

**From:** [Williams, Shawn](#)  
**To:** [Zaremba, Arthur H.](#)  
**Cc:** [Vaughan, Jordan L](#)  
**Subject:** Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 - Acceptance of Proposed Alternative for Inservice Inspection of Containment Post-Tensioning System Components (EPID L-2021-LLR-0034)  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 26, 2021 12:07:08 PM

---

Mr. Zaremba,

By letter dated May 6, 2021 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML21126A002), Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, (the licensee) submitted an alternative request for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Specifically, the licensee is proposing a modification to the scope and schedule currently required by American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code requirement IWL-2421(b) for post-tensioning system examinations. The licensee submitted the request pursuant to 10 CFR Section 50.55a(z)(1), which requires the applicant to demonstrate that the proposed alternative would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this proposed alternative. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to begin its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the request has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to begin its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified in despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this proposed request will take approximately 110 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review by May 2022. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they can change due to several factors including requests for additional information, and unanticipated addition of scope to the review. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact Shawn Williams at (301) 415-1009 or

[Shawn.Williams@nrc.gov](mailto:Shawn.Williams@nrc.gov).

Shawn Williams, Senior Project Manager  
Plant Licensing Branch II-1  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287

cc: Listserv