

Sincerely,
Deborah DeRosa
3610B Friday Ave
Everett, WA 98201

From: [Robin Patten](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:59:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I hope you agree.

Sincerely,
Robin Patten
4301 S Bryant Ave #131
Oklahoma City, OK 73115

From: [Mike Conlan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:56:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

MORON!

Sincerely,
Mike Conlan
6421 139th Pl NE Apt 52
Redmond, WA 98052

From: [Saran K.](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:59:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

It has been more than 40 years that radioactive waste could be made safe, don't add to the problem.

Sincerely,
Saran K.
1710 Bagley Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90035

From: [Heidi Dhivya Berthoud](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:58:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Its time to reckon with the decisions of the past, admit they are misguided, and move onto making wiser decisions. It seems that finding a way to decommission the nukes is in order.

Exelon should be responsible for that.

Sincerely,
Heidi Dhivya Berthoud
366 Wyland Rd
Buckingham, VA 23921

From: [Carol Sills](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:54:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

NRC must refuse this re-licensing proposal, on the simple grounds stated in the final three paragraphs - as well as declared throughout this petition!

Sincerely,
Carol Sills
2397 Old Lime Kiln Rd
Baileys Harbor, WI 54202

From: [David Bezanson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:35:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon has profited from decades of government subsidies and liability waivers. It has a responsibility to pay for the costs of decommissioning, demolition, and multi-millenia storage

of fissile trash. The Spin off lacks the resources to handle this.

Sincerely,
David Bezanson
41 Grandview St.
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: [Brandt Mannchen](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:20:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

It's time to shutdown all 23 aging, unsafe, and economically unsound nuclear reactors and not hedge fund invest them in SpinCo. We do not need more subsidized and unsafe working

conditions, operation, and maintenance at nuclear reactors.

Sincerely,
Brandt Mannchen
20923 Kings Clover Ct
Humble, TX 77346

From: [Charlie Donnes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:08:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Passing billions in unfunded risk to a worthless entity is EFFECTIVELY PASSING THE LIABILITY AND RISK TO THE PUBLIC, which is the gist of the deal: If there was no risk

or minimal risk, THERE WOULD BE NO REASON FOR THIS.

Sincerely,
Charlie Donnes
2211 Spruce St
Billings, MT 59101

From: [Jesse Greenman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:42:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Hi. I have objected to nuclear power plants since first learning that PG&E in California was planning to build one on AN EARTHQUAKE FAULT. That plant was Diablo Canyon, whose

energy would be "too cheap to meter," according to the marketing slogan.

Sincerely,
Jesse Greenman
586 62nd St
Oakland, CA 94609

From: [Larry Blood](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:37:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This all looks like a seriously bad idea. Again, you should reject the transfer.

Sincerely,
Larry Blood
128 Anderson St
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: [Karen Blum](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:36:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please dismiss the application. It is not viable. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Karen Blum
7003 Spruce Hill Cir
West Chester, OH 45069

From: [Emery Goff](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:24:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is a clear invitation to disaster.

Sincerely,
Emery Goff
75 State St Unit 159
Portland, ME 04101

From: [Dennis Hoerner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:22:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear power plants are the worst possible way to provide energy. This proposed spin-off is lacking in so many fundamental requirements and would ultimately compound all the dangers

associated with nuclear power. Don't let it happen!

Sincerely,
Dennis Hoerner
1374 E 23rd Ave
Eugene, OR 97403

From: [Sherrill Futrell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:06:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Thank you for protecting our future.

Sincerely,
Sherrill Futrell
151 Inner Cir
Davis, CA 95618

From: [Hilary Young](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:01:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I've never heard of ANYTHING so dangerous and irresponsible!!

Sincerely,
Hilary Young
706 N Alvarado St., Apt 402
Los Angeles, CA 90026

From: [George Madaraz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:53:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This petition is an attempt to skirt their responsibility to remove radioactive waste and to dismantle and dispose of aged out nuclear power plants.

SpinCo is a sham, and should be immediately rejected.

G Madaraz

Sincerely,
George Madaraz
4226 Kenyon Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066

From: [Debra Higbee-Sudyka](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:43:57 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

A byproduct of nuclear power plants is plutonium, which is the most toxic element on earth. It's also used to make bombs. So if we create many nuclear power plants, we are essentially

proliferating nuclear weapon material. Please stop this!

Sincerely,
Debra Higbee-Sudyka
4750 SW Nash Ave
Corvallis, OR 97333

From: [Earl Frounfelter](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:39:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

At some point we should figure out that another dollar is not worth killing the planet.

Sincerely,
Earl Frounfelter
120 Palm Court Dr
Santa Maria, CA 93454

From: [Rev. Sandra Mackie](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:39:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We should be funding green solutions and ending nuclear energy. This situation is about fraud and abuse of the public. Let us be good stewards and end wasteful spending on unsafe nuclear

energy. Nature provides all the safe energy we need.

Sincerely,
Rev. Sandra Mackie
335 Ridge Ave
Gettysburg, PA 17325

From: [Rosemarie Pace](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:39:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

It's time to eliminate nuclear energy completely, not just move it around.

Sincerely,
Rosemarie Pace
6124 82nd Pl
Middle Village, NY 11379

From: [Anne Tuddenham](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:30:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Until there is a way to permanently dispose of nuclear waste safely, nuclear power is not clean energy.

Sincerely,
Anne Tuddenham
1220 King Dr
El Cerrito, CA 94530

From: [Rita Gentry](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:19:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

As an American taxpayer concerned about the health and financial risks of nuclear power, I urge you to deny Exelon's proposal, require it to replace its aging reactors with renewable

energy and responsibly and safely clean-up its contamination.

Sincerely,
Rita Gentry
3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt B1
Santa Fe, NM 87507

From: [J McRey](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:13:55 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I expect that the "new" company will then quickly declare bankruptcy and we will be on the hook for cleanup costs.

Sincerely,
J McRey
Oceanside, CA 92057

From: [Sherry Vatter](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:08:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please do not authorize the shifting of technologies for life threatening nuclear business to another corporation. Stop the madness.

Sincerely,
Sherry Vatter
3362 Keystone Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: [Marion Susie Kossack](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:05:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

AND, I DON'T SEE ANY STATES JUMPING FORWARD TO VIE FOR THE NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE FACILITIES WHICH ENGINEERS AND CHEMISTS HAVE

PROVEN IS ACTUALLY NOT POSSIBLE GIVEN THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS
OF YEARS NEEDED FOR HALF- LIFE BREAKDOWN.

Sincerely,
Marion Susie Kossack
208 Nelson Rd
Ithaca, NY 14850

From: [Deirdre Coval](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:43:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

It's important to hold corporations to safety standards to protect Americans and America.

Sincerely,
Deirdre Coval
197 E Redoubt Ave
Soldotna, AK 99669

From: [Kazuze Suyematsu](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:42:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon's scheme sounds like responsibility-evasion where it sells it's burden to an entity that will declare bankruptcy when the time is right and then it will be the taxpayer's responsibility to

deal with a problem that rightfully belongs to Exelon.

Sincerely,
Kazuze Suyematsu
1483 San Pablo Ave
Berkeley, CA 94702

From: [Dian Deevey](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:32:57 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Do not approve this violation of NRC regulatory requirements. Health and safety are at risk.

Sincerely,
Dian Deevey
1702 SW 35th Place
Gainesville, FL 32608

From: [Tina Daly](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:32:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please stop the exelon spin off
Thank you

Tina daly

Sincerely,
Tina Daly
1880 Pickering road
Phoenixville, PA 19460

From: [Karen Tanner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:29:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Getting out of the nuclear business is great - but only if the nuclear power plants are SHUT DOWN! They pose a serious, life-threatening risk if not. And the risks increase as the

equipment ages. There are too many sustainable options to keep them.

Sincerely,
Karen Tanner
14037 Mallory Ct
Grass Valley, CA 95949

From: [S. Kovacs](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:27:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

As a 65 year old, my taxes have subsidized this failed-from-the-beginning-with-figuring-out-the-radioactive-waste-problem-later industry for decades. And now Exelon wants to screw the

American taxpayer again. ENOUGH!

PLEASE! Do the right thing.

Sincerely,
S. Kovacs
53 Asbury Rd
Hackettstown, NJ 07840

From: [Fritz Bachman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:23:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

tAKE SOME RESPONSIBILITY FOR YOUR MESS. CLEAN IT UP AND
DECOMMISSION IT YOURSELF. DON'T LET IT BECOME SOMEONE ELSE'S

BURDEN!

S

Sincerely,
Fritz Bachman
2003 STARBUCK LN
moab, UT 84532

From: [Rev. Raymond Brown](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:21:34 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Far too risky in nature of elements in the waste. Discontinue this type of energy at once. Having lived through Three Mile Island is close enough.

Rev. Raymond Brown, husband, father, grandfather, greatgrandfather, and minister to many.

Sincerely,
Rev. Raymond Brown
1999 W Sassafras St
Selinsgrove, PA 17870

From: [Bob Miller](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:17:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I lived in Harrisburg during the TMI "Incident." I lived in southern Orange County when San Onofre was shut down. GEE, what could POSSIBLY GO WRONG???

Sincerely,
Bob Miller
1265 Pacific Ave
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

From: [Kenneth Ruby](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We cannot afford to risk another meltdown. These reactors are very dangerous to all of us. The "spinoff" process must not be allowed.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Ruby
18 Tiffany Rd
Salem, NH 03079

From: [Wesley Banks](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:03:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I am very tired of corporations shifting their costs onto the taxpayers, which will happen if this transfer is approved.

Sincerely,
Wesley Banks
P.O. Box 823234
Vancouver, WA 98682

From: [Marcia Clouser](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:03:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Allowing this scheme to go forward would be an unconscionable mistake.

Please do everything possible to stop this attempt by Exelon to escape responsibility for their

own creation.

Sincerely,
Marcia Clouser
802 Cedar Rd.
Schwenksville, PA 19473

From: [Lauri Horkitz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:01:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon has a duty to decommission its reactors and shut them down as safely as possible, not to pass them along to an entity which cannot fulfill this duty without even greater risk to the

public.

Sincerely,
Lauri Horkitz
4 Luther Rd
Medford, MA 02155

From: [Susan Haywood](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:49:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Don't let Exelon off the hook. They need to decommission the reactors and abate the waste (for thousands of years!).

They profited from nuclear plants and must face up to their responsibility.
Nuclear power is a life-threatening investment.

Sincerely,
Susan Haywood
2146 NW Everett St
Portland, OR 97210

From: [Fran Aguirre](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:44:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear power is NOT the answer! Nuclear waste is not beneficial to human life and all life on this planet!

Sincerely,
Fran Aguirre
1840 W 40th Ave
Denver, CO 80211

From: [Sherrill A Lewis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:44:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear reactors should just be phased out so that we can put our efforts into solar, renewable, energy--not nuclear energy. We do not need more radioactive waste generated.

Sincerely,
Sherrill A Lewis
209 Longview Lane
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Greg Koshak](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:42:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear energy is stranded assets and environmental wreckage. Efforts to reorganize, rename or move money must stop. Obvious goal is to stick the taxpayer or rate payer with costs after

careful extraction of wealth. Investors flee the liability.

Sincerely,
Greg Koshak
8709 Cattail Ln
Larsen, WI 54947

From: [Stephen and Robin Newberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:41:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

No !! No to nukes.

Sincerely,
Stephen and Robin Newberg
168 Brittany Farms Rd
New Britain, CT 06053

From: [Douglas Long](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:40:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

These reactors pose a major threat to us. They are quite a gamble, and they are gambling with our lives.

Sincerely,
Douglas Long
790 Thunder Rd SE
Rio Rancho, NM 87124

From: [Christopher Logan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:27:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon is dodging the full-cost accounting required of any ethical business. Heavy profits over, they're looking at extensive liabilities. Responsible for the worst poison in the world, for

several centuries? Ditch it!

American Lives Matter!

Sincerely,
Christopher Logan
1229 Dalton Dr
Eugene, OR 97404

From: [Pamela Pendlebury](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:24:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please make your decision based on the public's health and safety. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Pamela Pendlebury
269 Tucker Mill Rd
New Boston, NH 03070

From: [Barbara and Jim Dale](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:22:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Too many downsides and possible disasters. Stop now.

Sincerely,
Barbara and Jim Dale
909 Vernon St
Decorah, IA 52101

From: [Henry Ickes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:21:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This also just "kicks the can" of transition to safe, renewable energy sources down the road. And, it's still vulnerable to computer hacking attacks.

We really need to replace every centralized power plant with decentralized renewables.

Sincerely,
Henry Ickes
Blairstown, NJ 07825

From: [Kae Bender](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:21:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Passing aging nuclear to a less experienced company is asking for trouble down the road. Clean up of these facilities takes time and money; neglect of pre-funding of reserves necessary

to properly decommission is a huge concern. This is a BAD idea.

Sincerely,
Kae Bender
42955 Cherbourg Lane
Lancaster, CA 93536

From: [Patricia Beach](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:18:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We cannot allow corporate profits to take precedence over public safety.

Sincerely,
Patricia Beach
1421 Taney Ave Apt 511
Frederick, MD 21702

From: [Robert Nagle](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:12:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

As is usual, a corporation intends to privatize profits and shovel the losses and cleanup off on to the American taxpayers to benefit their own bottom line and that of their shareholders.

Well, I'm a shareholder in the USA. Say NO!

Sincerely,
Robert Nagle
1325 Natalie Street
Canon City, CO 81212

From: [margaret.beresford](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:10:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Soon taxpayers who pay for just about everything and then more to cover up the socialized demands of corporations globally, are going to withdraw.

Sincerely,
margaret beresford
10124 berry
New York, NY 10124

From: [Beth Jane Freeman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:10:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Exelon is helping themselves by getting out of the nuclear power business, but the problem of nuclear energy & its pitfalls are still there. Fobbing this off on another company is no solution.

They need to find a better solution.

Sincerely,
Beth Jane Freeman
1265 Hawthorne Dr E
Wantagh, NY 11793

From: [Robert Taylor](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:09:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

See that Exelon get out of the Nuclear Nightmare, for Good and Safely!

Sincerely,
Robert Taylor
14580 Olympic Drive, Unit Number 25
Clearlake, CA 95422

From: [Victor Becker Lau](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:57:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Do not allow Exelon to dump this nuclear mess onto the public taxpayers! They must create a phaseout plan that includes a timeline for full closure of all their nuclear power plants and how

they will find this from their Own Revenue base.

Sincerely,
Victor Becker Lau
2243 Quebec Street
Regina, CA 90201

From: [Katharine Tussing](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:57:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear power is dangerous at best. These aging reactors should not be in use, far less transferred to an unqualified company.

Sincerely,
Katharine Tussing
148 Crestwood Ave Apt 4
Buffalo, NY 14216

From: [Helen Jaccard](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:50:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

If this is allowed to go through, tax payers and electricity customers will pay, not those who created the problems!

Sincerely,
Helen Jaccard
Lower Lake, CA 95457

From: [pete blose](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:50:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Richard Fardy was my father in law. He built the first reactor for the Manhattan project, one at Savanna River and the reactor at Shippingport. He warned me over 35 years ago that there

would be a big problem with no solution: decommissioning.

Sincerely,
pete blose
PO Box 211
Saint Johnsbury, VT 05819

From: [Christine Roane](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:45:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

The industry, this nation, all nations using this forever-toxic technology have failed to solve the problems of waste and leaks. No more nukes. No Exelon spin-off scheme.

Sincerely,
Christine Roane
123 Bronson Ter
Springfield, MA 01108

From: [DONALD CONKLIN](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:39:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Thank you for your concern in this very important matter.

Sincerely,
DONALD CONKLIN
5754 GREER LOOP SW
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87105

From: [William Nusbaum](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:36:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

There reactors should be SHUT DOWN PERMANENTLY !!!

Sincerely,
William Nusbaum
2916 Gettysburg Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55426

From: [Chase Nantze](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:35:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We have seen time and time again that cutting costs when running a nuclear reactor is a sure recipe for disaster! Please do not put my life, and millions of others at risk!

Sincerely,
Chase Nantze
2508 Schley Avenue
Albany, GA 31707

From: [Al Justeco](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:31:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We've been saying this would happen for decades. I've worked for GE Nuclear Energy Division. This fraud of a corporation will quickly go bankrupt and leave the mess for the

Government, taxpayers, to clean it up!

Sincerely,
Al Justeco
PO Box 20089
Stanford, CA 94309

From: [Shari Horne](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:21:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We live close to a now shut down reactor and the waste is not stored safely. It is in thin 5/8" thick stainless steel welded canisters. They have a relatively short life span. Something must

be done about the waste NOW for all reactors!

Sincerely,
Shari Horne
2354 Via Mariposa W # WEST-3C
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

From: [Shahla Werner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:13:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I grew up in Illinois, with many dangerous aging nuclear reactors throughout the state. They have had many close calls, and it's time to shut them down and replace them with safer,

cleaner renewable power rather than approving SpinCo.

Sincerely,
Shahla Werner
1400 Mathys Rd
Monona, WI 53716

From: [Michael Tucker](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:10:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Don't trust them.

"Exelon unit ComEd to pay \$200 million fine in bribery case implicating Illinois House

speaker"

"ComEd's parent company, Exelon, take in another \$235 million a year from customers to bail out its financially ailing nuclear power

Sincerely,
Michael Tucker
1627 Woolsey St. Apt 1
Berkeley, CA 94703

From: [Fran Post](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:09:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear power has such a tired and dangerous history, both with the risks involved and the very nasty waste generated. This is a TERRIBLE idea - Please, please do not allow it!

Sincerely,
Fran Post
254 Woodland Ave
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: [Sheila Low-Beer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:08:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

WE ARE IN A PICKLE WORLDWIDE BUT DANGEROUS AND HARMFUL NUCLEAR POWER IS NOT AN ANSWER! PLEASE!!!!

Sincerely,
Sheila Low-Beer
330 Concord St
Charleston, SC 29401

From: [Susan Mulcahy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:06:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear energy is dangerous and not needed. Like anything internationally-hacked, it's existence is simply to vulnerable to catastrophe.

Sincerely,
Susan Mulcahy
6838 E Osborn Rd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

From: [Molly Huddleston](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:03:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We do NOT NEED more nuclear reactors or even bigger or better ones! We NEED alternate energy at better prices!!

Sincerely,
Molly Huddleston
PO Box 1119
Santa Rosa, CA 95402

From: [Gail Kansky](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:02:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

As the president of a national charity for the hundreds and thousands of people affected with ME/CFS in the U.S. that is caused by radiation, your present efforts will help poison more

innocent people and subject them to a shortened lifetime of agony

Sincerely,
Gail Kansky
103 Aletha Rd.
NEEDHAM, MA 02492

From: [Frank Snapp](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 1:00:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

You owe. The universe understands everything.

Sincerely,
Frank Snapp
3911 Shafter Ave
Oakland, CA 94609

From: [Betsy Smith](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:57:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We do not need inexperienced companies running Exelon's reactors. Instead, Exelon should should phase out its facilities, replacing them with renewable energy projects. Please hold

Exelon accountable for cleaning up its radioactive mess safely.

Sincerely,
Betsy Smith
105 Eastgate Road
Brewster, MA 02631

From: [Victoria Ward](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:56:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

The proposed spin-off seems like a really bad idea - a thoughtless treatment of an immense and grave public trust.

Sincerely,
Victoria Ward
3002 Paseo Tranquillo
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

From: [Peggy S. Collins](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:55:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

OK well then you get to take them all home with you.

Sincerely,
Peggy S. Collins
21310 Lathrup St
Southfield, MI 48075

From: [Kathleen Rude](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:53:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this matter. Please put the safety of all at the top of your concerns.

Regards,

Kathleen Rude

Sincerely,
Kathleen Rude
7 Lincoln Street
Glenview, IL 60025

From: [Stephan Hewitt](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:51:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Thank you for consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,
Stephan Hewitt
12-301 W. Pohakupele Lp. #4555
Pahoa, HI 96778

From: [Cynthia Symington](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:48:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This spin off defies every ounce of logic known to any intelligent regulating body. It benefits no one, costs everyone, and would remain a threat to all future generations. It must be rejected.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Symington
809 E Avenue A
Alpine, TX 79830

From: [Joel Blumert](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:45:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Never enough "tragic accidents" to satisfy the greed of genocidal corporations.

Sincerely,
Joel Blumert
P.O.B 445
Salisbury, CT 06068

From: [Barbara Sanchez](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:45:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

If nuclear power has seen its day, this SpinCo, would not be able to make a profit or make the world any safer by its use of this energy source. In fact, it may cause serious harm if the plant

has safety issues with its reactors'.

Sincerely,
Barbara Sanchez
6062 Otis Rd
North Port, FL 34287

From: [Quentin Fischer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:40:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is nothing more than a shell game for Exelon to avoid accountability. Don't let it happen.

Sincerely,
Quentin Fischer
2415 SHARMAR Rd
Roanoke, VA 24018

From: [Brian Kelly](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:37:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please help us protect our very own existence and our fragile planet as one of the world's important stewards. Please!!

Sincerely,
Brian Kelly
1670 Park Ave
San Bruno, CA 94066

From: [Dee Halzack](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:35:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is merely a ploy to get out of a business that is not viable when safety rules are enforced, without taking any responsibility for the problems the business created. We've seen the result

of THAT with the many Superfund sites around the country.

Sincerely,
Dee Halzack
318 Pawtucket St Apt 102
Lowell, MA 01854

From: [Charles Berger](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:34:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Public safety must always have priority over profit. "SpinCo" will care only about increasing profit through reducing costs: personnel and safety. We don't want these reactors harming

people or making banner headlines.

Sincerely,
Charles Berger
917 Sunset St Apt 302
Longmont, CO 80501

From: [Don Hawkins](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:33:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I view this as nothing more than Exelon attempting to evade responsibility for a problem it originally created.

Sincerely,
Don Hawkins
1406 Grant St
North Braddock, PA 15104

From: [MC Hagerty](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:26:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Nuclear Power may be eco-friendly but its waste products are absolutely not and are considered the most toxic substances on the Earth, lasting thousands of years. Exelon wants to

protect its profits and unload its problems to a new company. NO WAY!

Sincerely,
MC Hagerty
1700 Aviara Pkwy # 131133
Carlsbad, CA 92011

From: [Dr B Leicht](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:24:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Transparent scheme to dodge responsibility to citizens. Cyber danger as well as physical danger to human communities. Nix on Exelon. No Spin to SpinCo

Sincerely,
Dr B Leicht
San Diego, CA 92102

From: [Sandra Laase](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:23:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

SHOULD EXELON'S PROPOSAL BE APPROVED, THE PUBLIC WOULD NOT ONLY BE ENDANGERED BUT IT COULD BE FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE TO PREVENT

DISASTER. THE PROPOSAL IS ABOMITABLE! THE NRC SHOULD STRICTLY FOLLOW ITS CRITERIA IN MAKING THIS DECISION.

Sincerely,
Sandra Laase
6732 N Loleta Ave
Chicago, IL 60646

From: [Gregory Stoner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:23:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This company needs to take these reactors through its life cycle including decommission.

Sincerely,
Gregory Stoner
110 Rosewood Ter
Buffalo, NY 14225

From: [William Hart](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:21:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Phase out nuclear power and clean the nuclear waste. We need safe renewable energy.

Sincerely,
William Hart
1227 Clarence St Apt 8
Danville, IL 61832

From: [Peter Reimer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:20:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

These two paragraphs go to the heart of the matterPROTECT THE PUBLIC.

PDR
Hayward, CA

Sincerely,
Peter Reimer
1771 Highland Blvd
Hayward, CA 94542

From: [Madeleine Wennerstrom](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:19:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This is a global disaster just waiting to happen. Please let us end this madness!

Sincerely,
Madeleine Wennerstrom
24 Cram Hill Rd
Randolph, VT 05060

From: [Dwight Rousu](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:18:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Do not let the "eternity" waste liabilities be abandoned to a flim-flam organization that is destined to go broke and leave the costs to the public.

We should learn from the failures to clean up Hanford for so many years.

Sincerely,
Dwight Rousu
13824 NE 70th Pl
Redmond, WA 98052

From: [Lawrence Jacksina](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:17:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sounds too shady to me. There are rational, safer nuclear energy sources possible, but reusing the decaying (oops, bad joke) sources is untenable. Suicidal, actually.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Jacksina
1238 Timberbranch Ct
Charlottesville, VA 22902

From: [Susan Blain](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:14:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Please do not miss this opportunity to retire nuclear power and replace it with truly green energy. Nuclear waste will never be safe. Humans need to stop producing it. Don't pass on this

opportunity to support a transition to clean energy.

Sincerely,
Susan Blain
4353 34th St
San Diego, CA 92104

From: [LONNA RICHMOND](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:14:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I know that nuclear offers some clear advantages BUT until you figure out what to do with all the toxic waste, i don't think it should even be considered.

Sincerely,
LONNA RICHMOND
185 Sunset Way
Muir Beach, CA 94965

From: [Geraldine May](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:12:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

This scheme is madness. Stop it immediately!

Sincerely,
Geraldine May
9845 Huer Huero Rd
Creston, CA 93432

From: [Jeri Fergus](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:11:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Protect The People and The Environment, not the corporations!

Sincerely,
Jeri Fergus
PO Box 511
Redway, CA 95560

From: [Tamara Matz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:10:27 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Stop this destructiveness!

Sincerely,
Tamara Matz
5308 1/2 Village Grn
Los Angeles, CA 90016

From: [Robert Mick](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:10:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We do not want a Chernobyl style event in the United States. Exelon needs to be responsible for the power plants it operates, and not abandon its obligations.

Sincerely,
Robert Mick
12045 Lincolnshire Dr
Austin, TX 78758

From: [Thomas Portney](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:09:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

We cannot as a nation afford to put nuclear energy in the hands of untried new ownership who have no shown track record for safe management of nuclear power plants.

Sincerely,
Thomas Portney
920 Houndslake
Aiken, SC 29803

From: [Karen Cappa](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:08:48 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

If we continue to allow nuclear power in our country how are we storing the waste? This is still an environmental nightmare that will most likely cause more death and destruction and

environmental harm to our country.

Sincerely,
Karen Cappa
581 Santa Alicia Dr.
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

From: [Robert Heron](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 12:08:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

I am 84 years old and have been concerned with the problem of the disposal of radio active waste from nuclear power plants since the 1970's when Dr. John Gofman was sounding the

alarm. We must hold the operators responsible safely shutting down.

Sincerely,
Robert Heron
10941 Sproul Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90064