



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 21, 2021

MEMORANDUM TO: Mike F. King, Deputy Director  
Reactor Safety Programs and Mission Support  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Caroline L. Carusone, Deputy Director */RA/*  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: QUARTERLY JANUARY-MARCH 2021 REPORT ON THE STATUS  
OF PUBLIC PETITIONS SUBMITTED UNDER TITLE 10 OF THE  
*CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS* SECTION 2.206 (CAC NO.  
TM3058)

This memorandum transmits the quarterly status report of petitions being evaluated under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 2.206, "Requests for action under this subpart." This report covers open and closed petitions from January 1 through March 31, 2021, including their age statistics.

Enclosure:  
Quarterly 10 CFR 2.206 Status Report  
January - March 2021

cc: SECY  
EDO  
OGC  
OCA  
OPA  
OCFO

CONTACT: Perry Buckberg, NRR/DORL  
301-415-1383

SUBJECT: QUARTERLY JANUARY-MARCH 2021 REPORT ON THE STATUS OF PUBLIC PETITIONS SUBMITTED UNDER TITLE 10 OF THE *CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS*, SECTION 2.206 DATED APRIL 21, 2021

**DISTRIBUTION:** 200700062

PUBLIC	RidsOcaMailCenter	DWillis, OE
PM File Copy	RidsOcfoMailCenter	LBaer, OGC
RidsEdoMailCenter	RidsOeMailCenter	PMoulding, OGC
RidsNmssOd	RidsOgcMailCenter	RCarpenter, OGC
RidsNroOd	RidsOpaMail	RChazell, SECY
RidsNrrDirslrgb	RidsRgn1MailCenter	HSpeiser, SECY
RidsNrrDorlLpl1	RidsRgn2MailCenter	CSola, SECY
RidsNrrDorlLpl2-1	RidsRgn3MailCenter	BNewell, SECY
RidsNrrDorlLpl2-2	RidsRgn4MailCenter	BKlukan, RII
RidsNrrDorlLpl3	RidsSecyMailCenter	TStephen, RII
RidsNrrDorlLpl4	RKuntz, NRR	SPrice, RII
RidsNrrDorlLspb	JSmith, NMSS	RIII Fermi BC
RidsNrrLARButler	SWall, NRR	MMcCoppin, EDO
RidsNrrMailCenter	XYin, NRR	LHamdan, NMSS
RidsNsirOd	TLiu	
RidsNrrOd	JTobin, NRR	

**ADAMS Accession No. ML21095A019**

OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPL4/PM	NRR/DORL/LPL2-2/LA	NRR/DORL/LPL2-2/BC	NRR/DORL/DD
NAME	PBuckberg	RButler	DWrona	CCarusone
DATE	04/5/2021	04/6/2021	04/8/2021	04/19/2021

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

Quarterly Status of Public Petitions Submitted Under Title 10 of the  
*Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 2.206  
 Status Report – January - March, 2021

For each open or closed petition listed below, the individual status page summarizes the issues raised by the petitioner, the background, and the next steps.

When a petition is received, the submittal is evaluated against the screening criteria in Management Directive (MD) 8.11, “Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions,” to determine if consideration by a Petition Review Board (PRB) is warranted or if the submittal will be dispositioned outside of the 10 CFR 2.206 process. If a submittal is currently being screened, it will not be included in this report. If a submittal has been screened and dispositioned outside of the 10 CFR 2.206 process, the submitter will be notified and the submission will not be included in this report.

If PRB consideration is warranted, the petition will be referred to as an ‘open petition under consideration’ in this report. If a PRB makes its final determination to not accept a petition for further review, the submitter will be notified and the petition will be listed as a closed petition.

If a PRB accepts a petition for further review under 10 CFR 2.206, the petition will continue to be listed as an ‘open petition under consideration’ until the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) staff formally grants or denies the requested actions in a Director’s Decision (DD). After issuing a DD, the petition will be listed as a closed petition.

Licensee/Facility	Petitioner/EDO No.	Page
<b>PETITIONS CLOSED DURING THIS PERIOD</b>		
National Institute of Standards and Technology - Center for Neutron Research	NIST Fraternal Order of Police Labor Committee OEDO-20-00406	2
Pressurized Water Reactors	Thomas Saporito OEDO-20-00442	3
Boiling Water Mark I Plants	Beyond Nuclear OEDO-20-00413	5
<b>OPEN PETITIONS UNDER CONSIDERATION</b>		
Tetra Tech EC, Inc.	David Anton OEDO-17-00454	6
Fermi Unit 2	Beyond Nuclear Don’t Waste Michigan OEDO-20-00148	8
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3	Public Watchdogs OEDO-20-00414	9

**CLOSED PETITION**  
***OEDO-20-00406 (Petition Age: 5 months)***

---

Facility:	National Institute of Standards and Technology - Center for Neutron Research
Licensee Type:	Research and Test
Petitioner(s):	NIST Fraternal Order of Police Labor Committee
Date of Petition:	September 4, 2020
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	February 18, 2021
Last Contact with Petitioner:	February 18, 2021
Petition Manager:	Xiaoson Yin
Case Attorney:	Rob Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requests that the NRC require that the current licensing basis for the Center for Neutron Research explicitly include "Police Officers" in all licensing requirements as a new security force would be lesser trained and would reduce force capabilities.

Background:

- On September 4, 2020, the petitioner filed a petition under 10 CFR 2.206 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20283A668).
- On November 2, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that a PRB would begin its evaluation and that concerns expressed in the petition do not warrant short-term immediate action.
- On November 12, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB's initial assessment was that the petition did not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for accepting petitions under 10 CFR 2.206. The petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 15, 2020, the meeting scheduled with the PRB was attempted but the petitioner did not attend.
- The petition manager worked with the petitioner to schedule another meeting between the petitioner and the PRB.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- The petition manager was not able to arrange a meeting with the petitioner and on February 12, 2021, the petitioner agreed via phone that the PRB could move ahead to close the petition evaluation.
- On February 18, 2021, a closure letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML21032A336) was issued stating that the petition does not meet the criteria for consideration under 10 CFR 2.206. All actions on this petition are completed.

**CLOSED PETITION**  
***OEDO-20-00442 (Petition Age: 5 months)***

---

Facility:	Pressurized Water Reactors (PWRs)
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Thomas Saporito
Date of Petitions:	October 31, 2020, and November 8, 2020
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	March 11, 2021
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 11, 2021
Petition Manager:	Robert Kuntz
Case Attorney:	Rob Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

On October 31, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20307A664), the petitioner requested that the NRC order the shutdown of all PWRs due to faulty testing procedures of core samples, ill-advised reactor pressure vessel data applications, and wear produced by extended power uprate thermal loading.

On November 8, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20324A227), the petitioner requested that the NRC not accept assertions regarding the Beaver Valley, Unit 2, reactor pressure vessel sample testing made by the licensee's letter dated October 28, 2020. The petitioner also requested that the NRC issue Confirmatory Orders requiring the licensee to test Beaver Valley, Unit 2, reactor samples with a newer test apparatus and to require that the licensee identify the current apparatus.

Background:

- On October 31, 2020, the petitioner filed a petition under 10 CFR 2.206.
- On November 5, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC had determined that immediate action was not warranted. The petition manager informed the petitioner that a PRB would begin its evaluation.
- On November 19, 2020, the petition manager provided the petitioner a status update.
- On December 21, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB is incorporating the November 8, 2020, Beaver Valley, Unit 2 petition evaluation into the evaluation of this PWR petition, that the PRB's initial assessment was that the petitions do not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for acceptance under 10 CFR 2.206. The petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 28, 2020, the petitioner informed the petition manager that a meeting with the PRB was desired.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On January 22, 2021, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a public meeting and provided a written supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21027A212). The meeting transcript is also considered a supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21033B008).

- On March 11, 2021, a closure letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML21040A376) was issued stating that the petition does not meet the criteria for consideration under 10 CFR 2.206. All actions on this petition are completed.

**CLOSED PETITION**  
***OEDO-20-00413 (Petition Age: 5 months)***

---

Facility:	Boiling Water Reactors (BWRs) with Mark I Containment Systems
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Mark Leyse, Beyond Nuclear
Date of Petition:	October 16, 2020
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	March 29, 2021
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 29, 2021
Petition Manager:	Perry Buckberg
Case Attorney:	Rob Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC order all BWRs with Mark I containment systems to cease operation until the hardened containment vent system can be replaced with a higher capacity venting system.

Background:

- On October 16, 2020, the petitioner filed a petition under 10 CFR 2.206 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20294A240).
- On October 27, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC had determined that immediate action was not warranted. The petition manager informed the petitioner that a PRB would begin its evaluation.
- On December 18, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB's initial assessment was that the petition did not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for accepting petitions under 10 CFR 2.206. The petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On December 28, 2020, the petitioner informed the petition manager that a meeting with the PRB was desired.
- A public meeting between the petitioner and the PRB was scheduled for February 3, 2021.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On February 3, 2021, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a public meeting and provided a written supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21035A173). The meeting transcript is also considered a supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21048A057).
- On March 29, 2021, a closure letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML21062A158) was issued stating that the petition does not meet the criteria for consideration under 10 CFR 2.206. All actions on this petition are closed.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***OEDO-17-00454 (Petition Age: 45 months)***

---

Facility:	Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
Licensee Type:	Materials
Petitioner(s):	David Anton
Date of Petition:	June 29, 2017
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	December 22, 2020
Petition Manager:	James Smith
Case Attorney:	Lorraine Baer

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC revoke the materials license for Tetra Tech EC, Inc., due to concerns about its role in the cleanup of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS) in San Francisco, California, including remediation of radiological contamination. The submittal was lengthy with multiple attachments and included requests and concerns outside of the scope of 10 CFR 2.206.

Background:

- On June 29, 2017, the petitioner filed a petition for enforcement action under 10 CFR 2.206 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18178A067).
- On July 20, July 22, and August 1, 2017, the petition manager and petitioner discussed timing of a public meeting.
- On October 19, 2017, the PRB met and decided to hold the petition in abeyance because the issues raised are the subject of ongoing reviews separate from the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- On December 6, 2017, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the processing of the petition was taking longer than the usual amount of time due to the need to obtain results from ongoing reviews outside the 10 CFR 2.206 process.
- On February 13, 2018, the petitioner supplemented the petition with information pertaining to other HPNS site areas that may have included work done by Tetra Tech at Parcels C and E at HPNS.
- On June 18, 2018, the petition manager discussed with the petitioner the petition status and the next possible opportunity to address the PRB.
- On August 15, 2018, the PRB met to discuss whether the petition meets the MD 8.11 criteria for acceptance.
- On September 13, 2018, the petitioner and the petition manager discussed logistics of a tentative meeting.
- On October 17, 2018, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a public meeting. The petitioner did not submit additional information during the meeting, but the transcript is considered a supplement to the petition.
- On October 29, 2018, the PRB met to discuss the information provided by the petitioner during the October 17, 2018, public meeting.



- As a result of several internal deliberations, the PRB's initial determination was to reject the 10 CFR 2.206 petition.
- On February 22, 2019, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB's initial determination was to reject the petition. The petitioner requested a second opportunity to address the PRB.
- On June 25, 2019, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a second public meeting. The transcript is considered a supplement to the petition. The petitioner also provided supplemental information after the meeting.
- On August 9, 2019, the licensee provided a response to the PRB regarding statements made by the petitioner during the June 25, 2019, public meeting and the petitioner's supplement submitted after the meeting.
- On September 12, 2019, the PRB met to discuss the final recommendation.
- On December 19, 2019, a letter was issued by the NRC stating that the petition will be held in abeyance and will be reassessed after the legal resolution of the Department of Justice civil complaint against Tetra Tech.
- On April 24, 2020, August 24, 2020, and December 21, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that his petition was still being held in abeyance pending legal resolution of the Department of Justice civil complaint against Tetra Tech.
- On December 22, 2020, the petitioner informed the petition manager of changes to the petition contacts.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- The petition manager will continue to check for updates regarding the civil complaint. The petition manager will inform the petitioner if any emergent petition-related activity occurs and will inform the petitioner whether or not there is a change to the abeyance status of the petition.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***OEDO-20-00148 (Petition Age: 11 months)***

---

Facility:	Fermi Unit 2
Licensee Type:	Reactor
Petitioner(s):	Terry Lodge
Date of Petition:	April 16, 2020
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	November 9, 2020
Petition Manager:	Scott Wall
Case Attorney:	Rob Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioner requested that the NRC issue Demands for Information to obtain a formal risk assessment of torus coating conditions, a list of tasks deferred due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak, and answers to his questions about the COVID-19 impact on emergency planning.

Background:

- On April 16, 2020, the petitioner filed a petition under 10 CFR 2.206 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20111A334).
- On April 22, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the initial screening of the petition had begun.
- On June 26, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the NRC had determined that immediate action was not warranted. The petition manager informed the petitioner that a PRB would be performing its initial assessment of the torus coating related request to determine if it should be accepted into the 10 CFR 2.206 process. The petition manager also informed the petitioner that their requests for information from DTE Energy related to deferred tasks and COVID-19 impacts on emergency planning have screened out of the 10 CFR 2.206 petition process.
- On September 29, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB's initial assessment was that the petition did not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for accepting petitions under 10 CFR 2.206. The petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On October 9, 2020, the petitioner requested a delay in scheduling a meeting with the PRB until the NRC responds to the petitioner's Freedom of Information Act request.
- On November 9, 2020, the petition manager notified the petitioner that the PRB will delay scheduling the meeting with the PRB until after the response has been issued for the Freedom of Information Act request.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- The petition manager will continue to monitor the NRC response to the petitioner's Freedom of Information Act request.

**OPEN PETITION UNDER CONSIDERATION**  
***OEDO-20-00414 (Petition Age: 5 months)***

---

Facility:	Southern California Edison
Licensee Type:	Decommissioning
Petitioner(s):	Public Watchdogs
Date of Petition:	October 13, 2020
DD to be Issued by:	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
Acknowledgement Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Closure Letter Issued:	Not Applicable
Last Contact with Petitioner:	March 30, 2021
Petition Manager:	Tilda Liu
Case Attorney:	Rob Carpenter

Issues/Actions Requested:

The petitioners requested that the NRC issue Demands for Information to “Southern California Edison, Holtec, and all other licensees using the Holtec UMAX storage system; and, all other Holtec systems whose licensing documents (Final Safety Analysis Reports and/or Safety Evaluation Reports) claim: “There are no credible events that could result in the release of radioactive materials from the system.””

Background:

- On October 13, 2020, the petitioner filed a petition under 10 CFR 2.206 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20294A388).
- On November 13, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that concerns expressed in the petition do not warrant short-term immediate action and that the screening process is continuing.
- On December 16, 2020, the petition manager informed the petitioner that a PRB would begin its evaluation.

Actions Completed This Quarter/Next Steps:

- On January 12, 2021, the petition manager informed the petitioner that the PRB’s initial assessment was that the petition did not meet the MD 8.11 criteria for accepting petitions under 10 CFR 2.206. The petition manager offered the petitioner an opportunity to address the PRB.
- On March 9, 2021, the petitioner addressed the PRB in a public meeting and provided a written supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21070A318). The meeting transcript is also considered a supplement to the petition (ADAMS Accession No. ML21084A478).
- On March 30, 2021, the petitioner submitted new information related to the petition.
- The PRB is considering all information received from the petitioner in its final recommendation on whether to accept the petition for review.