

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 3/10/21 12:08 PM
<b>Received:</b> March 07, 2021
<b>Status:</b> Pending Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> klz-vh5x-kpsc
<b>Comments Due:</b> March 08, 2021
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2021-0036

Palisades Nuclear Plant and Big Rock Point Plant Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Control of Licenses and Conforming Amendments

**Comment On:** NRC-2021-0036-0001

Palisades Nuclear Plant and Big Rock Point Plant Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Control of Licenses and Conforming Amendments

**Document:** NRC-2021-0036-DRAFT-0032

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02357

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** flora biancalana

**Address:** United States,

---

## General Comment

My comments are in relation to Docket ID NRC-2021-0036 regarding Palisades and Big Rock Point nuclear plants. I am a licensed physician in Michigan and have two basic concerns. One is the limited amount of time given for the citizens of Michigan to know about this and give comments. 30 days is a very short amount of time especially when there has been little if any public requests for comments. Second, the risks to the health of the land, waters and people of the Great Lakes is considerable and will last hundreds to millions of years potentially. I do not think the precautionary principle is being discussed or used to make this important decision.

The risks I am aware of are: Tritium contamination present at Palisades for 100+years; Cesium-137 contamination present at Palisades for several centuries; Plutonium contamination present at Big Rock Point for at least 240,000 years and radioactive irradiated nuclear fuel storage at both sites for millions of years. And I am sure there are other risks I am not even aware of. I urge for a longer period of time for Michigan citizens to comment on this and for this to be more publicly known. flora biancalana, M.D.