

From: [Barry Cutler](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:50:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Barry Cutler

115 Wyndmoor Rd
Springfield, PA 19064

From: [Glen Weissman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:50:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Glen Weissman

5711 S Flint St
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426

From: [Edie Bruce](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:48:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Edie Bruce

1116 King Dr
El Cerrito, CA 94530

From: [Stephanie McFadden](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:47:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Stephanie McFadden

26766 Butternut Ridge Rd
North Olmsted, OH 44070

From: [Patricia Baley](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:44:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Patricia Baley

4150 E. Pinecrest Circle
Las Vegas, NV 89121

From: [Robin Cook](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:42:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Robin Cook

1634 21st St N
Arlington, VA 22209

From: [Shari Kelts](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:36:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Shari Kelts

809 Lynda Ct
Saint Louis, MO 63122

From: [Joanne McGrath](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:35:13 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Joanne McGrath

924 Chestnut Cove Rd.
Sylva, NC 28779

From: [Christy Rodgers](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:34:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Christy Rodgers

1132 Al Anderson Ave
Langley, WA 98260

From: [Paul Kalka](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:27:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Paul Kalka

4397 Brady Hill Rd
Binghamton, NY 13903

From: [Carol Grimm](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 11:04:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carol Grimm

612 Dale St
San Marcos, TX 78666

From: [Rosewind Veilove](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:56:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rosewind Veilove

San Diego, CA 92119

From: [Freda Ballas](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:55:57 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Freda Ballas

7531 Caruth Blvd.
Dallas, TX 75225

From: [Edna Anderson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:53:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Edna Anderson

812 Moore St
Beloit, WI 53511

From: [Madelyn Schaefer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:49:34 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Madelyn Schaefer

12706 County Road 18
Fort Lupton, CO 80621

From: [David Hermanns](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:39:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
David Hermanns

9442 N Tioga Ave
Portland, OR 97203

From: [Michael Eichenholz holtz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:39:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Eichenholz holtz

5129 Tehama Ave
Richmond, CA 94804

From: [Tracy Feldman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:37:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tracy Feldman

5306 Pelham Rd
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Anita Scheunemann](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:36:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Anita Scheunemann

6316 199th Loop SW
Rochester, WA 98579

From: [Maureen O](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:36:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Maureen O

9100 SW 80th Ave
Portland, OR 97223

From: [Jan Craig](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:35:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jan Craig

5316 Fox run
Toledo, OH 43623

From: [Peter Carr](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:31:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Peter Carr

4304 Marys Creek Dr
Benbrook, TX 76116

From: [William Justis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:31:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
William Justis

6345 Cedar Flats Rd SW
Olympia, WA 98512

From: [Mark Houdashelt](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:24:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mark Houdashelt

429 Lyons St
Fort Collins, CO 80521

From: [Janeth and Gary Mallory](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:21:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Janeth and Gary Mallory

2945 Juniper Dr Apt
Lewiston, ID 83501

From: [Eric West](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:20:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Eric West

3943 S Peninsula Dr
Port Orange, FL 32127

From: [Melvin Armolt](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:20:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Melvin Armolt

5229 Applecross Ave
Chambersburg, PA 17202

From: [Tony Tsang](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:19:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tony Tsang

2055 W 10th St
Brooklyn, NY 11223

From: [Myra Dewhurst](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:18:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Myra Dewhurst

3841 Casey Key Rd
Nokomis, FL 34275

From: [Michael Miller Jr](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:17:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Miller Jr

1512 Spruce St Apt 809
Philadelphia, PA 19102

From: [Art Bin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:11:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Art Bin

38260 ARALIA DRIVE
NEWARK, CA 94560

From: [Shari Katz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:10:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Shari Katz

729 Megan Ct
Westmont, IL 60559

From: [Pamela Speagle](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:09:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Pamela Speagle

7418 Old North Church Rd
Louisville, KY 40214

From: [Mercedes Lackey](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:05:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mercedes Lackey

16525 E 470 Rd
Claremore, OK 74017

From: [Tika Bordelon](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:05:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tika Bordelon

1400 Hubbell Pl
Seattle, WA 98101

From: [Mary Shaughnessy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:03:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mary Shaughnessy

Indianapolis, IN

From: [Sharon Nolting](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 10:01:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sharon Nolting

348 E 9th St Apt 13
New York, NY 10003

From: [Betty Brooks](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:58:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Betty Brooks

123 S 2nd Ave.
Hailey, ID 83333

From: [Charles K. Alexander II](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:57:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Charles K. Alexander II

207 Sheridan Avenue, Apt. 4
Albany, NY 12210

From: [Mary Daub](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:56:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mary Daub

1207 E Lyons Ave, SPC 230
Spokane, WA 99208

From: [Michael Garitty](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:56:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Garitty

13088 Vista Knls
Nevada City, CA 95959

From: [Julie Ford](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:49:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Julie Ford

1461 Pelham Road 132i
Seal Beach, CA 90740

From: [Wendy Peardot](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:47:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Wendy Peardot

4500 Southmore Dr
Bloomington, MN 55437

From: [Nancy Chismar](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:44:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nancy Chismar

6 York Dr Apt 6A
Edison, NJ 08817

From: [DJ Fura](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:44:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
DJ Fura

1153 Jefferson St
San Leandro, CA 94577

From: [Darlene Coffman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:37:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Darlene Coffman

1019 Chalet Dr.NW
Rochester, MN 55901

From: [Carol Myers](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:33:48 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carol Myers

2313 Alexander Pl
Oceanside, NY 11572

From: [rod Zwirner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:33:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
rod Zwirner

5 Myrtle St
Antrim, NH 03440

From: [Carmen Nichols](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:31:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carmen Nichols

2222 N McQueen Road
Chandler, AZ 85225

From: [Jaime Nahman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:31:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jaime Nahman

21233 Colina Dr
Topanga, CA 90290

From: [Ellen E Barfield](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:26:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Ellen E Barfield

814 Powers St
Baltimore, MD 21211

From: [Edwin Ross](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:25:55 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Edwin Ross

1320 S. Williams Circle
Elizabeth City, NC 27909

From: [Mark Bartleman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:25:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mark Bartleman

1984 Del Mar Ave
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

From: [Pati Tomsits](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:21:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Pati Tomsits

Irvine, CA 92620

From: [Jusef White](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:17:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jusef White

1333 Peralta
Fremont, CA 94536

From: [Sue and John Morris](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:16:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sue and John Morris

1392 Vt Route 232
Marshfield, VT 05658

From: [Charlotte Pirch](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:09:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Pirch

9826 Lewis Ave
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

From: [Johnny Hall](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:04:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Johnny Hall

124 Justice Br
Dana, KY 41615

From: [Miles Babcock](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:01:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Miles Babcock

9745 Spruce Ct
Cypress, CA 90630

From: [Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 9:01:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith

5704 W Norfolk Rd
Portsmouth, VA 23703

From: [Seth Tubman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:59:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Seth Tubman

51 Browns Avenue, Scottsville
Scottsville, NY 14546

From: [steven carpenter](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:56:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
steven carpenter

22091 Oakwood St
Woodhaven, MI 48183

From: [alain ratheau](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:54:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
alain ratheau

178 Upper Dover Rd
West Marlboro, VT 05363

From: [Alicia Jackson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:54:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alicia Jackson

401 Goheen Cir
Vallejo, CA 94591

From: [Denise Brennan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:53:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Denise Brennan

2692 Patrick Henry St Apt 101
Auburn Hills, MI 48326

From: [Edythe Cox](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:52:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Edythe Cox

35 Roosevelt St Apt 6
Braintree, MA 02184

From: [Alicia Jackson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:50:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alicia Jackson

401 Goheen Circle
Vallejo, CA 94591

From: [Judy Murphy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:48:54 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Judy Murphy

7230 Center Road
Traverse City,, MI 49686

From: [Alfred Cellier](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:48:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alfred Cellier

3362 Crownview Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

From: [Justin Makaruse](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:42:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Justin Makaruse

P.O.Box 66154
Auburndale, MA 02466

From: [David Katz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:40:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
David Katz

7757 W 87th Dr
Arvada, CO 80005

From: [Dean Knauer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:38:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dean Knauer

38 Langdon Rd
Buffalo, WY 82834

From: [Deborah Andrew](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:30:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Deborah Andrew

165A Reynolds Road
Shelburne, MA 01370

From: [Kay Reinfried](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:30:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kay Reinfried

797 Scott Ln
Lititz, PA 17543

From: [Hannah Blakeman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:26:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Hannah Blakeman

509 Cain Ct
Belle Mead, NJ 08502

From: [J. Holley Taylor](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:24:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
J. Holley Taylor

PO Box 1987
Penn Valley, CA 95946

From: [Wojciech Rowinski](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:24:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Wojciech Rowinski

26 McKinley Ave
Easthampton, MA 01027

From: [D Garratt](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:22:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
D Garratt

400 plantation grove ln
St Augustine, FL 32086

From: [Liz Erpelding-Garratt](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:22:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Liz Erpelding-Garratt

400 Plantation Grove Ln
Saint Augustine, FL 32086

From: [Carole O'Connell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:19:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carole O'Connell

246 Elm St
Newport, VT 05855

From: [Sue Biederman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:18:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sue Biederman

1509 Matterhorn Dr NE
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

From: [Adina Parsley](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:17:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Adina Parsley

20420 Marine Dr Apt P2
Stanwood, WA 98292

From: [Michelle Darbro](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:17:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michelle Darbro

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

From: [Pamela Shaw](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:16:36 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Pamela Shaw

6419 Vine Street, Apt 1
Cincinnati, OH 45216

From: [Philip Simon](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:11:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Philip Simon

PO Box 9473
San Rafael, CA 94912

From: [Joan McGrath](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:11:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Joan McGrath

38 Hiland Dr
Queensbury, NY 12804

From: [Stephen Damko](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:05:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Stephen Damko

6942 East Winding Oak Drive
Middleburg Heights, OH 44130

From: [Helen Webb](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 8:02:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Helen Webb

31 E Highland Ave
Redlands, CA 92373

From: [Robert Berry](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:58:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Robert Berry

41 Mill St Apt 213
Marion, MA 02738

From: [Michael Sarabia](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:58:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Sarabia

407 W Longview Ave
Stockton, CA 95207

From: [Bonnie Lewis Sheehan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:56:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Lewis Sheehan

2000 Byrd Rd
Vienna, VA 22182

From: [Flo Kelly](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:56:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Flo Kelly

139 Ellsworth St
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Tom Gorman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:55:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tom Gorman

31 Coyote Springs Rd
Santa Fe, NM 87508

From: [ermanno de gregorio](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:52:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
ermanno de gregorio

milan, VA 20146

From: [t bell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:51:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
t bell

austin, TX

From: [Glen Benjamin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:51:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Glen Benjamin

140 Court St
Portsmouth, NH 03801

From: [Dr Lindsay Sharp](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:50:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dr Lindsay Sharp

19747 Horseshoe Dr
Topanga, CA 90290

From: [Cheryl Eames](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:49:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Eames

18815 N Concho Cir
Sun City, AZ 85373

From: [Thomas Nelson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:45:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Thomas Nelson

105 Drexel Ave
Lansdowne, PA 19050

From: [Susan Lindell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:42:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Susan Lindell

3008 S Superior St
Milwaukee, WI 53207

From: [Douglas Bender](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:41:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Douglas Bender

261 Vista del Parque
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

From: [casee maxfield](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:40:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
casee maxfield

1737 N Sycamore Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90028

From: [Janice Hoffman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:39:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Janice Hoffman

1970 Mahogany Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88001

From: [Richard Cusumano](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:39:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Richard Cusumano

235 Cassidy Rd
Keeseville, NY 12944

From: [Kathryn Sugg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:36:57 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Sugg

19473 US Highway 64
Manns Harbor, NC 27953

From: [karen stickney](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:35:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
karen stickney

27 Baril St
Lewiston, ME 04240

From: [Steve Roddy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:34:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Steve Roddy

619 7th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: [H Kazama](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:33:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
H Kazama

444 E 20th St Apt 2H
New York, NY 10009

From: [Nancy Woolley](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:32:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nancy Woolley

121 Greenbrook Dr
Stoughton, MA 02072

From: [Karen Macbride](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:32:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Karen Macbride

272 BEHR RD
JEFFERSONVILLE, NY 12748

From: [Joy Hamby](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:30:44 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Joy Hamby

1036 Seville Dr
Clarkston, GA 30021

From: [Linda Liptak](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:27:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Linda Liptak

PO Box 398
Indian Rocks Beach, FL 33785

From: [Richard Waldo](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:27:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Richard Waldo

4916 S 525 W
Ogden, UT 84405

From: [Barbara Cicalese](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:26:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Barbara Cicalese

203 W. Water St.
Sandusky, OH 44870

From: [Jill Gibson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:26:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jill Gibson

405 Highland Ave
Penngrove, CA 94951

From: [Charles Favorite](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:24:29 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Charles Favorite

16971 330th Pl
Isle, MN 56342

From: [Steve Lett](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:24:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Steve Lett

600A W. Blithedale Ave.
Mill Valley, CA 94941

From: [Elizabeth Seltzer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:21:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer

11 W Ridge Rd
Media, PA 19063

From: [Laraine Lewis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:21:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Laraine Lewis

2601 N Old Stage Rd
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

From: [Lisa Stone](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:18:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lisa Stone

8902 Birdwood Ct
Houston, TX 77096

From: [Alice Anne Martineau](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:17:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alice Anne Martineau

310 Velarde St
Mountain View, CA 94041

From: [Denise Romesburg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:14:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Denise Romesburg

7326 N 21st Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85021

From: [susan_peirce](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:14:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
susan peirce

18 Paseo de Aguila
Santa Fe, NM 87506

From: [Jess Graffell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:13:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jess Graffell

37444 Ironwood Dr
Yucaipa, CA 92399

From: [William Schlesinger](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:12:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
William Schlesinger

854 N La Jolla Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90046

From: [Betty Thoren](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:10:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Betty Thoren

853 E. 1900 RD.
Eudora, KS 66025

From: [Terry Crownover](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:06:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Terry Crownover

108 Newington Way
FOLSOM, CA 95630

From: [a.kasbarian](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:05:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
a.kasbarian

178 Boulevard
Kenilworth, NJ 07033

From: [Janet Neihart](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:03:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Janet Neihart

6751 Geneva Ave S
Cottage Grove, MN 55016

From: [Sandra Rando](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:02:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sandra Rando

2151 185th St Unit 2
Fairfield, IA 52556

From: [Larry Needleman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 7:02:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Larry Needleman

6780 Redwood Ave.
Sebastopol, CA 95472

From: [Sheila Ward](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:59:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sheila Ward

1057 Calle 8
San Juan, PR 00927

From: [Kenneth Balmes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:52:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Balmes

126 Southern Ter
Island Lake, IL 60042

From: [Bridget Koch-Timothy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:51:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bridget Koch-Timothy

2100 24th St
Sacramento, CA 95818

From: [Antonia Chianis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:51:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Antonia Chianis

P.O. Box 836
Blue Jay, CA 92317

From: [Gregory Pais](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:48:17 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Gregory Pais

206 Aspen Meadows Rd
Nederland, CO 80466

From: [alvaro garza](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:47:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
alvaro garza

1721 morning dove, CA 95354

From: [Jean Schwinberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:45:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jean Schwinberg

4538 18th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105

From: [Julia Gillett](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:45:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Julia Gillett

950 E 32nd St
Durango, CO 81301

From: [carolyn.massey](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:40:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
carolyn massey

632 1/2 north 6th
quincy, IL 62301

From: [Debra Wilson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:37:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Debra Wilson

108 Crocker St.
Ashland, OR 97520

From: [Wayne Pipke](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:35:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Wayne Pipke

41 Fern St.
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

From: [Bill Ventre](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:34:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bill Ventre

2304 N 20th St
Boise, ID 83702

From: [Gwenn Agiapal](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:33:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Gwenn Agiapal

PO Box 720517
Norman, OK 73070

From: [Ellen Epstein](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:33:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Ellen Epstein

1931 4th St
Bremerton, WA 98337

From: [Mick Curth](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:33:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mick Curth

14223 E Marina Dr
Aurora, CO 80014

From: [Jeanine Weber](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:32:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jeanine Weber

2650 Ridgcroft Dr SE
Grand Rapids, MI 49546

From: [Erline Towner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:31:55 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Erline Towner

49 Quarry Cir
Milford, NH 03055

From: [Lauren Murdock](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:31:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lauren Murdock

3940 Via Lucero Apt 16
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

From: [Patrice Sutton](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:30:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Patrice Sutton

311 Douglass Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [Sarah Hamilton](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:29:17 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hamilton

9087 Tioughanack Rd.
Canastota, NY 13032

From: [Mark Koritz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:28:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mark Koritz

12104 Ashford Gables Dr
Atlanta, GA 30338

From: [Ken Gibb](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:27:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Ken Gibb

PO Box 11616
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

From: [Croitiene ganMoryn](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:26:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Croitiene ganMoryn

6211 SE 24th Ave
Ocala, FL 34480

From: [Danda Sweetwater](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:24:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Danda Sweetwater

337 NW Bailey Ave
Hillsboro, OR 97124

From: [Johanna Lindsay](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:20:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Johanna Lindsay

39570 Benavente Pl
Fremont, CA 94539

From: [Michael Tamarack](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:19:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Tamarack

536 E. Flores St.
Tucson, AZ 85705

From: [Elliott Sernel](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:19:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Elliott Sernel

481 W Mariscal Rd
Palm Springs, CA 92262

From: [Jeanne Green](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:18:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Green

1125 East Main
Montrose, CO 81401

From: [Elliott Sernel](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:18:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Elliott Sernel

481 W Mariscal Rd
Palm Springs, CA 92262

From: [Caroline Miller](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:18:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Caroline Miller

6834 4th Ave N
Saint Petersburg, FL 33710

From: [Toby Stover](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:17:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Toby Stover

81 Clove Valley Rd
High Falls, NY 12440

From: [Vikki Helperin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:17:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Vikki Helperin

5046 Veloz Ave
Tarzana, CA 91356

From: [Dave Crawford](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:14:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dave Crawford

1520 Lexington Pkwy N
Saint Paul, MN 55117

From: [Albert Blackwell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:14:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Albert Blackwell

126 High Hat Circle
Greenville, SC 29617

From: [John Dervin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:12:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Dervin

3638 Craigsher Dr
Apopka, FL 32712

From: [Rich Goldberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:10:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rich Goldberg

118 Highland Ave
Penngrove, CA 94951

From: [Kevin Rolfes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:09:27 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kevin Rolfes

14006 N Green Hills Loop
Austin, TX 78737

From: [Leonard Rifas](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:08:55 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Leonard Rifas

box 45831
Seattle, WA 98145

From: [Norman Pierce](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:08:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Norman Pierce

PO Box 1432
Plymouth, MA 32163

From: [Nancy Farrell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:08:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nancy Farrell

4005 N. 24th St.
Tacoma, WA 98406

From: [Tim King](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:07:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tim King

15261 County 38
Long Prairie, MN 56347

From: [Laura Prestridge](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:07:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Laura Prestridge

172 Kimbrough Pl Apt 212
Memphis, TN 38104

From: [Linda Prostko](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:02:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Linda Prostko

PO Box 54
Caledonia, MI 49316

From: [C P](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 6:01:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
C P

7005 N Lake Blvd
Tahoe Vista, CA 96148

From: [Linda Fast](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:58:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Linda Fast

684 Cedar Knolls Ct
Cincinnati, OH 45230

From: [Nancy Hendrickson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:58:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nancy Hendrickson

140 Main St
Rockport, MA 01966

From: [Victor Nepomnyashchy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:56:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Victor Nepomnyashchy

9131 Burnet Ave
North Hills, CA 91343

From: [Carole Jones](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:54:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carole Jones

639 N Main St
Ashland, OR 97520

From: [Destiny Orantes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:53:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Destiny Orantes

115 Fordham Rd Apt 1C
Syracuse, NY 13203

From: [Rhonda Green](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:53:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Green

PO Box 6100
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

From: [Barbara Pohl](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:52:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Barbara Pohl

2159 W Melrose St
Chicago, IL 60618

From: [Michael Goebel](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:51:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Goebel

1309 S Kennedy Ave
Tyler, TX 75701

From: [Dallas Windham](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:51:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dallas Windham

2101 S Hill Dr
Irving, TX 75038

From: [Edward Thornton](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:51:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Edward Thornton

7 Swarthmore Place
Swarthmore, PA 19081

From: [Seth Snapp](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:49:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Seth Snapp

2214 H St
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Bruce Johnson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:48:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bruce Johnson

311 MOULTRIE ST
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

From: [Robert MacFarlane](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:47:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Robert MacFarlane

138 Ravenwood Blvd
Barnegat, NJ 08005

From: [Hillary Ostrow](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:46:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Hillary Ostrow

5835 Hesperia Ave
Encino, CA 91316

From: [Anne Peniazek](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:46:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Anne Peniazek

1640 Oakwood Dr
Penn Valley, PA 19072

From: [peter mayes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:44:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
peter mayes

418 Anthwyn Rd
Narberth, PA 19072

From: [Susan Fasten](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:44:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Susan Fasten

72 Linden St
Wellesley, MA 02482

From: [Lorraine Johnson](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:43:30 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Johnson

13716 Lake City Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

From: [nancy hartman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:42:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
nancy hartman

839 Mariposa Rd
Lafayette, CA 94549

From: [Dennis Schafer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:42:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dennis Schafer

1530 Union St
Indianapolis, IN 46225

From: [ROBERTA SEBASTIAN](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:40:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
ROBERTA SEBASTIAN

999 Hamilton Dr
Homestead, FL 33034

From: [Urmila Padmanabhan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:39:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Urmila Padmanabhan

42629 Queens Park Ct
Fremont, CA 94538

From: [Donna Hamilton](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:39:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Donna Hamilton

22435 SE 240th St
Maple Valley, WA 98038

From: [Robin VanTassell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:38:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Robin VanTassell

PO Box 641
Summerland, CA 93067

From: [Virginia Allgaier](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:38:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Virginia Allgaier

1449 Valley Rd
Stirling, NJ 07980

From: [Deanna Knickerbocker](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:34:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Deanna Knickerbocker

2421 Michele Jean Way
Santa Clara, CA 95050

From: [Peter Ajemian](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:34:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Peter Ajemian

221 Aldrich Rd
Bridgewater, MA 02324

From: [Larry Taylor](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:34:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Larry Taylor

8771 Rancho St
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

From: [Geoffrey Cornish](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:32:40 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Geoffrey Cornish

25211 De Salle
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

From: [michael earney](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:32:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
michael earney

PO Box 627
Utopia, TX 78884

From: [John Lynn](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:29:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Lynn

12 Cowperthwaite Place
Westfield, NJ 07090

From: [Carl Huenefeld](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:28:43 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carl Huenefeld

9164 S. Florence Pl.
Tulsa, OK 74137

From: [John Gasperoni](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:28:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Gasperoni

1083 NW Charlemange Place
Corvallis, OR 97330

From: [Stephanie C. Fox](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:25:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Stephanie C. Fox

14 Wyndcliffe Park
Bloomfield, CT 06002

From: [Merrill Rodin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:24:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Merrill Rodin

4265 Marina City Drive #107
Marina del Rey, CA 90292

From: [Kerry Mortensen](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:22:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kerry Mortensen

38244 N North Ave
Beach Park, IL 60087

From: [Bianca Molgora](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:21:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bianca Molgora

3976 Folsom St
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Richard Zimmerman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:20:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Richard Zimmerman

7320 N Sonya Way
Tucson, AZ 85704

From: [Marta Guttenberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:17:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Marta Guttenberg

226 W Rittenhouse Sq
Philadelphia, PA 19103

From: [Lisa Bettendorf](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:16:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bettendorf

2119 Hillcrest Rd.
Redwood City, CA 94062

From: [Teresa Sullivan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:16:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sullivan

3586 Tacoma Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90065

From: [Vivian S Valtri Burgess](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:12:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Vivian S Valtri Burgess

249 Buttolph Drive, Apt.222
Middlebury, VT 05753

From: [Manny Garcia](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:10:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Manny Garcia

2045 ROSEMARY ST
DENVER, CO 80207

From: [Tim Linerud](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:07:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tim Linerud

325 Middle Rd
Belmont, CA 94002

From: [Mrs. William Oetken](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:06:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mrs. William Oetken

2101 7th St
Brownwood, TX 76802

From: [M.Ellen Murphy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:05:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
M.Ellen Murphy

901 N Forest St Apt 147
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Nezka Pfeifer](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:05:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nezka Pfeifer

3811 Shaw Blvd
Saint Louis, MO 63110

From: [Nicole Breedlove](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:04:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nicole Breedlove

722 Dartmouth Ave
Silver Spring, MD 20910

From: [El P.](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:04:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
El P.

PO BOX 178
Talmage, CA 95481

From: [Julia Skelton](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:02:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Julia Skelton

Belleville, MI 48111

From: [Ken Kipen](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 5:00:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Ken Kipen

152D John Ford Road
Ashfield, MA 01330

From: [Barry De Jasu](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:59:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Barry De Jasu

P.O. Box 422
Montague, MA 01351

From: [Carlene Estacion](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:58:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carlene Estacion

PO Box 882
Sedona, AZ 86339

From: [Glen Popple](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:57:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Glen Popple

8010 Thistle Ct
Waterford, WI 53185

From: [Bob Rankin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:56:21 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bob Rankin

6652 Ruxton Ln
Austin, TX 78749

From: [Sean Hagstrom](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:55:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sean Hagstrom

614 Bermuda Dr.
Redlands, CA 92374

From: [Debra Knapp](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:54:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Debra Knapp

1560 E. Harbour Towne Circle
Muskegon, MI 49441

From: [wm shields](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:54:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
wm shields

little rock, AR 72227

From: [Carol Curtis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:53:02 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carol Curtis

P.O. Box 1153
Majuro, HI 96960

From: [Janet McCalister](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:50:46 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Janet McCalister

110 Snead Rd
Winston Salem, NC 27103

From: [Barbara Harper](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:57 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Barbara Harper

13535 Agua Dulce
Castroville, CA 95012

From: [ted rees](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
ted rees

2296 sun mor ave
Mountain View, CA 94040

From: [Tanja Rieger](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Tanja Rieger

Ilsahl
Nms, VA 24536

From: [Diane Vandiver](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Diane Vandiver

530 Princeton Dr
Bolingbrook, IL 60440

From: [JoAnn V. Bethel](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:48 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
JoAnn V. Bethel

4305 Dancing Ground Rd
Santa Fe, NM 87507

From: [Janice Cecil](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:49:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Janice Cecil

2923 ashby ave
berkeley, CA 95705

From: [Rosalie Murray](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:47:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rosalie Murray

75 Heller Hill Rd
Blairstown, NJ 07825

From: [Susan Porter](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:46:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Susan Porter

2134 Hemlock Farms
Hawley, PA 18428

From: [Kate Skolnick](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:46:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kate Skolnick

545 Washington Ave., 704
Brooklyn, NY 11238

From: [Alice Bowron](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:46:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alice Bowron

3535 Bryant Av S
Minneapolis, MN 55408

From: [Mal Gaff](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:46:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mal Gaff

801 W. Ocean Ave
Lompoc, CA 93436

From: [Jodi Rodar](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:45:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jodi Rodar

223 N Valley Rd
Pelham, MA 01002

From: [Katherine Dander](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:45:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Katherine Dander

Boaton, MA 02114

From: [E.A. Leone](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:43:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
E.A. Leone

2207 N. West Ave.
Fresno, CA 93705

From: [Erica Haas](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:42:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Erica Haas

10520 Tuxford Dr
Alpharetta, GA 30022

From: [Vasu Murti](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:39:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Vasu Murti

30 Villanova Lane
Oakland, CA 94611

From: [Bernardo Alayza Mujica](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:38:55 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bernardo Alayza Mujica

133 Sioux St
Sioux City, IA 51103

From: [Patricia Rodgers](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:38:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Patricia Rodgers

8121 NE 141sr Street
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: [Bob Bousquet](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:38:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bob Bousquet

PO Box 101
Bryantville, MA 02327

From: [Beth Braun](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:37:14 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Beth Braun

4457 N Malden St
Chicago, IL 60640

From: [Cathy White](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:37:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Cathy White

PO Box 54
Hager City, WI 54014

From: [Brad Walrod](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:36:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Brad Walrod

5587 State Route 52
Kenoza Lake, NY 12750

From: [Robert Cassinelli](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:35:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Robert Cassinelli

3708 Jo-Ann Drive
Sacramento, CA 95821

From: [Craig Chambers](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:33:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Craig Chambers

4210 Ohio St
San Diego, CA 92104

From: [Vivian Dowell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:32:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Vivian Dowell

3700 Bellinger Ln Spc 2
Medford, OR 97501

From: [Randall Frank](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:32:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Randall Frank

7741 Nisenan Ct
Antelope, CA 95843

From: [R.Austin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:31:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
R Austin

PO Box 142
Birchrunville, PA 19421

From: [Don Jones](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:31:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Don Jones

244 Englenook Dr
Debary, FL 32713

From: [Bruce Hlodnicki](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:30:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bruce Hlodnicki

6235 Lawrence Dr
Indianapolis, IN 46226

From: [Probyn Gregory](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:28:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Probyn Gregory

10877 Deliban St
Tujunga, CA 91042

From: [Keith D'Alessandro](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:28:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Keith D'Alessandro

Canton, MI

From: [Marcy Gordon](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:28:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Marcy Gordon

1758 Dean St Apt 3
Brooklyn, NY 11233

From: [Sharon Bunch](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:28:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sharon Bunch

103 Sunnyside Avenue
Piedmont, CA 94611

From: [Lawrence Josephs](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:28:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Josephs

135 Lorien Dr
Jeannette, PA 15644

From: [Don Ogden](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:27:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Don Ogden

The Enviro Show, 140 pine Street
Florence, MA 01062

From: [Don Bliss](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:27:03 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Don Bliss

3001 S State St Ste 7
Ukiah, CA 95482

From: [Terry Travis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:25:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Terry Travis

91-999 Laaulu St
Ewa Beach, HI 96706

From: [Cecile Adams](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:24:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Cecile Adams

S77W12929 McShane Dr
Muskego, WI 53150

From: [Terry LaBombard](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:22:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Terry LaBombard

5580 Burgess Falls Rd
Sparta, TN 38583

From: [Honz Zondorgh](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:21:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Honz Zondorgh

10240 S. Sierrita Mt Rd
Tucson, AZ 85736

From: [Rebecca Rose](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:21:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Rose

2850 Fairway Dr Apt 28
Las Cruces, NM 88011

From: [Marvin J Ward](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:21:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Marvin J Ward

15 Cottage St Apt 413
Easthampton, MA 01027

From: [Elaine Cavanaugh](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:20:27 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Elaine Cavanaugh

10 Emery Rd
Townsend, MA 01469

From: [John McArthur](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:17:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John McArthur

1512 Handley Blvd
Lakeland, FL 33803

From: [Gilda Fusilier](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:17:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Gilda Fusilier

955 43rd Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95831

From: [Sal Amendola](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:15:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Sal Amendola

84136 Avenue 44 #137
Indio, CA 92203

From: [Binh Tang](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:14:20 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Binh Tang

Chatsworth, CA 91311

From: [Claudia Richner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:13:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Claudia Richner

2864 Rock Barn Dr
Kerrville, TX 78028

From: [Alexander Honigsblum](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:12:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Alexander Honigsblum

3030 Saint Anne Dr
Dubuque, IA 52001

From: [Rachel Henning](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:11:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rachel Henning

408 E Plainview Ave
Indianola, IA 50125

From: [Camille Gilbert](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:07:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Camille Gilbert

1923 San Andres St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

From: [Nick Bartol](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:07:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nick Bartol

36 Greeley Hill Rd
Bedford, NH 03110

From: [Eric Wessman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:05:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Eric Wessman

723 Pelhamdale Ave
Pelham, NY 10803

From: [Nicholas Feda](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:05:35 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Feda

101 Charlotte Court
Cary, IL 60013

From: [Dan Hubbard](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:05:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dan Hubbard

49 Ten Rod Rd
Rochester, NH 03867

From: [Laura Vera](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:03:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Laura Vera

2731 Mary Ln
Dickinson, TX 77539

From: [Cary Moy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:02:09 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Cary Moy

1413 N Harlem Ave Apt B
Oak Park, IL 60302

From: [Brian Mitchell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:01:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Brian Mitchell

1900 Grist Mill Dr
Springfield, IL 62711

From: [Valerie Fannin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:01:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Valerie Fannin

2601 Nord Ave
Chico, CA 95973

From: [Kay Ellison](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 4:00:47 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kay Ellison

4303 ne 14th avenue
vancouver, WA 98663

From: [Catol Weissberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:56:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Catol Weissberg

10234 Variel Ave. Unit 4
Chatsworth, CA 91311

From: [Mary Chase](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:55:07 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mary Chase

1000 Cabro Ridge
Novato, CA 94947

From: [Doug Landau](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:54:45 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Doug Landau

150 73rd St S
Saint Petersburg, FL 33707

From: [Mary Randall](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:53:53 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mary Randall

PO Box 20871
Boulder, CO 80308

From: [Noel Jette](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:50:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Noel Jette

149 Prospect Street
Cambridge, MA 02139

From: [John Sarna](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:50:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Sarna

40 Parkridge Drive
Sherwood, AR 72120

From: [C. Leavell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:47:22 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
C. Leavell

Anaheim, CA 82796

From: [Rob Jursa](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:47:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Rob Jursa

Liesingtalstrasse 117
Breitenfurt, AE 00000

From: [suzanne williams](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:46:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
suzanne williams

8 S Rockwell Ave
Savannah, GA 31419

From: [Susie Foot](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:44:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Susie Foot

1873 Cliff Ave
Mckinleyville, CA 95519

From: [Susan Gardner](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:44:38 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Susan Gardner

3708 S Marshall Dr
Independence, MO 64055

From: [Doug Landau](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:44:32 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Doug Landau

150 73rd St S
St Petersburg, FL 33707

From: [Lee Stanfield](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:44:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lee Stanfield

6231 E. 15th St.
Tucson, AZ 85711

From: [William Cline](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:42:50 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
William Cline

3309 Grimsby Pl
Ottawa Hills, OH 43606

From: [Linda Fighera](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:42:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Linda Fighera

463 Pelham Rd
New Rochelle, NY 10805

From: [Jeanne Fobes](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:41:48 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Fobes

328 Aliso Ave
Newport Beach, CA 92663

From: [Mary Ann Maikish](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:40:59 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Maikish

511 E 20th St
New York, NY 10010

From: [William Rogers II, M.D.](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:40:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
William Rogers II, M.D.

25 NW 23rd Pl
Portland, OR 97210

From: [Wendy tanowitz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:40:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Wendy tanowitz

3218 - 41st Way NW
Olympia, WA 98502

From: [H. Rosenberg](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:39:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
H. Rosenberg

5682 Kingsport Dr.
Sandy Springs, GA 30342

From: [Craig Clark](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:37:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Craig Clark

412 lafayette ave
CLIFFSIDE PARK, NJ 07010

From: [María Galarce](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:37:58 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
María Galarce

Moisés Chacón
Zapallar, TX 00206

From: [Craig Clark](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:37:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Craig Clark

412 lafayette ave
CLIFFSIDE PARK, NJ 07010

From: [Libby Sosa](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:37:02 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Libby Sosa

205 Elm St Apt 152
Noank, CT 06340

From: [Vic Macks](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:36:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Vic Macks

20318 Edmunton St
Saint Clair Shores, MI 48080

From: [John Tyler](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:33:42 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Tyler

8450 Saint Stephens Way
Templeton, CA 93465

From: [Michael Kurokawa](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:33:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Michael Kurokawa

9 Walter Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [Nicolas Davies](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:33:24 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Davies

1165 NE 127th St
North Miami, FL 33161

From: [Joe Glaston](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:32:52 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Joe Glaston

16400 Bubbling Wells Rd
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

From: [Karin Davies](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:32:51 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Karin Davies

504 Eagle Ct
Schaumburg, IL 60194

From: [suzanne williams](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:32:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
suzanne williams

savannah, GA 31419

From: [Carlos Townsend](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:31:16 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Carlos Townsend

9189 La Barca Cir
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

From: [Kathleen O'Connell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:28:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kathleen O'Connell

5360 Singleton St
Indianapolis, IN 46227

From: [Marya Zanders](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:27:56 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Marya Zanders

407 E Walnut St
Centerville, IA 52544

From: [Ken Lesem](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:24:25 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Ken Lesem

90 James Ave
Burlington, VT 05408

From: [Stephen Sachs](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:23:49 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Stephen Sachs

1916 San Pedro Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87110

From: [Peter Gunther](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:23:19 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Peter Gunther

5636 N. Spaulding Ave
Chicago, IL 60659

From: [JL Angell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:20:36 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
JL Angell

2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, CA 95672

From: [Scott Simmerman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:19:04 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Scott Simmerman

Greer, SC

From: [Anne Small](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:18:23 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Anne Small

704 River Rd
Church Hill, TN 37642

From: [sandra.geist](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:18:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
sandra geist

2395 Delaware Ave Spc 16
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: [Jack Byrom](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:18:02 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jack Byrom

527 West Main St.
Grand Junction, CO 81501

From: [Bob Farrell](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:17:12 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Bob Farrell

6307 California Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136

From: [John Tovar](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:17:10 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
John Tovar

4305 Autumn Ridge Rd. Unit# 210
Cedar Falls, IA 50613

From: [Kerry Dietz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:17:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Kerry Dietz

126 Wiltshire Rd
Claymont, DE 19703

From: [Gregory Duncan](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:13:13 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Gregory Duncan

9609 Spurwood Ct
Fort Wayne, IN 46804

From: [Jane Nachazel-Ruck](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:13:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Jane Nachazel-Ruck

1844 Effie St
Los Angeles, CA 90026

From: [Margaret Loomis](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:12:05 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Margaret Loomis

10206 Day Ave
Silver Spring, MD 20910

From: [Laura Sholtz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:11:11 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Laura Sholtz

384 Fogler Rd
Exeter, ME 04435

From: [Pamylle Greinke](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:11:06 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Pamylle Greinke

PO Box 456
Peconic, NY 11958

From: [Deborah Voves](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:10:18 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Deborah Voves

Anchorage, AK 99516

From: [L. Bagley](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:09:28 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
L. Bagley

4749 Trumbull Ave SE
Albuquerque, NM 87108

From: [Brian Schwartz](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:07:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Brian Schwartz

406 Gaitway Ct
Freehold, NJ 07728

From: [Lisa Gherardi](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:07:00 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lisa Gherardi

435 Alberto Way
Los Gatos, CA 95032

From: [Shakayla Thomas](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:41 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Shakayla Thomas

407 S Taper Ave
Compton, CA 90220

From: [Lisa Pedersen](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Lisa Pedersen

6289 Seabeck Holly Rd NW
Seabeck, WA 98380

From: [Karen Sanguinetti](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:39 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Karen Sanguinetti

1206 Via Tornasol
Aptos, CA 95003

From: [Joe Roy](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:31 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Joe Roy

1 Rahway Rd
Burlington, MA 01803

From: [Guido Carl](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:04:01 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Guido Carl

Lorsch, AL 00212

From: [joyce wisdom](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:02:33 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
joyce wisdom

361 Daroca Ave
Long Beach, CA 90803

From: [Don McKenzie](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:01:13 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Don McKenzie

2141 Miles Rd.
Cincinnati, OH 45231

From: [Karen Freeman](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:01:08 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Karen Freeman

8711 Rustling Mdws
San Antonio, TX 78254

From: [Dorothy Miller](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:00:27 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Miller

210 Nahanton St
Newton Center, MA 02459

From: [joshua.pritikin](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 3:00:15 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
joshua pritikin

8074 Thunderhead Ave
White City, OR 97503

From: [Deborah L. Hall](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:59:26 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
Deborah L. Hall

4405 Karrol SW
Albuquerque, NM 87121

From: [David Lees](#)
To: [Docket, Hearing](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID NRC-2021-0099: Exelon license transfer application
Date: Saturday, June 05, 2021 2:58:37 PM

Dear NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Dear Chairman Hanson and Commissioners Baron, Caputo, and Wright,

I urge you to reject Exelon's petition to transfer all of its nuclear reactor licenses to a new, completely separate corporation (currently called SpinCo). The Commission must reject this corporate spin-off scheme, which is unprecedented in size, scope, and complexity. It places worker and public health and safety at immense risk from the transfer of aging, uneconomical reactors, thousands of tons of radioactive waste, and over \$14 billion in consumer-funded decommissioning funds to a company that does not even exist yet.

In order to possess a reactor operating license, a company must demonstrate that it is financially qualified and can provide assurance of sufficient funds to fully decommission the reactor. Exelon's proposed "SpinCo" meets neither criteria, and its license transfer application provides no evidence to the contrary.

Exelon states publicly and in its application that many of its reactors are not able to generate enough revenue to pay their operating and maintenance costs. Several others are financially dependent on temporary consumer subsidies from state governments. SpinCo will have no other sources of revenue except for electricity sales and subsidies, and cannot guarantee it will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain all of its reactors safely.

Neither can SpinCo provide assurance of decommissioning funding. In 2019, Callan Institute concluded that Exelon's decommissioning funds were between \$1.5 billion and \$7.4 billion short of the amounts needed for decommissioning. The company will have no other sources of decommissioning funding than the decommissioning trust funds. Because the reactors themselves are unprofitable and dependent on temporary, out-of-market subsidies, SpinCo will not be able to make up for decommissioning fund shortfalls and cost overruns.

What is more, the application does not provide the state in which SpinCo will be incorporated, nor the names of its executives and officers, as it has not even been incorporated. In effect, Exelon is asking NRC to violate its own statutory and regulatory requirements (per 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.33), and allow 28 reactor licenses and billions of dollars in decommissioning funds to be transferred to an unknown company.

Exelon is proposing this spin-off because it no longer sees a future in nuclear power, which is increasingly risky and uneconomical. So Exelon has decided to get rid of its nuclear power plants because no other company sees a future in them either. But the Atomic Energy Act and NRC's licensing requirements are intended to prevent exactly what Exelon is proposing. Putting nuclear reactors in the hands of financially unqualified companies that could put the public's health and safety at risk. NRC must dismiss Exelon's application: it is incomplete, SpinCo is not financially qualified, and cannot provide decommissioning funding assurance.

Sincerely,
David Lees

41 Topsfield rd
Ipswich, MA 01938