In accordance with examination security guidance contained in NUREG 1021, Revision 11, APS requests that the material contained in the enclosures be withheld from public disclosure until after the examinations are complete.

NUREG 1021/10 CFR 55



Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 52034 Phoenix, AZ 85072 Mail Station 7636 Tel 623 393 5379

102-08203-MEK/JR May 14, 2021

S. A. Morris, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV 1600 E. Lamar Blvd. Arlington, TX 76011-4511

Reference: NRC letter, "Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 – Notification of NRC Initial Operating Licensing Examination, dated June 29, 2020" [Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML20180A002]

Dear Sir:

Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Units 1, 2, and 3 Docket Nos. STN 50-528, 50-529, 50-530 2021 Post-Exam Comments and Analysis Submittal

Arizona Public Service Company (APS) management has completed its review of the initial operator licensing examination conducted April 26 through May 6, 2021. Per NUREG 1021, Rev 11, Section ES-501 (C.1.b), this letter provides the required post examination documents. There were no substantive comments made by the applicants following the written examination. Enclosed examination documents are:

- 1. HARD COPY:
 - \circ $\,$ Graded written examinations including each applicant's original answer sheets
 - Original exam cover sheet for each applicant with grades filled in
 - A clean copy of each applicant's answer sheet (made prior to grading)
 - Completed ES-403-1, Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist
- 2. ELECTRONIC COPY (on CD):
 - Master examination(s) and answer key(s), annotated to indicate any changes made while administering and grading the examinations(s) – (No changes made)
 - Any questions asked by and answers given to the applicants during administration of the written exam

In accordance with examination security guidance contained in NUREG 1021, Revision 11, APS requests that the material contained in the enclosures be withheld from public disclosure until after the examinations are complete.

102-08203-MEK/JR S. A. Morris USNRC, Region IV Post-Exam Comments and Analysis Page 2

- All examination administration or post-examination review comments made by the facility licensee and the applicants after the written examination and/or operating tests
- Written examination seating chart
- Results of any written exam performance analysis that was performed, with recommended substantive changes
- Justification for any recommended exam changes (no changes recommended)
- o ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
- Copies of condition reports written or to be written as a means to improve exam processes, procedure quality, training quality, exam security, simulator fidelity, and any other general topics that relate to the exam process

As discussed with the Chief Examiner, APS will obtain post-exam signatures from individuals who had detailed knowledge of any part of the operating tests or written examination and electronically forward completed Form(s) ES-201-3, "Examination Security Agreement," with the appropriate pre- and post-examination signatures.

In accordance with examination security guidance contained in NUREG 1021 Revision 11 and ES-201, APS requests that the NRC Region IV office delay public release of the proposed and final operating test, written examinations and answer keys for a period of 2 years from the date of the examination completion.

No commitments are being made to the NRC by this letter.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Jarred J. Shaver, Nuclear Training Section Leader, at (623) 393-4519.

Sincerely,

Elkinton, Delbert C(Z34657) Digitally signed by Elkinton, Delbert C(Z34657) DN: cn=Elkinton, Delbert C(Z34657) Reason: For MEK, per delegation Date: 2021.05.14 10:47:00 -07'00'

Matthew E. Kura Manager, Regulatory Affairs, Compliance

MEK/JR

In accordance with examination security guidance contained in NUREG 1021, Revision 11, APS requests that the material contained in the enclosures be withheld from public disclosure until after the examinations are complete.

102-08203-MEK/JR S. A. Morris USNRC, Region IV Post-Exam Comments and Analysis Page 3

Enclosures:

- 1. HARD COPY:
 - $\circ~$ Graded written examinations including each applicant's original answer sheets
 - \circ $\,$ Original exam cover sheet for each applicant with grades filled in
 - A clean copy of each applicant's answer sheet (made prior to grading)
 - o Completed ES-403-1, Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist
- 2. ELECTRONIC COPY (on CD):
 - Master examination(s) and answer key(s), annotated to indicate any changes made while administering and grading the examinations(s) – (No changes made)
 - Any questions asked by and answers given to the applicants during administration of the written exam
 - All examination administration or post-examination review comments made by the facility licensee and the applicants after the written examination and/or operating tests
 - Written exam seating chart
 - Results of any written exam performance analysis that was performed, with recommended substantive changes
 - Justification for any recommended exam changes (no changes recommended)
 - ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement
 - Copies of condition reports written or to be written as a means to improve exam processes, procedure quality, training quality, exam security, simulator fidelity, and any other general topics that relate to the exam process

cc: (w/o enclosure) C. A. Peabody NRC Senior Resident Inspector for PVNGS

(w enclosure)	
C. C. Osterholtz	NRC Region IV, Chief Examiner
J. A. Bridges	NRC Region IV, Licensing Assistant, Operations Branch

2021 PVNGS NRC Initial Written Exam Performance Analysis

*All question with a < 70% pass rate

Question 6			Given the following conditions:		
Question o			Unit 1 is in MODE 4		
			• SDC is in service on Train 'B' using the 'B' LPSI Pump		
			Subsequently:		
			The 'B' Spray Pond Pump tripped		
			In order to restore SDC flow using the 'B' LPSI Pump, the crew should FIRST		
			attempt to		
0%	А.	cro	oss-tie Plant Cooling Water to 'B' Spray Pond Cooling Water to restore cooling		
070	-Λ.	to	the 'B' EW Heat Exchanger		
48%	В.	cro	oss-tie 'B' Nuclear Cooling Water to 'B' Essential Cooling Water to restore		
40%	D.	coc	oling to the 'B' SDC Heat Exchanger		
00/	<u> </u>	sta	rt and align the 'A' Spray Pond Pump to the 'B' EW Heat Exchanger to restore		
0%	C.	coc	cooling to the 'B' EW Heat Exchanger		
F 29/	D.	pla	ce Train 'A' Spray Pond / Essential Cooling / Essential Chill Water in service		
52%	υ.	and	and align the 'B' LPSI Pump to the 'A' SDC Heat Exchanger		
Analys	sis:		48% of the class missed this question, fairly equally amongst RO and SRO		
			applicants. The misconception on this question was that cross-tying one		
			system would be preferable to re-aligning 3 systems from one train to		
			another. The crux of the question is that class equipment is always		
			preferable to non-class equipment and the Lower Mode Functional Recovery		
			procedure is written this way. Upon review of the LMFR lesson plan, this		
			mitigating strategy is not strongly emphasized.		
Conclusion:		:	Consider revising/enhancing the LMFR lesson plan to add/enhance		
			information about the order of preference for equipment realignments		
			following a loss of SDC.		

Question 62		Given the following conditions:
Question 02		6
		Unit 2 is operating at 100% power
		 All inputs at the RRS Cabinet are selected to AVERAGE
		CEDMCS Mode Selector Switch is in AUTO
		Subsequently:
		 ONE of the two Turbine First Stage Pressure inputs to the RRS system
		begins to fail LOW resulting in Tref lowering at a rate of 1°F/min
		Over the next 10 minutes, with NO operator action, how will the RRS system
		respond to this failure?
		CEAs will remain ARO due to an AMI signal being generated prior to any CEA
62%	Α.	movement
14%	В.	CEAs will start inserting when Tavg-Tref difference reaches 3°F and continue
		inserting until operator action is taken
24%	C.	CEAs will start inserting when Tavg-Tref difference reaches 3°F, then stop
	<u> </u>	inserting when the Tavg-Tref HI-LO alarm annunciates
0%	D.	CEAs will remain ARO due to the failed First Stage Pressure instrument being
070	υ.	automatically removed from the comparison circuit prior to any CEA movement
Analysis: During exam review, some members of the class indicated that they did		During exam review, some members of the class indicated that they did not
,		know the proportional relationship between TFSP, TLI, and Tref. As the
		question was explained, they all acknowledged that this was taught and that
		they knew the information at some point, but had forgotten the exact
		proportionalities and guess incorrectly during the exam.
Conclusion:		
	usion.	
		is taught in systems training (~ 9-12 months prior to the NRC exam), it may
		be worth refreshing prior to station Audit and NRC exams in the future.

Question 79		9 Given the following conditions:
		 Unit 1 is operating at 100% power
		• The 'A' and 'B' Charging Pumps are running
		 VCT level is 40%
		 The following alarms have just annunciated on B03:
		 3A08A CHG HDR SYS TRBL
		 3A11B RCP SEAL INJ FLOW HI-HI OR LO
		• CHB-FI-212, Charging Pumps Discharge Header Flow, is indicating 25
		gpm
		 The CRS has entered 40AO-9ZZ05, Loss of Charging or Letdown,
		Appendix G, Responding to Gas Binding of Charging Pumps
		Per 40AO-9ZZ05, Loss of Charging or Letdown, the CRS should direct
		(1) the OATC to place in Pull to Lock
		(2) an AO to perform to vent the affected Charging Pumps
38%	А.	(1) ONLY the 'A' and 'B' Charging Pumps
3670	А.	(2) Appendix H, Venting Charging Pumps and Header to the Vent Receiver
		(1) ONLY the 'A' and 'B' Charging Pumps
63%	В.	(2) Appendix I, Venting Charging Pumps and Header to the Recycle Drain
		Header
0%	C.	(1) ALL three Charging Pumps
	С.	(2) Appendix H, Venting Charging Pumps and Header to the Vent Receiver
0%	D.	(1) ALL three Charging Pumps
		(2) Appendix I, Venting Charging Pumps and Header to the Recycle Drain Header
Analysis:		During exam review, the SROs felt that the question was fair, and they had
		simply forgotten which appendix is used and what condition that was based
		on (VCT level). They acknowledged that 40AO-9ZZ05 is very well trained and
		that they just didn't go to that depth of study on this particular AOP prior to
	•	the exam.
Conclusion:		
		further action is need for this topic.

Ouos+	ion 9	1	Given the following conditions:	
Question 81		T	Unit 3 is operating at 100% power	
			All Class 125 VDC components are operable	
			Both Swing Chargers are in standby	
			Subsequently:	
			 At time = 0100: 'A' Battery Charger, PKA-H11, failed and has no output voltage 	
			 At time = 0115: 'A' Battery, PKA-F11, output voltage dropped below the minimum required voltage for energhility. 	
			the minimum required voltage for operability	
			 At time = 0130: 'AC' Swing Charger, PKA-H15, was aligned to PKA- M41 	
			 At time = 0200: 'A' Battery, PKA-F11, output voltage was restored to minimum required voltage for operability 	
			Based on the listed timeline of events, LCO 3.8.4, DC Sources – Operating,	
			was INITIALLY NOT MET at(1), and was subsequently MET AS SOON	
			AS	
	T	1	(2)	
38%	А.	(1)	0100	
5070	д.	(2)) the 'AC' Swing Charger was aligned to PKA-M41	
63%	в.		0100	
0370	D.		'A' Battery voltage was restored to minimum required voltage	
0%	C.	(1)	0115	
078	С.	(2)) the 'AC' Swing Charger was aligned to PKA-M41	
0%		(1)	0115	
0%	D.	(2)	'A' Battery voltage was restored to minimum required voltage	
Analys	sis:		During exam review, the SROs who missed the question stated that they	
			thought that LCO 3.8.4 was satisfied when the swing charger was aligned	
			and that minimum voltage only pertained to LCO 3.8.6. They also felt that	
			the question was a bit unfair for a closed book question as memorization of	
			TS details seems unreasonable.	
Conclusion:			The material is trained well, however keeping the details of which specific	
			information pertains to which LCO, for all of TS, can be challenging. The	
			exam room will coordinate with LOIT staff prior to commencing	
			development on the next NRC exam to discuss which TS information needs	
			to be known from memory to ensure fair yet discriminating questions going	
			forward.	