

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

SUNI Review Complete  
Template=ADM-013  
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill  
Rogers, Kevin Folk,  
Stacey Imboden, Mary  
Neely  
Comment (156)  
Publication Date:2/1/2021  
Citation: 86 FR 7747

<b>As of:</b> 3/9/21 9:03 AM <b>Received:</b> March 03, 2021 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> klt-zx6t-ng68 <b>Comments Due:</b> March 03, 2021 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

**Comment On:** NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

**Document:** NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0161

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

---

## Submitter Information

**Email:** elizabeth.ward@sierraclub.org

**Organization:** Sierra Club Wisconsin

---

## General Comment

See attached file(s)

---

## Attachments

Sierra Club Comments on Point Beach Extension



**Sierra Club – Wisconsin**  
754 Williamson St., Madison, Wisconsin 53703-3546  
Telephone: (608) 256-0565 E-mail:  
[wisconsin.chapter@sierraclub.org](mailto:wisconsin.chapter@sierraclub.org)  
Website: [sierraclub.org/Wisconsin](http://sierraclub.org/Wisconsin)

March 3, 2021

## **Comments related to the Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed License Extension for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant in Two Rivers Wisconsin**

Thank you for accepting our comments on behalf of the Sierra Club Wisconsin and Sierra Club Michigan Chapters and our 20,000 members in Wisconsin and 24,000 members in Michigan. In addition to our comments, I have included comments from almost 1,200 Sierra Club members and supporters that have written additional comments.

First, we'd like to see some changes to the public participation process. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19, public participation is increasingly difficult. Therefore, we'd like to see an extension of the public comment period deadlines. As this process moves forward, we'd like to see multiple public hearing opportunities with different times.

We'd like to see the following items included in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that is created for the license extension proposal for the Point Beach nuclear plant:

### **Nuclear Waste:**

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed?
- What are the environmental impacts of this waste?
- Where will it be stored? Assuming it will continue to be stored on the shores of Lake Michigan, what are the environmental and social impacts of this?
- Spent nuclear fuel can also be used for nuclear weapons, a danger to humanity that only increases with continued nuclear plant operations. The risks associated with increased storage needs to be analyzed and included in the EIS.
- There is no safe, permanent waste storage solution. What is the impact of twenty more years of waste on the need for permanent waste storage?

**Water use and impacts:** Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned at a much warmer temperature.

- The fact that the Point Beach plant lacks cooling towers should be taken into account and the resulting thermal pollution needs to be part of the EIS.
- How much additional water will be used over the twenty years of this extension? What are the impacts on Lake Michigan?

### **Potential for an accident:**

- The EIS should analyze the likelihood of a spill, taking into account the age of the plant and whether an extension would increase the likelihood of a spill.
- A nuclear accident at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant could result in radiation poisoning and thyroid cancer, and could make the area uninhabitable. This could severely impact wildlife. This should all be analyzed and considered as part of the EIS.
- The long-lasting impacts of the Chernobyl and the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster in Japan should be studied and modeling about what similar impacts could be seen if there were an accident at Point Beach should be included in the EIS.
- There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact to the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.

**Mining and Environmental Justice:**

- How much more uranium will be needed in this extension and where will it come from? Both of these things should be considered in the EIS. An extension of Point Beach will create this demand for the uranium. Therefore, this *must* be included in the EIS. It is directly related to the impacts of the extension.
- On average, a nuclear reactor requires 25 tons of uranium per year. This would require a lot of additional mining and produce a lot of waste. What happens with this waste and the environmental impacts of mining should be part of the EIS.
- Mining tends to take place near and disproportionately impact Native people. This should be studied and taken into consideration as part of the EIS. The Tribes that are impacted by the mining (as well as the storage of nuclear waste and operation of Point Beach on Lake Michigan) should be consulted throughout the entire process, including the creation of the environmental impact statement.

**Climate change:**

- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and shifts in the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- Nuclear energy is incredibly expensive. A full economic analysis should be done of the costs of extending the licenses and how much energy could be created/saved by investing that same amount of money in solar, wind, batteries, and energy efficiency and conservation.

In addition to these items, the EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc. and they should not be examined as one plant.

Thank you for taking our thoughts into consideration,  
Elizabeth Ward, Chapter Director  
Sierra Club Wisconsin

*Attached: additional comments from Sierra Club members and supporters*

Kathryn Dorn  
1059 W Myrna Ln  
Tempe AZ 85284-2837

Yes, if we had a good way to store nuclear waste AND if a nuclear power plant's disasters weren't potentially much more devastating than any other power plant's disasters, I would say that nuclear energy is better than fossil-fuel-derived energy...but neither is a good choice, given that both means of power production endanger human life. Please consider these plants' future carefully, and consider alternate and safer energy sources (e.g., wind, solar, and water) as well! Thank you!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Wolfe  
2020 Emerald Dr  
Lawrence KS 66046-2650

Would you do the same with a pacemaker for your own heart? Shut this plant down before it's too late.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maynard Clark  
650 Huntington Ave Apt 11J  
Boston MA 02115-5908

Where could spent fuel possibly be stored safely?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Baggentos  
530 N Fitzmaurice Dr  
Prescott AZ 86303-6235

What do we do with Nuclear waste for the next 1000 years?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Warren  
3653 N Prince Village Pl  
Tucson AZ 85719-2028

We urgently need not just a nuclear free Wisconsin, but a nuclear free WORLD! We face extinction if there were just one nuclear weapons attack.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Painter  
6269 Van Zandt Rd  
Constableville NY 13325-2410

We need to transform to reusable non-polluting sources of energy before it is too late for all of us.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimberly McCullough  
1032 Durness Pl  
San Jose CA 95122-3706

## WE NEED TO FIGHT CLIMATE CHANGE.

I'm writing to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alisa Eilenberg  
3006 Arlington Ave  
Bronx NY 10463-3311

We need safe, clean energy, not more nuclear.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barry Jacoby  
1424 W Canyon Shadows Ln  
Tucson AZ 85737-7711

we need a clean safe environment for us and our children and their children

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sissy Aron  
10 Brookview Ln  
New Milford CT 06776-2532

We must move very quickly to clean energy and nuclear energy is very dangerously not clean. Please shut down the Point Beach Nuclear Plant for the best result but at least do not extend its life. Just because there has been no major accident there does not mean there will not be a major disaster there.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Alberts  
3566 Atkins Rd  
Petoskey MI 49770-9531

We live in Michigan, and what happens in Wisconsin affects us. We share Lake Michigan.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dorothy Poppe  
3009 Graystone Manor Pkwy  
Louisville KY 40241-3100

We have abused the earth and our environment for far too long. Nuclear power needs to be phased out as we move toward care for the earth, our common home.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Gaul  
25907 272nd Ave SE  
Ravensdale WA 98051-8223

We have a waste issue. In the case of fossil fuels, it is carbon dioxide and a multitude of other greenhouse gases. In the case of nuclear fuels, it is toxic, harmful, dangerous and destructive wastes that last for 10s of thousands of years. Expediency is no reason for pursuing such a dangerous energy source with such untreatable, un-storable and everlasting waste. Do the right thing and pursue energy resources with a legacy you can be proud of, an don't concerned about for ever after.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Dittrich  
918 NE 10th St  
Grants Pass OR 97526-1613

We don't need our own Chernobyl disaster.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rita Gehrke  
236 Greenway Cir  
Deerfield WI 53531-9360

We do NOT want nuclear plants in Wisc. It's not safe and creates too much nuclear waste material. Clean energy only!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Tedtmann  
869 NW 8th Ave  
Boynton Beach FL 33426-2951

We are violating our supportive relationship with the creation. There is a spiritual relationship with all living things, on this earth. Spend time in the natural environment and you will be reminded.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Wery  
4042 N 88th St  
Milwaukee WI 53222-1715

Water and air safety depend on your help.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aleks Kosowicz  
1745 Roberts Ln  
Abrams WI 54101-9422

We are uniquely fortunate to call this region, our Great Lakes, home. To risk these precious waters, our vital natural resources, and veritably all life dependent upon them (myself included--I live near the Point Beach Plant) is unthinkable. Please do all you can to safeguard our waters and help us step into a renewable future by moving us beyond this a project that is dangerously past its prime. Thank you.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marie Wheatley  
6208 Tilden Ave  
Saint Louis MO 63116-2219

Use other energy sources besides nuclear. It creates waste the sticks around for many years.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marian Wineman  
3611 45th Ave W  
Seattle WA 98199-1808

Until we have a clue about what to do with nuclear waste, we should not be generating any more. We can't clean up or safely store what we have! We need to use safe, green sources of energy that are healthy for all people!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Bailey  
3964 E Monte Vista Dr  
Tucson AZ 85712-5514

UAS is wearying of greed and destruction of us. Nuclear power is like steam power, its time has ended. You can help, please do.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Raye Chennault  
1602 Dean Forest Rd Lot C18  
Savannah GA 31408-9524

Too dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cecile Mochnek  
1231 Henry St  
Berkeley CA 94709-1927

too dangerous for all life

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dirk Durant  
214 Pine Ct  
Lindsborg KS 67456-2115

This matters to me because we spend half of our time in the neighboring state of Minnesota.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

c Houtakker  
585 County Road Z  
Sinsinawa WI 53824-9701

This just spreads poisons.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elaine Becker  
2514 Sharmar Rd  
Roanoke VA 24018-2625

This is NOT safe! Go rooftop Solar!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nadine Ancel  
358 Los Ranchitos Dr  
Durango CO 81301-8333

This is a serious matter effecting human lives and the environment and an extensive review must be done to protect all of us.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carolyn Wheeler  
40452 Ditmus Ct  
Fremont CA 94538-3558

They were able to contain the nuclear spill from Chernobyl, from 10 Mile Island or from Fukushima! Nuclear energy is not safe. Please do not risk all life on the planet by leaving this facility open.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phil Immerfall  
901 N Bennett St  
Appleton WI 54914-3602

They are too old, they need to be retired.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Allekotte  
1142 Brighton Ave  
Albany CA 94706-1229

There is still no solution to the nuclear waste from these plants that the next generations will inherit and wonder why we burdened them with such poisonous and waste sites which need costly oversight. Let's find green solutions to the energy that we need.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juliana Sullivan  
22075 Watts Valley Rd  
Sanger CA 93657-9216

There are so many safer ways to generate energy. Let us invest in a cleaner, safer future for many generations to come.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Becerril  
617 Speith Dr  
Grovetown GA 30813-8333

The things we do affect everyone else in the planet.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Hardesty  
5000 Lakeridge Ter E  
Reno NV 89509-5853

The price of developing wind and solar energy sources is miniscule compared to the cost of nuclear. The danger to life does not exist when reliable renewable energy is available, unlike the fear and dread which nuclear power presents.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lewis Murdock  
3541 Kent Creek Rd  
Winston OR 97496-9539

The nuclear plants aren't safe in the first place, and extensions make them more unsafe: all this to save money?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Glatter-Judy  
2 W 70th St  
Kansas City MO 64113-2565

The license for Point Beach Nuclear Plant should not be renewed. The wave of the future is clean renewable sources for energy. If we wish to promote the well being of our planet it is high time to get off of nonrenewable, polluting, and destructive energy! Science is real and will help us move forward.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edith Smith  
6250 Woodwinds Ct  
Mount Airy MD 21771-7487

The emissions from the Point Beach nuclear plant reminds of a similar situation in Maryland.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Stuckey  
1931 N Fremont St  
Chicago IL 60614-5016

The EIS MUST take into account the full cost of the climate change that this nuclear reactor will create, and compare it to the environmental impact if the same amount of electricity were to be produced from renewable sources.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Lichtenwalter  
6300 Andalar St NW  
Canton OH 44708-5904

The cost of solar power, wind turbines, and the battery storage that they employ have all gone down--as much as 80% in the past decade. Without a rational and permanent storage system for the waste produced by nuclear plants, it is irresponsible to keep them running and producing more of the waste that will be dangerously toxic for thousands of years.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bill Rither  
2975A S Superior St  
Milwaukee WI 53207-2543

thank you for reading my email

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Brexel Sr.  
623 Harbor Creek Pkwy  
Canton GA 30115-9556

Thank you for including these items in the EIS.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arthur Keller

Study the issues below.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Ward  
16980 Laramie Ave  
Oak Forest IL 60452-4430

Study Fukushima and the other "nuclear errors" that have occurred, and ask yourself what you want for your children. A deadly enemy they can't see. I don't think so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ed Werda  
841 E 7th St  
Tucson AZ 85719-5304

STOP! killing our planet for corporate GREED

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cassandra Lemmon  
34834 Shoreview Dr Spc 1  
Cottage Grove OR 97424-9409

Stop killing the planet for profit!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lecil McGlocklin  
116 Hillview St Lot 14  
Bluff City TN 37618-1943

## STOP Killing EARTH

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rich English  
1046 Beau Brummel Ct  
Sleepy Hollow IL 60118-1811

Renewable energy is clearly the future and the sooner we get on board with that reality, the better. No one wants nuclear waste stored in their backyard.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Kempfs  
615 Monroe St  
Neenah WI 54956-2453

Point Beach Nuke Plant sits on the greatest supply of fresh water in the world. The risk of extending a permit is too great. These plants should be shut down after reaching their half life as a precaution. I remember being told in 1980 that scientists are smart enough to eventually find a way to dispose of the waste and no need to wait until then to produce nuclear power. Perhaps when safer nuclear power is invented, nuke plants benefits may outweigh the risks, but not at this time. Renewables have less long term costs and less potential hidden long term costs. Time to retire Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shirley Black  
1101 Prospect Ave Apt 30  
Santa Rosa CA 95409-4190

Please, we must do all we can to protect our communities and our planet from the dangers and forever damage done by nuclear plants. There is simply no long term safe way to use and dispose of nuclear materials. The brightest minds must come up with truly safe ways to provide the needed energy required by people and businesses. We owe it to people now and far into the future to stop using nuclear power generating plants. We know from experience there is no truly safe way to use that method of producing power and for sure no truly safe way to store the nuclear waste. Also, we need ways to provide energy that does not use absurd quantities of water so needed for other human needs. Like safe drinking water and water safe to use on food production.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Keeley  
7300 NE Vancouver Mall Dr Apt 68  
Vancouver WA 98662-6498

please vote no, it is dirty fuel.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Frueauf  
533 Canterbury Dr  
Dayton OH 45429-1443

Please take extreme care and allow the public comment period deadline to be extended.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tyler Horton  
2328 S 65th St  
West Allis WI 53219-2018

Please start protecting and preserving our environment for our future generations to come.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joy Rosenberry Chase  
6521 Westin Dr  
Madison WI 53719-4823

Please don't renew this nuclear power plant. It is too close to Lake Michigan. If anything goes wrong, it will destroy and poison the entire Great Lakes region, including significant portions of two countries and at least six states. There is too much at risk. We have truly clean energy alternatives now that don't produce waste that there is NO safe way to dispose of. Stop funding nuclear power and put that money towards other renewable energy sources that will provide safe, living-wage jobs to their communities from now into the far future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jayne Zabrowski  
212 Whitetail Run Ln  
Sheboygan WI 53081-8237

Please do not approve the extension of the licenses for the Point Beach plant. We want Wisconsin to be NUCLEAR FREE.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Peltekian  
527 Windmill Rd  
Brooklyn WI 53521-9418

Please decommission this site and fully develop renewable energy sources instead. From an environmental and economic position, this is the only option.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jackie Thiry  
1600 Rustic Oaks Ct Unit 8  
Green Bay WI 54301-2465

Please consider the negative impacts of continued use of nuclear energy.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Schleifer  
1814 Fulmar Ave  
Ventura CA 93003-7120

Our Earth Mother, and by extension all living creatures are too precious to rape, endanger and despoil.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Eggers  
2353B Addy Gifford Rd  
Addy WA 99101-5105

Nukes are as outdated, and even More Dangerous than the oil industry!!! We now have CLEAN Energy that will Save Our Planet, and Humans!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Gylden  
207 7th St NE  
Valley City ND 58072-2625

Nuclear waste is dangerous and we need more Green Energy instead of deadly energy. It is time to retire all of our Nuclear Plants, and make sure the waste products from them is completely secure.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Goodall  
191 Starcrest Dr  
Orem UT 84058-6985

Nuclear power may seem clean when things are working properly but as my late father found out there's little or no margin for error. Angiosarcoma is a terrible way to die.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Readance  
25450 Euclid Ave Apt 215  
Euclid OH 44117-2637

Nuclear power isn't clean power!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Lippman  
HC 64 Box 3208  
Castle Valley UT 84532-9615

Nuclear power is proven unmanageable and unsafe, and presents a clear and present danger to life on Earth. It's time that all N-plants be decommissioned and replaced with cleaner (uranium ore and nuclear waste are not clean...) and safer, renewable energy sources and technologies

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Holm  
2015 E Yukon St  
Tampa FL 33604-2053

Nuclear power is obsolete and is literally killing us humans and our planet. Solar and wind power is the key to our clean energy future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dale Le Fevre  
N5310 3rd Rd  
Crivitz WI 54114-7349

Nuclear power is NOT the way to go. Has anyone EVER found a way to avoid accidents or deal with nuclear waste?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin King  
N59W17788 Meadow Ct  
Menomonee Falls WI 53051-5575

Nuclear power is not safe. This has been proven with repeated serious accidents at nuclear plants. More importantly, there is no viable solution for disposing of the waste.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Cassebaum  
3469 Amick Rd  
Elon NC 27244-8111

Nuclear Power is far too risky to be a fuel of the future. Fukushima is the most recent disaster that keeps getting worse.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Lauer  
1857 Stanley Ave  
Signal Hill CA 90755-6013

Nuclear plants are dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meghan Pierce  
300 Jefferson St  
Sauk City WI 53583-1107

Nuclear is not the way to go. It is a dangerous energy producer, and creates toxic waste, which is the opposite of what we need for our environment. Say no to this extension.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy S. Collins  
21310 Lathrup St  
Southfield MI 48075-4216

Nuclear is not an option - rods remain radioactive for hundreds of years and cannot be safely contained.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Weeden  
1112 Pleasant St  
Lake Geneva WI 53147-1104

Nuclear is neither sustainable nor eco-friendly. We need alternatives that are sustainable and do not contribute to rising earth temperatures. Alliant Energy recently announced the closure of their Portage plant and will work with employees there in finding employment after the plant closure. This is not "rocket science" it's about saving the planet.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chrisanthos Vlasidis  
1599 Route 112 Apt 10  
Port Jefferson Station NY 11776-3501

nuclear is disgusting

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Rushman  
20651 W Warren St  
Dearborn Heights MI 48127-2622

Nuclear energy plants ARE a danger to our environment and to our people.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Jacques  
1209 T St  
Sacramento CA 95811-7105

Nuclear energy is unacceptably dangerous. Extracting uranium and other radioactive materials causes terrible pollution that cannot be satisfactorily cleaned up. Nuclear reactors pose huge risks to the communities in which they are located. They are ridiculously expensive to build. There is no way to safely dispose of the waste they create which remains radioactive and dangerous for thousands of years. Do not approve yet another nuclear reactor. We can't deal with the ones we already have.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary McClintock  
2132 Pleasantville Rd  
Fallston MD 21047-1439

Nuclear energy is not what we believed in the 1970's; it's not renewable. It leaves a deadly legacy to my future family.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louise Gregg  
5701 Chinquapin Pkwy Apt D  
Baltimore MD 21239-2554

Nuclear energy is not what was promised: clean, safe for the environment, etc. EIS has a big job to do. Make sure it is done completely. Transparency!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonnie Macraith  
2592 Maple Ln  
Arcata CA 95521-5119

Nuclear energy is not safe despite stories to the opposite. Please do not renew!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

MaryAnn Powers  
15804 197th PI NE  
Woodinville WA 98077-9431

Nuclear Energy is not climate friendly, safe or clean for our environment. We are ruining our oceans and now clean air is at risk. What are we doing to our world?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Valihura  
1 Summit Dr Apt 51  
Reading MA 01867-4038

Nuclear energy is harmful in the long run. It's byproducts are toxic and continue to seep into and ruin the ground water. Please, choose a power source that doesn't have any side effects AND creates jobs! Solar power creates just as many jobs as nuclear and is MUCH safer, for now and for future generations. Wouldn't you like to be remembered as the one who brought this change about?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norda Gromoll  
1717 Watersmeet Lake Rd  
Eagle River WI 54521-9753

NUCLEAR ENERGY IS DANGEROUS AND UNPROFITABLE; please do not renew any licenses or license any new plants

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Greta Aul  
917 Columbia Ave Ste 622  
Lancaster PA 17603-3169

No more nukes! Too dangerous.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Thirion  
3697 POCO LOCO DR SW  
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87105-0311

no more nuclear- are you kidding? it won't work out in the future

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jonathan Hancock  
1947 W Superior St  
Chicago IL 60622-5532

Long term clean renewable energy is the way forward. Work towards that end.

I'm writing to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Will Agee  
497 S Lincoln Ave  
El Cajon CA 92020-4768

Let us be good stewards of God's unending grace!! There are safer ways to get sustainable energy!! Wind , water and the sun come to mind. Blessings!! :)

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug White  
5526 Marconi St  
Madison WI 53705-2635

Its critical that we provide clean energy for WI residents, to help avoid further climate chaos. The Point Beach power plant is too old to do this safely. It should not run for 80 years. Please cancel the extension for my grandchildren's sake.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nanette Gordon  
4511 Silverthorn Dr  
Mesquite TX 75150-2924

It is too close to people. If the powers that be thinks it is safe, them put it on the lawn of the White House. That will prove it is safe elsewhere.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hansen  
25999 Glen Eden Rd # 102  
Corona CA 92883-5223

It is time to move beyond nuclear energy and toward solar, wind, and hydropower.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rick Fahrenkrug  
802 Grant Pl  
Neenah WI 54956-2924

Instead of extending the license on these plants, Wisconsin should be aggressively building clean energy and funding energy efficiency improvements so that we can get to 100% clean energy by 2030.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee McClure  
105 Duane St Apt 14C  
New York NY 10007-3604

In the long run nuclear is more polluting and way more expensive than gas and coal.

I'm writing to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Carney  
1124 W Gramercy Pl  
San Antonio TX 78201-5216

In 1978 when I was a formation 16 years of age I attended the Abalone Alliances "Stop Diablo Canyon" Rally on 6-30-1979 in San Luis Obispo, Ca. It was my first rally and far from my last. Now 50 years later we can breathe a sigh of relief that this plant in active earthquake county is now closed. California is on track to close its last plant & go Nuclear free by 2025. Nuke power plants are finite. They only last so long, but the clean up and decomposition is decades and disasters like Chernobyl will contaminate for centuries. You can never get these beaches back and the risk just isn't worth these precious beaches. Stop this expansion. Support new green energies, more green energy jobs and the accountability of proper drawdown , & safe storage of these facilities. What is here now we already will be storing its poisonous contact for centuries. Probably buried in a desert near me in the South U.S. :(

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Giordano  
67 Arnold St # PO663  
Williamstown MA 01267-2540

If you are reading this, you have my gratitude. There is so overwhelmingly much to clean up on this planet and this looks to me like one of the big ones. I feel sick, trying to read the whole letter. I'm here to add my name to this list. I stand with the Sierra Club. Thank you for your attention

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hughes  
777 Court St Apt 304  
Reading PA 19601-3531

If we don't immediately do something now we all die if animals die we die if plants/trees die we die because trees help with breathing healthy air in the world by preventing toxic carbon monoxide pollution spread over the planet! Signed, Jan Hughes No Titles

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karee Joedeman  
215 Oak Grove St Apt 1301  
Minneapolis MN 55403-3354

If it is not green, we do not want it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan & Darren Blankenship  
5511 McGann Ln Unit 209  
Fitchburg WI 53711-4953

I think that much more study needs to be done for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. As we transition to safer renewable energy, I am not sure it makes sense to extend this plant's life past 2030.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Art Hanson  
1815 Briarwood Dr  
Lansing MI 48917-1773

I strongly oppose nuclear energy because the short-run and the long-run dangers are far too great.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Dreessen  
5405 S Ingleside Ave  
Chicago IL 60615-5013

I live in Chicago where we draw our drinking water from Lake Michigan. Having a nuclear power plant on the shores of Lake Michigan, even to the north of us in Wisconsin is a clear and present long term danger to me and my family, when we should be investing in alternative forms of energy that are non-polluting. Nuclear energy is quite polluting when all factors are considered. Please deny this application and safely shut down this and any other nuclear plants. Thank you. Mark Dreessen, Ph.D. 5405 South Ingleside Avenue Chicago, IL 60615

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Pomeday  
N133 Surfside Dr  
Cedar Grove WI 53013-1438

I live along Lake Michigan and fear what could happen if something happens at the nuclear plant. Until we find a way to safely reuse nuclear waste, this is a type of energy source we should not use. Efforts are better spent on renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, thermal and others. I, my children and grandchildren, friends and neighbors play in Lake Michigan, swimming, kayaking, canoeing. We also get our water from this great lake and have watched invasive species change the ecosystem. We must clean up this wonderful resource, not damage it further. Thank you for hearing my concerns.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Remy  
38 Miller Ave  
Mill Valley CA 94941-1927

I grew up watching atomic bombs explode outside of Las Vegas, NV. I met the atomic vets and watched their health. This energy is dangerous to our health and well being. We MUST stop using it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Scheer  
2715 Cody Cir Apt 102  
Bellingham WA 98225-8280

I grew up in Wisconsin...and when I was young, we'd drive north along Lake Michigan from West Bend to Two Rivers to visit college friends of my parents. Lovely drive----lovely area! I vote that the nuclear plant there gets discontinued; 'maybe' was necessary at some point, but no longer is! NOW, it's a "dangerous" entity to have in your town and area, both literally and from a disease standpoint! So shut it down and remove it!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lore Weber  
52051 Farmington Square Rd  
Granger IN 46530-9263

I grew up in Wisconsin and still love the state. Nuclear energy is the past and is dangerous. Please look to the future and new ways of creating energy and also renewables. Please phase out nuclear energy and do not extend this license.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nora Eiesland  
127 280th St  
Osceola WI 54020-4118

I got thyroid cancer after exposure to Chernobyl's meltdown, Nuclear is not safe and it is not disposable!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Gardiner  
35 Woodland Rd  
Lexington MA 02420-2015

I do want to lessen the amount of carbon this spewed into the air and I know that nuclear will do that .  
HOWEVER, there are safer ways of doing this. Nuclear waste is not a safe thing to have to dispose of. Let's avoid producing it in the first place.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gigi Taylor  
6240 N Blue Blvd  
Tucson AZ 85743-8452

I am very concerned about increasing the proliferation of dangerous nuclear power plants in the USA.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Fussell  
402 Village Commons Blvd  
Georgetown TX 78633-5248

I am asking for an extension to the EIS public comment period deadline.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Megan Walhood  
6705 Village Park Dr  
Madison WI 53718-1805

I am a voter, and I am extremely concerned about climate change and the environment. It is very important to me that we are pursuing and investing in renewable energy resources like wind and solar. I feel strongly that we should be investing in these resources versus continuing to contribute to energy sources that create additional risks and hazards to the environment.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marsha Barr  
1939 Adams St  
Eugene OR 97405-2130

I am a retired teacher, mother of 2 and grandmother of 4. I have grave concerns for the state of the environment that we are leaving to future generations. We can't just keep perpetuating systems that leave behind toxic waste. Nor can we ignore the threats that a changing climate will exert on those systems and all of the people downstream.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Songalia  
649 Waseca St  
Saint Paul MN 55107-2721

I am a Registered Nurse in Minnesota. Nurses know that damage to the environment - including damage caused by nuclear waste - harms the health of individuals, families, communities, and populations.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Hurd  
1325 NE Flicker Hill Ln  
Poulsbo WA 98370-4549

Human beings are contributing so much toxic waste to our environment that it will soon overwhelm our ability to live safely anywhere near power production.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Iassic Wilkening  
270 Chatsworth Ave  
Sugar Grove IL 60554-9475

Green energy besides nuclear needs to exist, if an accident happens, it will destroy our Earth even more so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jonathan Hartman  
3150 N Course Ln  
Pompano Beach FL 33069-5423

good chance of an accident. you'll have blood on your hands.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Doran  
2516 SE Gosling Ln  
Waldport OR 97394-9779

Go solar or wind now!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian K Sutton  
8707 Best Ct  
Louisville KY 40242-3421

Go GREEN! Not nuclear!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda McBride  
26 Sonia Pl  
Jefferson LA 70121-2228

Frankly, I am concerned about storing nuclear waste and the impact it will have on our planet and its citizens. Climate change will have an impact on that storage in the future.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Hormel  
4605 Prospect St  
Vassar MI 48768-9728

First, I am not an opponent of nuclear power, when it is done right. However, this plant, sitting on the shores of Lake Michigan, & storing spent fuel on site, is NOT doing it right. This is a clear & present danger to the surrounding area & the waters of Lake Michigan. The U.S. should be investing in research, perhaps direct, support of the efforts in France to develop thorium reactors. Nuclear can be an efficient power source, but the U.S. needs to abandon the outdated types of reactors that are being used here. It is expensive up front, but thorium reactors can open the door to power that runs on pennies. Rethink how we are using nuclear power, & the large reactors used here as opposed to the reactors in Germany, France, etc., which (although not thorium) are safer & have a good record of being so.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Champkin  
400 Irma Dr  
Austin TX 78752-4130

Despite the greenwashing, nuclear energy is not safe, clean, or climate-friendly.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lana Touchstone  
252 Grapewood St  
Vallejo CA 94591-5738

Constant exposure to radiation degrades the containment metals used. That is why the 40 year life span was set for nuclear plants. To extend this is to further risk a catastrophic failure and release of radiation that could exceed the damage of the Fukushima or, even worse, the Chernobyl melt-downs.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cherryl Clark  
513 Clark St  
Lakota IA 50451-7066

Common (which is NOT common)sense tells you that anything that creates waste that cannot be disposed of safely is not a good thing and not earth friendly.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Bernstein  
339 Lakeside Pl  
Highland Park IL 60035-5371

Clean energy matters to me, and nuclear energy is anything but clean. No more greenwashing, please! Let's put our efforts into renewable energy that doesn't leave hazardous waste and contribute to our problems.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julia Devrell  
13226 W Serenade Cir  
Sun City West AZ 85375-1721

Can we please have green energy asap???? Nuclear melt downs are forever.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debbie Lane  
3255 College Dr  
Carson City NV 89703-7307

As we have seen with so much of our environmental degradation, thinking ahead to the impacts of any regulatory actions is critical for protecting our environment. Please support the recommendations below.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino  
1467 Marvin Gardens Ln  
Prescott AZ 86301-5520

AS TWO CARING AND CONCERNED PEOPLE, FOR THE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING OF ALL CURRENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS, wildlife and the environment, create a Nuclear-free Wisconsin THIS IS THE HEALTHY, RIGHT, FAIR, JUST, AND HUMANE ACTION TO TAKE!!!!!!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angel Garcia  
721 Tinton Ave Apt 10F  
Bronx NY 10455-2228

As an American desperate for a healthy environment in our country, and for a country free of the risks of nuclear waste, nuclear accidents, and nuclear disasters, I want this country to be nuclear-free as well as fossil-free. We must commit now to convert to a truly renewable, danger-free economy now. No more nuclear power plant authorization, extension or operation.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amy Morrison  
6613 21st Dr NE  
Tulalip WA 98271-9104

As a stockholder for Nextera I think we can do better. Clean it up, decommission these nuclear sites: this is an archaic form of power and socially we have moved passed it.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Huddy  
5233 E Woodspring Dr  
Tucson AZ 85712-1364

As a scientist, I am aware that the costs of a nuclear power plant are far beyond what proposals monetize. Each one costs our future far more than it costs to build it. These were a terrible mistake. We must stop building nuclear power plants.

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Bryan  
33 Capay Cir  
South San Francisco CA 94080-4117

Are you the next Three Mile Island ?

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mickey Perkins  
18995 Wilderness Ct Unit B  
Brookfield WI 53045-3346

All that any intelligent person needs to hear is that nuclear power generates waste that is radioactive for thousands of years. Nuclear power is a nightmare that has come true. These horrible power sources need to be phased out in favor of sustainable energies like solar, wind and geothermal. NO NUKES!

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Nadreau  
24191 Dial Ave  
Tomah WI 54660-4328

All nuclear plants need to be gone

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Sibley  
6479 Clear Springs Rd  
Simi Valley CA 93063-4839

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

B Kern  
672 Barg Salt Run Rd  
Cincinnati OH 45244-1138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Blake Wu  
3600 Mt Diablo Blvd  
Lafayette CA 94549-3712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kay Randall  
520 32nd Ave S  
Moorhead MN 56560-4943

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tom Dunlea  
160 Daniel Webster Hwy Apt 311  
Nashua NH 03060-5247

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Ireland  
PO Box 1048  
Groveland CA 95321-1048

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Freitag  
5733 Lawsons Hill Ct  
Alexandria VA 22310-2332

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Mcgrath  
924 Chestnut Cove Rd  
Sylva NC 28779-7244

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bernadette Belcastro  
68 Crocus Ave  
Floral Park NY 11001-2640

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jo Pa  
1730 Mulford Ave Apt 7R  
Bronx NY 10461-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Clarence Harris  
3610 192nd St  
Lansing IL 60438-4204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Drena Lapointe  
7839 E Keim Dr  
Scottsdale AZ 85250-4799

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charmaine Villadonga  
4420 Mayfair Dr  
McHenry IL 60051-6123

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ina Pillar  
236 Prairie View St  
Oregon WI 53575-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marla Swanson  
1025 Wakerobin Ln Apt F202  
Fort Collins CO 80526-4175

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stu Farnsworth  
1646 Donald Ct  
Eagan MN 55121-1753

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Noah Hanmer  
130 Sunrise Dr  
Bristol RI 02809-5021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jes Laufenberg  
5325 M St  
Sacramento CA 95819-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angelica Aguilar  
1357 Weybridge Rd  
Columbus OH 43220-8108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Joslyn  
PO Box 49  
Edinboro PA 16412-0049

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jes Laufenberg  
5325 M St  
Sacramento CA 95819-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Mc Manus  
1800 Spruce St Apt 304  
Berkeley CA 94709-1837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Peterson  
735 York Ct  
Northbrook IL 60062-3954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daurie Pollitto  
92 Idlewild Ln  
Aberdeen NJ 07747-1506

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Gallanosa  
1545 Rogers St  
Richmond VA 23223-4358

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Koeck  
1000 S Coast Dr Apt K107  
Costa Mesa CA 92626-1759

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Rose  
711 N 6th Ave Apt 308  
Pocatello ID 83201-6236

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Greenberg  
14 Turpentine Dr  
Nevada City CA 95959-2856

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ryan Davis  
250 N First St Unit 425  
Burbank CA 91502-1873

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rose Greco  
1140 S Lorraine Rd Apt 3C  
Wheaton IL 60189-7020

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Belson  
1627 Powell Rd  
Brookhaven PA 19015-1933

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Pruitt  
1032 Randolph St  
Oak Park IL 60302-3406

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Canty  
30 Center Rd  
Dudley MA 01571-6309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Brown  
1751 W Summerdale Ave  
Chicago IL 60640-2021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Cox  
12240 N Copeland Ln  
Flagstaff AZ 86004-5390

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penny Elia  
30632 Marilyn Dr  
Laguna Beach CA 92651-8125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Andrew Hinz  
1427 Park Ave  
Baltimore MD 21217-4231

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Bates  
6821 44th Ave NE  
Seattle WA 98115-7543

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hyacen Shaktilove  
7209 Old Clinton Pike Apt 110  
Knoxville TN 37921-1040

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Covi Lopez  
4930 30th Ave SE  
Naples FL 34117-9381

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dat Tran  
124 Academy Ln  
Upper Darby PA 19082-1302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Pokela  
201 Cherry Creek Rd  
Marquette MI 49855-8927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Lehman  
1173 Ava Ct  
Neenah WI 54956-1897

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judith Peter  
2184 Pellam Blvd  
Port Charlotte FL 33948-3300

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Green  
4049 Stonehaven Rd  
Kettering OH 45429-1745

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Brown  
9377 River Oaks Ln  
Orangevale CA 95662-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Murphy  
3240 Whistler Dr  
Pensacola FL 32503-5166

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Longyear  
2765 Hyatt St  
Yorktown Heights NY 10598-3229

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aida Marina  
710 Arroyo Dr Apt 2  
South Pasadena CA 91030-2364

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Teague  
180 E Court St  
Marion NC 28752-4043

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Colleen Radbill  
4986 Sawgrass Pl NW  
Acworth GA 30102-6476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Murphy  
4106 Quintana St  
Hyattsville MD 20782-2116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Corby  
58 Poplar Ave  
Pine Plains NY 12567-5531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robin Dumler  
41 Bramblewood Dr  
Berlin MD 21811-1624

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Irvine  
PO Box 113  
Buckfield ME 04220-0113

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Scudere  
2450 Madison Dr  
East Meadow NY 11554-2605

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Grace  
40 Osborne Rd  
Albany NY 12205-3444

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Johnson  
810 Buckner Springs Rd  
Siler City NC 27344-8219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

C M  
1551 SW 17th St  
Boca Raton FL 33486-6507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina Brenza  
6012 Paseo Palmilla  
Goleta CA 93117-1717

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Selquist  
1616 Town Road K  
Florence WI 54121-8378

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Silvey  
9263 78th Pl  
Seminole FL 33777-4013

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Pierce  
4668 Charolais Dr  
Ames IA 50014-9405

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sabina Yates  
242 W K St  
Benicia CA 94510-3122

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Drake  
38038 Dundee Cmn  
Fremont CA 94536-5126

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

N B  
247 Nassau Blvd  
New Hyde Park NY 11040-4114

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Church  
14275 Princedale Dr  
Woodbridge VA 22193-3461

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Harbaugh  
50 Sierra Dr  
Arden NC 28704-8554

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristi Turner  
2901 N Seeley Ave Apt 2  
Chicago IL 60618-8308

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Wollesen  
8080 NW Grubstake Way  
Redmond OR 97756-8096

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Redelisa Mendoza  
798 NE 123rd St  
North Miami FL 33161-5622

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brendalee Lennick  
2517 Willamette Rd  
Tallahassee FL 32303-3531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ralph Tuscher  
11550 S Jackson Rd  
Cement City MI 49233-9525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Herndon  
3311 W Alameda Ave Apt F  
Burbank CA 91505-4313

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Albert  
56 Buena Vista Dr  
Freedom CA 95019-2708

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Goldberg  
712 Kingsley St Apt 313  
Normal IL 61761-3540

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Zimmerman  
946 Hyland Dr  
Santa Rosa CA 95404-2230

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Dudzik  
4918 Coyle Ave  
Skokie IL 60077-3514

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claire Jacobsen  
3121 21st St N  
Arlington VA 22201-5107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Derinda Nilsson Nilsson  
309 Richardson Ave  
Utica NY 13502-5942

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Burrige  
190 Waterman Ave  
East Providence RI 02914-3523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Junius Beebe Iii  
13 Indian Trl  
Scituate MA 02066-1006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vivian Slade  
1029 Austin Ave NE  
Atlanta GA 30307-1915

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Velez  
911 Wills St  
Delran NJ 08075-1425

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mitzi Miles  
3536 Sierra Pl Apt D  
Rapid City SD 57702-4919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Boyer  
135 Mosher Way  
Palo Alto CA 94304-2418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susie Duff  
PO Box 6314  
Malibu CA 90264-6314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Armando A. Garcia  
946 Whimbrel Way  
Perris CA 92571-7715

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Tober  
82 Old Post Rd  
Lancaster NY 14086-3263

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marylois Hilton  
204 Condo Ct  
Lebanon OH 45036-9555

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shelly Peterson  
701 K Ave Apt 1  
Anacortes WA 98221-1848

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmy Powell  
4709 Este Ave  
Cincinnati OH 45232-1414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Kavcak  
7541 Somerset Shores Ct  
Orlando FL 32819-7257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Hosta  
12011 Rock Brook Run Apt 2008  
Fort Myers FL 33913-6810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carl Stapler  
104 Grand View Cir  
Evanston WY 82930-4797

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nadia Franco  
2929 Quail Valley Rd  
Solvang CA 93463-9511

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Izabela Wnuk  
5N280 Eagle Ter  
Itasca IL 60143-2433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Kirchner  
7510 Hope Farm Rd  
Fort Wayne IN 46815-6566

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caroline Divoky  
1000 University Manor Dr  
Fairfield IA 52556-2089

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Inger Acking  
851 Jones St  
Berkeley CA 94710-1844

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Carley  
1831 N 19th St  
Kansas City KS 66104-5710

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Unger  
26755 Ruther Glen Rd  
Ruther Glen VA 22546-3632

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patti Wermeling  
3945 Landings Dr Unit F1  
Fort Collins CO 80525-5925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Veronique Sanson  
1142 Hampton Way NE  
Atlanta GA 30324-4712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Luwana Wanaisie  
PO Box 306  
Wallowa OR 97885-0306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Graver  
860 Sooy Place Rd  
Vincentown NJ 08088-6914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Waldron  
6830 Lanc Circlvle Rd SW  
Lancaster OH 43130-9544

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Frey  
219 W Washington St  
Villa Park IL 60181-2826

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patty McKinnon  
1235 Setter Dr NE  
Albuquerque NM 87112-6609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Price  
1445 N State Pkwy Apt 2702  
Chicago IL 60610-8513

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ernest Lee  
220 Heater Rd  
Lebanon NH 03766-1431

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Casey Jo Remy  
1742 Days Creek Rd  
Days Creek OR 97429-9712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roy Adsit  
PO Box 6494  
Portland OR 97228-6494

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa O'Rourke  
1450 S Cooper Rd Apt 2120  
Chandler AZ 85286-0236

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ron Nieberding  
915 Franklin St Apt 406  
San Francisco CA 94109-7704

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dennis McIntyre  
11 Shorebreaker Dr  
Laguna Niguel CA 92677-9365

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Lenck Bradford  
11010 Alameda Dr  
Norman OK 73026-8124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Rosen  
25 Parade Pl Apt 1B  
Brooklyn NY 11226-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Greg Paxton  
189 E 3rd St Apt 1  
New York NY 10009-7427

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mike Kutilek  
601 S 15th St  
San Jose CA 95112-2368

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Martin  
4520 Yates Rd  
Beltsville MD 20705-2629

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barry Krieger  
12 Meloon Rd  
Greenland NH 03840-2213

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Withnall  
424 Hastings Ave  
Missoula MT 59801-5950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Mcmanus  
1800 Spruce St Apt 304  
Berkeley CA 94709-1837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margery Gray  
2256 18th Ave  
San Francisco CA 94116-1829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Burke  
16610 US Highway 101 N  
Smith River CA 95567-9409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jody Gibson  
317 E Wall Ave  
Des Moines IA 50315-5259

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Francis Wanderlich  
510 Fellows St  
Saint Charles IL 60174-4042

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Lindsey  
8349 S Calumet Ave  
Chicago IL 60619-4819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Palos  
1653 Watauga Ave Apt 306  
Orlando FL 32812-2603

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Glenda Liling  
395 Westchester Ave Apt 3N  
Port Chester NY 10573-3631

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fran Smith  
2018 W Grace St # 1  
Chicago IL 60618-4913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Motta  
8038 MacKenzie Rd  
Saint Louis MO 63123-3519

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Griffith  
1020 Kehoe Dr  
Saint Charles IL 60174-3739

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Madeline Akers  
1600 N Ridgewood Dr  
Wichita KS 67208-2413

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Lasse  
438 W Jefferson St  
Oconomowoc WI 53066-3545

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Livingston  
14799 E Augusta Dr  
Augusta MI 49012-9227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ashton Baney  
7605 NW 5th Pl  
Margate FL 33063-7499

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Reem Haddad  
2610 Orchard Ct  
La Verne CA 91750-4663

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Reem Haddad  
2610 Orchard Ct  
La Verne CA 91750-4663

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Nooney  
47 Poni Pl  
Paia HI 96779-9602

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Gallagher  
15866 36th Ave NE  
Lake Forest Park WA 98155-6620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Utkarsh Nath  
34462 Alberta Ter  
Fremont CA 94555-2907

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Willow Alleon  
1516 Ward Ave Apt 501  
Honolulu HI 96822-3544

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Doran  
430 E Maple St  
Sequim WA 98382-3408

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Lewis  
1471 Jewett St  
Ann Arbor MI 48104-6207

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Leblanc  
531 Dolores St # A  
Santa Fe NM 87501-1815

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Olson  
2321 Marye St  
Alexandria LA 71301-5244

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

C. Saunders  
123 Olcott St Apt 1  
Lockport NY 14094-2473

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Maxwell  
6084 Busch Blvd Apt 4  
Columbus OH 43229-2517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Brown  
PO Box 1425  
Evergreen CO 80437-1425

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louise Stark

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jan Pierson  
PO Box 1153  
Port Townsend WA 98368-0953

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Neville Bruce  
1111 G St  
Anchorage AK 99501-4350

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helen Black  
124 Cornell Ave  
Elyria OH 44035-6036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Crahan  
6641 W 88th St  
Los Angeles CA 90045-3731

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Paul  
845 7th St S  
Safety Harbor FL 34695-4208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Victoria Urias  
14001 35th Ave NE  
Seattle WA 98125-3705

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Norton  
PO Box 4334  
Ithaca NY 14852-4334

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bryer Marnin  
2005 SW 35th St Unit 1204  
Ankeny IA 50023-5910

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Boguske  
8500 148th Ave NE Apt B1005  
Redmond WA 98052-3495

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristen Garcia  
20414 81st Ave W  
Edmonds WA 98026-6716

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Degn  
514 Yorkshire St  
Salem VA 24153-7033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Max Feldman  
924 16th ave e  
Seattle WA 98112-3918

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Mulcare  
1110 Benjamin St  
Clarkston WA 99403-2576

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Hinely  
1510 W Main St  
Sheridan OR 97378-1016

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peta Maree Lamb  
1754 NE Mesford Rd Unit 88  
Poulsbo WA 98370-8534

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claudia Parker  
2412 Valley Forge Ave  
Fort Collins CO 80526-5834

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caryn Cowin  
4015 Scenic River Ln Apt 231  
Bakersfield CA 93308-7575

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vicki Wheeler  
7146 County Road H  
Deshler OH 43516-9798

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

M Chessin  
4115 Greenwood Ave N  
Seattle WA 98103-7017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heidi Bean  
13381 Magnolia Ave Spc 167  
Corona CA 92879-1946

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Lamb  
5017 Cedar Acres Ln  
Langley WA 98260-9208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Larz Hitchcock  
605 15th Ave E  
Seattle WA 98112-4792

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Hoover  
387 Nollwood Ln Apt A  
Bremerton WA 98312-3263

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rynes  
570 Harlowe Ln  
Naperville IL 60565-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Kelton  
600 Carroll Ave  
Laurel MD 20707-4018

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Schaffer  
3618 Apollo St SE  
Lacey WA 98503-7136

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Torchia  
16234 NE 2nd St  
Bellevue WA 98008-4414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carolyn Turner  
7307 Huddleston Rd  
Bailey NC 27807-7821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cathy Williams  
2249 E 2nd St  
Tucson AZ 85719-4930

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Derzon  
PO Box 322  
Saranac Lake NY 12983-0322

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Godwin  
2202 S Calle Mesa Del Oso  
Tucson AZ 85748-8223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Andrew Arneson  
4975 SW Jamieson Rd Apt A  
Beaverton OR 97005-3541

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claire Watson  
35 Glencoe Ave  
Dayton OH 45410-1701

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

June & Ronald MacArthur  
1045 Hillandale Dr E  
Port Orchard WA 98366-3830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marian Wenink  
1034 Prestwick Ct  
Clemmons NC 27012-9625

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darrell Neft  
3132 Madeira Ave  
Costa Mesa CA 92626-2324

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helmut Platzer  
1843 W Navajo Way  
Williams AZ 86046-8866

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Lakner  
918 S I Oka Ave  
Mount Prospect IL 60056-4217

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee Margulies  
32 Glenridge Ave  
Stony Brook NY 11790-2402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Brubaker  
4076 Manayunk Ave  
Philadelphia PA 19128-5104

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Anderson  
59946 E Wren Cir  
Oracle AZ 85623-0060

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Bletsian  
510 E 24th St Apt 302  
Minneapolis MN 55404-3734

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark and Judy Harvey  
209 Emerson Rd  
Great Bend PA 18821-9534

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gloria Boyd  
301 Buena Fortuna Cir  
Atascadero CA 93422-8857

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cherie Swann  
1014 Hummingbird Ln  
Spring Hill TN 37174-8603

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ramon Bello  
845 E 5th St  
Hialeah FL 33010-4601

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regena Bradeen  
90 Elm St Apt 9  
Waterville ME 04901-6029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Wish  
1429 E Gore St  
Orlando FL 32806-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steph Clarke  
1888 Premier Pl  
Concord CA 94520-4050

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maryann Stork  
22 Cambridge Ct  
Fairbury IL 61739-9557

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Briggs  
46 20th Ct  
Hermosa Beach CA 90254-2804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ey Bro  
34 Little River Rd  
Berwick ME 03901-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Serazio  
N1772 Hawks Nest Rd  
Keshena WI 54135-9402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Boris Dirnbach  
6350 Lancaster Ave  
Philadelphia PA 19151-2507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christa Neuber  
728 N Doheny Dr  
West Hollywood CA 90069-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachele Mechem  
101 California St  
San Francisco CA 94111-5802

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anna Cowen  
19308 Leland Rd  
Oregon City OR 97045-8505

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anita Brown  
1310 Forest Lakes Ave SE  
Atlanta GA 30317-3235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regina Wagner  
1130 Carmel Dr  
Dubuque IA 52003-7911

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Lichtenbert  
5307 W Nelson St  
Chicago IL 60641-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Therese Babineau  
1259 Aloha Oe Dr  
Kailua HI 96734-4504

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Levine  
221 Mount Auburn St  
Cambridge MA 02138-4874

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Shoemaker  
172 N Esterly Ave  
Whitewater WI 53190-1313

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Langstaff  
1515 Carroll Dr  
Altadena CA 91001-2618

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Frank Lewis  
930 N Downing St  
Denver CO 80218-3064

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tracey Smallwood  
1322 Harwich Dr  
Waldorf MD 20601-3322

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Dixon  
1516 Silverleaf Ln  
Concord CA 94521-3546

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ed Robertson  
255 N Forest St Apt 410  
Bellingham WA 98225-5833

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Martha O'Connor  
9357 N 87th Way  
Scottsdale AZ 85258-1932

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Gleason  
1267 Palomino Rd  
Fallbrook CA 92028-4243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Iszauk  
208 Valleyview Dr  
Mc Donald PA 15057-2619

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Jackson  
6829 Roberts Dr  
Woodridge IL 60517-1501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Celeste Anacker  
2814 Miradero Dr  
Santa Barbara CA 93105-3024

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rob Cherwink  
1515 Fowler Creek Rd  
Sonoma CA 95476-6233

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Moody  
629 Gravlee Ln  
Birmingham AL 35206-1733

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry Condon  
5357 Sedona Creek Ct  
Kissimmee FL 34758-1711

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kraig Schweiss  
19720 Pennington Rd  
Sterling IL 61081-9517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine Resch  
1895 Redwood Dr  
Whitehall PA 18052-4152

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Britt Salazar  
3860 W El Camino Del Cerro  
Tucson AZ 85745-9243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

DeLorse Lovelady  
18622 66th Ave NE  
Kenmore WA 98028-7945

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Zeman  
11173 Galaxy Ln  
Thonotosassa FL 33592-3332

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judson Wynne  
661 Kiowa  
Flagstaff AZ 86005-9549

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gerry Fitzgerald  
1535 Center St  
Sanibel FL 33957-4502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Abrams  
401 S David Ln  
Knoxville TN 37922-3206

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Murrock  
405 N 18th Ave  
Sturgeon Bay WI 54235-3219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Hartung  
444 15th St  
Santa Monica CA 90402-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Maynard  
200 Hanover St Apt 514  
Manchester NH 03104-6111

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Gazzola  
8106 Beleza St  
Navarre FL 32566-9212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Doerfer  
8101 Mayo Dr Apt 305  
Madison WI 53719-4503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Rojeski  
2603 3rd St Apt 6  
Santa Monica CA 90405-4130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Webb  
25 Joseph St  
Providence RI 02904-1649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kate Thompson  
5315 Carmel Valley Rd  
Carmel CA 93923-9553

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Blandford  
2800 Randy St  
Amarillo TX 79124-2309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Dander  
2 Hawthorne Pl  
Boston MA 02114-2343

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Ann Gralinski  
18 Westly St  
West Warwick RI 02893-3530

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Newman  
4625 NE 7th St  
Des Moines IA 50313-2404

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Altemose  
12791 Camellia Bay Dr E  
Jacksonville FL 32223-3749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Robertson  
8315 NW Waukomis Dr  
Kansas City MO 64151-1035

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barty Thompson  
70 Ford Ln  
Mohnton PA 19540-8429

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Thompson  
23516 Shannondell Dr  
Audubon PA 19403-5675

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phylis Cohen  
5535 Grand Ave S  
Minneapolis MN 55419-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Deluca  
17 Enmore Rd  
Melrose MA 02176-3303

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Ciaccio  
223 State Route 375  
West Hurley NY 12491-5633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melinda Svastisalee  
6432 W 65th St  
Chicago IL 60638-5102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eileen Fonferko  
3345 Alfred Rd  
North Port FL 34286-7489

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annita Bowman  
PO Box 184  
Ontario OR 97914-0184

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Gorsetman  
1610 149th St  
Whitestone NY 11357-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul and Shannon Wiseman  
3713 Oak Dr  
Ypsilanti MI 48197-3793

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terrie Williams

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terrilyn Geer  
36 Shore Dr  
Pittsfield MA 01201-3029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Stanton  
454 Bills Rd  
Macedon NY 14502-9324

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lana May  
300 S Edward St  
Mount Prospect IL 60056-3418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Grant Power  
1765 Clinton St  
Los Angeles CA 90026-4134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leah Berman  
7200 Viewpoint Rd  
Aptos CA 95003-3305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomasin Kellermann  
500 Mendon Rd Unit 111  
Cumberland RI 02864-6223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leah Berman  
7200 Viewpoint Rd  
Aptos CA 95003-3305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dixie Stevens  
904 Terrace Dr  
Park Hills KY 41011-2059

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Wilce  
54 Fairfield Rd  
Yonkers NY 10705-1707

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Smith  
535 Mount Hope Ave Apt 311  
Rochester NY 14620-2270

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Kramer  
209 Mohawk Cir  
Superior CO 80027-8604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Proietta  
1820 Napfle Ave  
Philadelphia PA 19111-3423

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Price  
14513 Gaylord St  
Thornton CO 80602-7488

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Mrkvicka  
341 Whitewater Ave  
Fort Atkinson WI 53538-2253

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bettina Bowers  
4905 Tanglewood Dr  
Nashville TN 37216-1419

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Geahlen  
PO Box 224  
Marine IL 62061-0224

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Wilson  
2412 N Aragon Ave  
Kettering OH 45420-3712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elin Soderquist  
4N945 Prairie Lakes Blvd  
Saint Charles IL 60175-7938

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dmitry Landa  
8330 98th St Apt 5G  
Woodhaven NY 11421-1655

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lindsay Knights  
PO Box 7447  
Santa Cruz CA 95061-7447

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norman Illsley  
907 Sailors Reef  
Fort Collins CO 80525-3110

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Holder  
7601 Quail Woods Rd  
Wilmington NC 28411-7274

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jocelyn Boyce  
PO Box 252  
Port Salerno FL 34992-0252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christopher Lee Nguyen  
8837 Cypress Preserve Pl  
Fort Myers FL 33912-0829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Hartgraves  
8233 E Camino Herradura  
Tucson AZ 85750-2925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Holowczak  
7937 W Fletcher St  
Elmwood Park IL 60707-1033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jorge Tamargo  
9315 SW 43rd St  
Miami FL 33165-5230

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Claesson  
6200 Cowles Mountain Blvd  
La Mesa CA 91942-1810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Ward  
1057 Calle 8  
San Juan PR 00927-5221

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jack Stapleton  
574 S Fairfield Ave  
Elmhurst IL 60126-3865

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joan Scott  
PO Box 1213  
Joshua Tree CA 92252-0810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseann Santangelo  
6675 N Gray Gos Rd  
Chino Valley AZ 86323-9138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Vasquez  
3668 Silverstone Dr NE  
Salem OR 97305-3062

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Cuci  
11540 Brookwood Dr  
Orland Park IL 60467-6065

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Lee  
25 Pleasant St  
Rockport MA 01966-2151

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steve Schueth  
3600 N Lake Shore Dr Apt 1012  
Chicago IL 60613-4644

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacob Lang  
2305 Las Colinas Ave  
Los Angeles CA 90041-2919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvain Beloin  
5 Powder Mill Ln  
North Granby CT 06060-1216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jane Forbes  
132 Country Estates Dr  
Santa Cruz CA 95060-9776

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Sarelas  
3860 Sugar Hill Rd  
Ava IL 62907-2130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alan Chen  
3333 Bagley Ave Apt 7  
Los Angeles CA 90034-2837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sara Katz  
627 14th St  
Manhattan Beach CA 90266-4838

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Dexter  
6065 Detrick Rd  
Tipp City OH 45371

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arlene Sherman  
140 Whitman Blvd  
Elyria OH 44035-1724

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathi Ridgway  
85 Covenant Way Apt 100  
Canal Winchester OH 43110-1081

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Wettersten  
88 Kendal Dr  
Oberlin OH 44074-1904

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lowell Palm  
419 5th St  
Washington Court House OH 43160-2510

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kay L.  
299 Juana Ave  
San Leandro CA 94577-4838

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristine A.  
48 Granger St Apt 2  
Boston MA 02122-2859

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margie Hunter  
109 Wilson Ave  
Circleville OH 43113-1242

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brennor Masters  
2328 Gibley Park Rd  
Toledo OH 43617-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Loretta Olsen  
9569 State Route 380  
Wilmington OH 45177-9433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Meis  
15357 Magnolia Blvd Apt 123  
Sherman Oaks CA 91403-1275

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cody Curtis  
482 E 9th Ave  
Salt Lake City UT 84103-3153

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alex Howe  
88 Holland Ln Apt 201  
Williston VT 05495-7950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gaetane Gonzales  
3804 Palo Ct NE  
Albuquerque NM 87110-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David J. Lafond  
70 Brown Ave  
Holyoke MA 01040-3502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cara Artman  
12340 Betsy Ross Ln  
Saint Louis MO 63146-4618

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Kittle  
6602 14th Ave  
Kenosha WI 53143-4957

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Gunther  
5636 N Spaulding Ave Apt 1B  
Chicago IL 60659-3676

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Stein  
647 Flaming Star Ave  
Thousand Oaks CA 91360-1522

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Meyer  
4421 Baker Ave NW  
Seattle WA 98107-4352

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mike Nielsen  
1001 South Rd  
Lisle IL 60532-2652

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eleanor Jones  
2 Sacramento St Apt 2  
Cambridge MA 02138-1887

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Feldman  
137 Winchester Dr  
Santa Rosa CA 95401-9137

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jordan Fouts  
520 N Lafayette St  
Denver CO 80218-3608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard & Carolyn Rosenstein  
2194 Century HI  
Los Angeles CA 90067-3502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J Diamond  
195 W 10th St Apt 2C  
New York NY 10014-6407

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Buhowsky  
83 Tahoe Ct Unit 201  
San Ramon CA 94582-4865

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ronald Ringler  
12635 Main St  
Garden Grove CA 92840-5271

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mardene Costa  
4115 Bennett Ave  
Gurnee IL 60031-3003

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Puett  
3104 Mistyglen Cir  
Austin TX 78746-7811

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judy Fore  
205 New Bern Ave  
Black Mountain NC 28711-3330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Laman  
4615 Winona Ave  
San Diego CA 92115-3341

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Crawford  
32721 5th Ave  
Black Diamond WA 98010-9706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arin Keshishian  
630 N Louise St  
Glendale CA 91206-2252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Geri Collecchia  
360 E South Water St Apt 518  
Chicago IL 60601-4117

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lenore Rodah  
334 Grace Dr  
South Pasadena CA 91030-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Fisk  
2105 Redwood Cir NE  
Palm Bay FL 32905-4001

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Dlugosz  
409 Compass Ave  
Beachwood NJ 08722-4119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Stober  
3607 Timberoak Dr  
Greensboro NC 27410-2142

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Evelyn Adams  
4920 Pecan Place Dr  
McKinney TX 75071-7030

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Irvin  
754 Pleasant Ln  
Menasha WI 54952-2440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katarina Lang  
3104 E Camelback Rd  
Phoenix AZ 85016-4502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Rowe  
4212 Rowell Ln  
Abrams WI 54101-9748

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lauren Stone  
PO Box 1476  
Onset MA 02558-1476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amy Newton  
227 Martin Ave  
Pittsburgh PA 15216-1611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anna Schwadron  
265 Castleman Rd  
Vestal NY 13850-6134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roger Kulp  
110 Dallas St SE  
Albuquerque NM 87108-3204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Freddie Sykes  
656 Hurricane Loop  
Tennessee Ridge TN 37178-5130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mecky & Jay Myers  
228 N Irena Ave  
Redondo Beach CA 90277-3243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Eckstein  
9 Beverly Rd  
Stanhope NJ 07874-2433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Tucker  
1000 Carteret Ave Apt 1  
Pueblo CO 81004-2467

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Myles Hunt  
1624 Putnam Ave  
Ridgewood NY 11385-3457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Claudia Richner  
2864 Rock Barn Dr  
Kerrville TX 78028-8054

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol E Gentry  
100 Silver Ave SW Apt 221  
Albuquerque NM 87102-4013

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Naylor  
PO Box 1749  
Makawao HI 96768-1749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bert Greenberg  
6166 Montgomery Pl  
San Jose CA 95135-1428

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Swan  
313 Foote St NW  
Olympia WA 98502-4921

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Schmidt  
3644 Lake Ridge Rd  
Fallbrook CA 92028-9104

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Beierl  
5 Shetland Rd  
Nashua NH 03062-3017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Casey  
3316 Dartmouth Dr  
Plano TX 75075-7920

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Mckeen  
62 Dale St S  
Saint Paul MN 55102-5617

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Fuerst  
1632 NE Westwind Dr  
Lees Summit MO 64086-5804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jon Jarvis  
1245 Agate Ave Apt 8  
Bullhead City AZ 86442-5942

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeremiah Graff  
2010 N 40th St  
Sheboygan WI 53081-1746

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gabriella Brown  
1980 N Milwaukee Ave Apt 519  
Chicago IL 60647-1789

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

V. Evan  
1335 W Rosedale Ave  
Chicago IL 60660-3490

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sally Yost  
6303 Blenheim Rd  
Baltimore MD 21212-2502

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Morneau  
12174 145th Ln  
Largo FL 33774-3354

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim & Diana Prola  
2234 Belvedere Ave  
San Leandro CA 94577-6554

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Grace Silva  
7050 Babcock Ave  
North Hollywood CA 91605-5337

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brian Cohen  
1706 Minstrel Dr  
Las Vegas NV 89123-0317

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

T Gilbert  
26099 Victoria Blvd  
Capo Beach CA 92624-1133

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Dymesich  
1700 Broadway St Apt 129  
Concord CA 94520-2609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Campion  
322 W Dudley Ave  
Westfield NJ 07090-4020

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hod Gray  
1000 E Maple Ave  
Lompoc CA 93436-5440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carrie Darling  
18032 N 2nd St  
Phoenix AZ 85022-1508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Tann  
14 Painted Trillium Trl  
Black Mountain NC 28711-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Silvestris  
201 Providence St Apt 102  
Worcester MA 01607-1184

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bart Ryan  
245 Winter St  
Waltham MA 02451-8777

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Ericson  
588 Radcliffe Ave  
Pacific Palisades CA 90272-4329

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maureen O'Neal  
9100 SW 80th Ave  
Portland OR 97223-8981

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy Fugate  
6685 Stillwell Beckett Rd  
Oxford OH 45056-9246

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Mckee  
6667 Wilbur Ave Unit 36  
Reseda CA 91335-5170

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peggy Walters  
2437 Tartarian Way  
Union City CA 94587-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Davis  
3634 Juniata St  
Saint Louis MO 63116-4810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George Phillips  
101 Boyd Dr Apt 2D  
Flat Rock NC 28731-8785

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alexandra Zeledon  
6680 SW 20th St  
Plantation FL 33317-5105

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Larry Gioannini  
205 Hoagland Rd  
Las Cruces NM 88005-1416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juan Martinez  
1062 E 38th St  
Brooklyn NY 11210-4416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Wagner  
1960 Aspen Cir SE  
Albany OR 97322-5102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fred Torney  
2113 W Cluster Ave  
Tampa FL 33604-5318

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anita Bryant  
2110 NE Park Rd  
Seattle WA 98105-2422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Chinofsky  
422 Wendy Rd  
Southampton PA 18966-3521

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rick Swope  
8903 SW 178th Ter  
Palmetto Bay FL 33157-5924

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennie Cohen  
2060 Layton St  
Pasadena CA 91104-1707

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Bayouth  
401 N Crestway St  
Wichita KS 67208-3845

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bj Trivedi  
5146 NW 22nd Dr  
Gainesville FL 32605-5480

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James M. Kozlik  
3530 81st St Apt 5H  
Jackson Heights NY 11372-5021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leslie Graff  
516 Solar Rd  
Oldtown ID 83822-5116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Craig Drew  
1034 Stone Ledge Ln  
Chattanooga TN 37421-4769

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Humphrey  
1001 W 4th Ave Apt C107  
Kennewick WA 99336-7508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R F  
1437 W Mountain Ave  
Fort Collins CO 80521-2305

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gary Ludi  
2035 Azalea Dr  
Roswell GA 30075-4750

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Phyllis Ginsberg  
5109 Chesterwood Way  
Somerset NJ 08873-5906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Abreu  
8405 SW 156th St  
Palmetto Bay FL 33157-2164

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roger Schmidt  
1715 Linnerud Dr Unit 1  
Sun Prairie WI 53590-1187

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leonard Cork  
4930 S Langley Ave  
Chicago IL 60615-2571

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Sandstrom  
2608 Oakland Ave  
Minneapolis MN 55407-1004

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

bernardo mujica  
133 Sioux St  
Sioux City IA 51103-4950

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Flavia Brizio-Skov  
4800 Farland Dr  
Knoxville TN 37909-1312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Noah Youngelson  
2449 Walgrove Ave  
Los Angeles CA 90066-4134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carmen Dello Buono  
5770 Winfield Blvd Spc 166  
San Jose CA 95123-2424

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robin Kratschmer  
2606 Missouri St  
Billings MT 59102-1824

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marjorie Lewis  
3040 Commercial Ave  
Madison WI 53704-4306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lane Lucht  
1225 E Westview Ct Apt 633  
Spokane WA 99218-3820

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Serena Levingston  
6909 Henley St  
Philadelphia PA 19119-3415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Rossman  
36 Gould St  
Newport RI 02840-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Bender  
261 Vista Del Parque  
Redondo Beach CA 90277-6116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norbert Langer  
148 Gettysburg Way  
Lincoln Park NJ 07035-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gennaro Delucia  
12 Landry Rd  
Somerset NJ 08873-2243

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseann Santangelo  
6675 N Gray Gos Rd  
Chino Valley AZ 86323-9138

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Niemeir  
5519 E 2nd St  
Tucson AZ 85711-1412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Walden  
259 S Rock River Rd  
Diamond Bar CA 91765-1558

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Priscilla Skerry  
95 Emery St  
Portland ME 04102-3781

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patty Buttlere  
23 Autumn Ln  
Streamwood IL 60107-2886

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvia De Baca  
718 Via Los Santos  
San Dimas CA 91773-3151

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Doherty  
PO Box 241  
Lake Forest IL 60045-0241

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Rost  
1541 Rosita Dr  
Las Vegas NV 89123-1457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Goodson  
12893 Island Cir # 36  
Clearlake Oaks CA 95423-8415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Glatt  
573 Tamarind Ln  
Buellton CA 93427-6821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Kahigian  
8 Country Hill Ln  
Haverhill MA 01832-1269

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Hayes  
385 Leif Cir  
Crescent City CA 95531-8111

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dena Turner  
1122 SE 60th Ave  
Portland OR 97215-2805

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Parkinson  
11 Norton Rd  
Lexington MA 02420-2910

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Lemp  
173 Bolling Cir  
Novato CA 94949-4531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gayle Countryman-Mills  
11906 Oden Ct  
Rockville MD 20852-4341

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Ryan  
18001 La Tung Rd # 1800  
Covington LA 70435-8167

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Dare  
108 N Auburndale St Apt 721  
Memphis TN 38104-6409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tim Lippert  
13908 Chalk Hill Pl  
Riverview FL 33579-2405

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rumble  
620 S Majestic View Dr  
Post Falls ID 83854-9491

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Hanna  
6974 Feldspar Pl  
Carlsbad CA 92009-5212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Nardo  
9072 Woodlawn Dr  
Mechanicsville VA 23116-5426

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Rakaczky  
816 19th St  
Sparks NV 89431-4312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Abendroth  
PO Box 203  
Bloomingdale NY 12913-0203

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Woodmansee  
172 N Tigertail Rd  
Los Angeles CA 90049-2706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Kline  
964 Lamberton St  
Trenton NJ 08611-3412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Bandt  
16120 Rosewood St  
Stilwell KS 66085-9370

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dara Alexander  
PO Box 150395  
San Rafael CA 94915-0395

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Ellsworth  
61 Rosehip Rd  
Eastsound WA 98245-8966

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Yessenia Quintero  
8125 San Carlos Ave  
South Gate CA 90280-2431

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cheryl Carney  
1124 W Gramercy Pl  
San Antonio TX 78201-5216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virginia Leslie  
107 Jacklin Cir  
Milpitas CA 95035-3532

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deirdre Downey  
328 Gold St  
Juneau AK 99801-1127

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wayne Myers  
17 New Jersey  
Irvine CA 92606-1780

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Marshall  
7942 Venice Heights Dr NE  
Warren OH 44484-1510

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dale Peterson  
2506 10th St  
Berkeley CA 94710-2520

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angela Ford  
PO Box 26294  
Knoxville TN 37912-9594

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jason Saville  
59 Alpine Ave  
Queensbury NY 12804-6002

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Murphy  
3005 Taft St  
Wall Township NJ 07719-4325

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephan Lehmann  
11 Bourne Hay Rd  
Sandwich MA 02563-2762

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Armington  
7272 Willson Rd  
Henrico VA 23231-5844

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Fjsdakfl Alfsdjklfa  
123 E Main St  
El Cajon CA 92020-3909

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kevin Goodwin  
6944 W Paradise Ln  
Peoria AZ 85382-3935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Pirtle-Connelly  
1803 Winans Ave  
Baltimore MD 21227-4438

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Coogan  
8780 W Fairview Ave  
Littleton CO 80128-8204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Meyer  
3339 Rickman Ave NE  
Grand Rapids MI 49525-2639

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susanna Stone  
5 Barberry Ln  
Middle Island NY 11953-1503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dolores Pino  
4725 N Lawndale Ave  
Chicago IL 60625-5823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jenna Miller  
7707 Rachael Whitney Ln  
Alexandria VA 22315-3738

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jana Segal  
4743 E Mabel St  
Tucson AZ 85712-4719

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Schrader  
1000 S 14th Ave W  
Newton IA 50208-5232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily Grandy  
1982 N Prospect Ave Apt 3C  
Milwaukee WI 53202-1476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Kozinski  
3729 S Packard Ave  
St Francis WI 53235-4331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maria De La Rosa-Young  
1033 Hinman Ave  
Evanston IL 60202-1318

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Barbieri  
1706 E Providence Ave  
Milwaukee WI 53211-2813

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Theresa Lehman  
1173 Ava Ct  
Neenah WI 54956-1897

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charlotte Serazio  
175 N 66th St  
Milwaukee WI 53213-4066

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Serazio  
N1772 Hawks Nest Rd  
Keshena WI 54135-9402

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Emrich  
1010 E Washington Ave  
Madison WI 53703-3130

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Yvonne Christison  
2023 Frontenac Ave  
Stevens Point WI 54481-4125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Weitzer  
W161N6298 Claremore Cir  
Menomonee Falls WI 53051-5736

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jean-Francois Fauconnier  
1200 Main St  
Stevens Point WI 54481-2863

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Storter  
N6284 County Road D  
Arkansas WI 54721-9454

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Logan  
2726 Carter Ave  
Erie PA 16506-2120

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandy Brooks  
1402 S River Rd  
Buffalo City WI 54622-7222

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George & Marilyn Ash  
502 Apollo Way Apt 111  
Madison WI 53718-2999

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Summer Stevens  
PO Box 177  
Colton WA 99113-0177

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leigh Begalske  
1823 Fiesta Ln  
Green Bay WI 54302-2225

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Fallow  
102 Leon St  
Madison WI 53714-2237

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Brim  
2529 Burnside Rd  
Johnstown OH 43031-9594

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lester Miller  
3143 W Villa Dr  
Franklin WI 53132-7705

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

sharon vorwalske  
2102 Aspen Rd  
Madison WI 53711-4309

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Devin Benson  
2209 Park Ave  
La Crosse WI 54601-5960

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Casper  
1600 Sherman Ave Apt 105  
Stevens Point WI 54481-7210

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chuck Shiebler  
3327 Buhrmill Rd  
Reynoldsburg OH 43068-7252

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynne Nittler  
2441 Bucklebury Rd  
Davis CA 95616-3089

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Parker  
5708 Pheasant Ln  
La Crosse WI 54601-2256

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joy Morgen  
1901 Dondoe Rd  
Madison WI 53716-1823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Frank Gonzales  
3933 E Cudahy Ave  
Cudahy WI 53110-1235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Bey  
2848 Mary St  
Stevens Point WI 54481-4816

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Schill  
171 S 6th Ave  
Lucinda PA 16235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carina Zevely  
4905 NW Shaniko Ct  
Portland OR 97229-2134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Gentry  
52579 Sawmill Creek Dr  
Macomb MI 48042-5678

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cindy Page  
4795 Michelle Ln  
Palm Harbor FL 34684-1063

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Trosper  
12219 NE 180th St  
Battle Ground WA 98604-9235

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sara Lee  
9302 Alexa Ct  
Manassas Park VA 20111-3023

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Arden Green  
92 Castlewynd Ct  
Lake St Louis MO 63367-4386

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Judy Dugan  
PO Box 968  
Seabrook NH 03874-0968

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debby Roegner  
5726 Debbie Ln  
West Bend WI 53095-9134

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Seborowski  
425 Rogers St  
Madison WI 53703-3784

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Koeller  
931 S Lafayette St  
Shawano WI 54166-3009

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Hall-Taylor  
19 Cape Jellison Rd  
Stockton Springs ME 04981-4349

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hunter Klapperich  
612 Park Ave  
Stanley WI 54768-1159

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ji Montgomery  
2 N Stoner Ave  
Shiremanstown PA 17011-6340

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jess Haven  
410 N Paterson St Apt 1  
Madison WI 53703-1596

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joyce Frohn  
425 Congress Ave  
Oshkosh WI 54901-2967

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Peterson  
404 Woodlark Ct  
Indian Trail NC 28079-6548

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Britton Saunders  
2975 S Wentworth Ave  
Milwaukee WI 53207-2547

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Fetting  
5025 N Woodruff Ave  
Whitefish Bay WI 53217-5635

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ronda Conner  
830 Burr Oak Ln  
Madison WI 53713-1228

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Lyne  
4345 Keating Ter  
Madison WI 53711-1563

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kaitlyn Kittell  
1201 Trailside Ter  
Seymour WI 54165-1258

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Chandler Jr  
2506 Guenevere Ave SE  
Huntsville AL 35803-1814

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jolie June Jacobus  
226 W Mill St  
Columbus WI 53925-1525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aleasa Crary  
313 Pawling St  
Madison WI 53704-5017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alan Jasper  
5243 Poppy Pl Apt D  
Delray Beach FL 33484-2726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Agape  
873 Spencerport Rd  
Rochester NY 14606-4821

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emily St. Onge  
1102 N High Point Rd Apt 102  
Madison WI 53717-2245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Collins  
6685 W Farm Road 44  
Willard MO 65781-9649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Phalen  
4224 Brookside Ave  
Cincinnati OH 45223-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penelope Andrews  
17 Copper Rdg  
Hermon ME 04401-0567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Dillon  
1701 5th St SW  
Willmar MN 56201-4179

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elimaris Gonzalez  
155 Pine View Xing  
Pooler GA 31322-9708

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Selena Ambush  
3017 Bloomsbury Dr  
Kissimmee FL 34747-1616

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Waine  
80 School St  
Taunton MA 02780-3119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Rieckmann  
W3268 Buffalo Hills Rd  
Pardeeville WI 53954-9628

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annie Jo  
404 Robertson Ave Unit A  
Charlottesville VA 22903-3649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jane Shippy  
517 Fieldcrest Ave  
Stevens Point WI 54481-4316

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Pedersen  
8249 State Road 38  
Caledonia WI 53108-9608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scott Crockett  
PO Box 810  
Florence OR 97439-0033

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Danny Shelley  
505 SW 139th Ave  
Beaverton OR 97006-5840

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Chasteen  
26 Foster Ln  
Wentzville MO 63385-1935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Julie Dubois  
8352 Starkland Ave  
West Hills CA 91304-3049

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jean Ross  
3624 Bryant Ave S  
Minneapolis MN 55409-1018

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J. Mike Campbell  
410 Prospectors Pt  
Grand Junction CO 81507-1580

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

William Chevalier  
2101 Valley Rd Spc 8  
Willits CA 95490-9759

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Addis  
10200 Ivy Hollow Dr  
Knoxville TN 37931-3145

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Hadden  
1921 Gates Ave  
Ridgewood NY 11385-2434

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimm Carter  
24989 Woodland Ave  
Veneta OR 97487-9760

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nicole Dixon  
PO Box 356  
Hamden OH 45634-0356

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Ann Viveros  
1269 Washington Blvd  
Mayfield Hts OH 44124-1625

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Kramer  
9914 St Helens Ave  
Vancouver WA 98664-4158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cliff Joseph  
10137 S Avenue M # 1  
Chicago IL 60617-5925

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Delores Coe  
2189 Pawnee Dr SE  
Marietta GA 30067-7311

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Haug  
168305 River Rd  
Ringle WI 54471-5869

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Aileen Renner  
187 Edison St  
Staten Island NY 10306-3237

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Randy Derhammer  
413 Olive St  
Paso Robles CA 93446-3116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Juanita Ryan  
5704 Lancashier Ct  
Fitchburg WI 53711-6504

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tonya Green  
605 SW 34th St  
Oklahoma City OK 73109-2523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Lockett  
2181 NE Eton Ln  
Bremerton WA 98311-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Lockett  
2181 NE Eton Ln  
Bremerton WA 98311-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bryn Frederickson  
320 W Lincoln Hwy  
Coatesville PA 19320-3021

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lori Wilson-Hopkins  
12070 Peregrine Way  
Auburn CA 95603-5913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tom Tripp  
3839 Bonney Moore Dr  
Fort Collins CO 80524-6415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Rivera  
5390 Woodland Lakes Dr Apt 401  
Palm Beach Gardens FL 33418-3959

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda James  
1915 19th Ave  
Vero Beach FL 32960-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Deflorio  
626 May St  
River Vale NJ 07675-5611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tara Mudry  
1835 Timberlake Ct  
Bellingham WA 98229-8914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gregory Dudley  
23 Harbour Isle Dr W Unit 103  
Fort Pierce FL 34949-2775

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leigh Begalske  
1823 Fiesta Ln  
Green Bay WI 54302-2225

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liz Hickerson  
15808 27th Ave N  
Plymouth MN 55447-1928

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Emma Vinciguerra  
1444 Rogers St  
Clearwater FL 33756-6183

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Bigley  
1202 E 23rd St  
Houston TX 77009-1706

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rama Bharadwaj  
465 W Grand Ave  
Port Washington WI 53074-2143

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mildred Headdy  
2734 Seaspray St  
Sarasota FL 34231-6140

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

D Weamer  
4803 Knox St  
Shawnee Mission KS 66203-1208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sierra Mcelyea  
1250 Galapago St  
Denver CO 80204-3588

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane Kent  
23733 N Scottsdale Rd Unit 1021  
Scottsdale AZ 85255-3792

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louisa Solari  
1515 NE 128th St  
North Miami FL 33161-5223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sherril Gerell  
6626 241st Ave E  
Buckley WA 98321-8789

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marianne Lazarus  
700 Trotter Ln Apt 205  
Melbourne FL 32940-8213

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Valerie Sanderson  
466 S Harrison St  
Thompson IA 50478-5008

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Brown  
29 Lansdown St  
Wichita KS 67220-4906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

George Mackison  
200 Leslie Dr Apt 430  
Hallandale Beach FL 33009-7314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patriciaroche Roche  
559 Embassy Pkwy Apt 5  
Mountain Home AR 72653-4300

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary McGilvra  
2309 SW 1st Ave Apt 342  
Portland OR 97201-5039

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Lucas  
422 N Henry St Apt C  
Madison WI 53703-1819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maureen Burke  
16 Lexington Ln W  
Palm Beach Gardens FL 33418-7107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R Bloom  
35 Scott St  
Massapequa Park NY 11762-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tiffany Snyder  
175 S 35th St  
Boulder CO 80305-5434

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debra Carter  
303 Druid Hills Rd  
Temple Terrace FL 33617-4126

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Victoria Olson  
4170 NW 11th Ave  
Oakland Park FL 33309-4611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marcelo Vazquez  
230 N Oates St  
Dothan AL 36303-4509

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Cope  
300 Canterbury Ln  
Medina OH 44256-4031

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dorothy Salvato  
771 Hill Rd  
Clinton ME 04927-3523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tyler Kindschuh  
134 Forest Ln  
Garner NC 27529-7661

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virginia Bottorff  
821 E Brighton Ave Apt 1502  
Syracuse NY 13205-2515

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shannon Tausch  
845 Neff Rd  
Grosse Pointe MI 48230-1274

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeanie Chang  
1000 Emerald Hills Ct  
El Dorado Hills CA 95762-4002

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nyla Bissram  
390 Grimsby St  
Staten Island NY 10306-5830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nora Wesley  
661 Oxford Oaks Ln  
Oxford MI 48371-4234

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellyn Gillespie  
6364 S Atlantic Ave  
New Smyrna Beach FL 32169-4703

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janet Draper  
8902 Macywood Ln  
Richland MI 49083-8564

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bobette Plendl  
4821 Black Forest Ln  
Everett WA 98203-3239

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine Hemphill  
1015 N M St  
Lake Worth FL 33460-2245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Collins  
1935 Nault Rd  
Dover DE 19904-5823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Koons  
2221 Patapsco Rd  
Finksburg MD 21048-1116

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Grenard  
12810 N Cave Creek Rd Apt 105  
Phoenix AZ 85022-5880

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Johnson  
5485 Spring Hill Rd  
Newark OH 43056-9091

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nick Barcott  
1318 N Lake Stickney Dr  
Lynnwood WA 98087-2029

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gerald Lee  
2370 Canoe Circle Dr  
Lake Orion MI 48360-1881

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elise L  
110 Hudson St  
Raleigh NC 27608-2432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Hamilton  
433 Anchor Ln Apt 206  
West Sacramento CA 95605-3227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Casey  
3316 Dartmouth Dr  
Plano TX 75075-7920

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alice Gard  
1705 Gordon Dr  
Naples FL 34102-7553

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adam Savett  
31760 Woodsdale Ln  
Solon OH 44139-1325

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sheila Calderon  
2807 Waters Edge Cir  
Greenacres FL 33413-2158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liina Laufer  
1625 20th Ave  
San Francisco CA 94122-3435

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Zarett  
1300 S Ocean Blvd  
Pompano Beach FL 33062-6900

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal L. Grillo  
1888 N Water St Apt 504  
Milwaukee WI 53202-1569

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kermit Cuff  
338 Mariposa Ave Apt 2  
Mountain View CA 94041-1160

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Mutschler  
709 SW 88th Ave Apt 427  
Pembroke Pines FL 33025-4668

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ned Overton  
16 Renown St  
Lake Grove NY 11755-2014

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sharon Sootin  
255 SW 8th St  
Dania FL 33004-3906

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gordon Scott  
2410 NW 117th Pl  
Branford FL 32008-7166

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzan Robbins  
762 N Dix St Sonny's Shdwchsr's Anmlresq  
Paulding OH 45879-1040

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darrin Mckeehen  
1015 Ibis Rd  
Jacksonville FL 32216-2619

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bruce Wheeler  
1201 King George Blvd Apt 53  
Savannah GA 31419-8704

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Bembenek  
748 36 1/2 Ave NE  
Minneapolis MN 55418-1257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachel Loui  
2255 Showers Dr Apt 121  
Mountain View CA 94040-1278

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Halliday  
750 Division St Unit 232  
The Dalles OR 97058-5410

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Winston Huang  
220 Valley West Ct  
West Des Moines IA 50265-3927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Winston Huang  
220 Valley West Ct  
West Des Moines IA 50265-3927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maria Szokolai  
14210 Parkvale Rd  
Rockville MD 20853-2528

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela & Carl Lechner  
5290 State Route 534  
Windsor OH 44099-9728

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Meade  
115 N Pennsylvania Ave  
Apollo PA 15613-1353

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Rhoda  
PO Box 58  
Hiram ME 04041-0058

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dawn Odonnell  
54 Bumphill Rd  
Greenfield Center NY 12833-1017

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Windberg  
4806 Roundup Trl  
Austin TX 78745-1633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Caldwell  
2319 White Cross Rd  
Chapel Hill NC 27516-9731

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Chapman Burson  
10906 Thorncliff Dr  
Humble TX 77396-2479

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Struthers Malbon  
1391 Breckenridge Dr  
Blacksburg VA 24060-8617

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Henrich  
11 Glen Rd  
Dedham MA 02026-5215

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Palmeta Baier  
29330 Lake Way  
Kirksville MO 63501-8415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathryn Kassner  
362 Fitzsimmons Rd  
Red Hook NY 12571-4055

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Naomi Weisman  
7318 Greentree Rd  
Bethesda MD 20817-1552

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brennor Masters  
2328 Gibley Park Rd  
Toledo OH 43617-2232

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jamie Fillmore  
15739 NW Rondos Dr  
Portland OR 97229-8985

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ira Kriston  
900 Chicago Ave Unit 713  
Evanston IL 60202-4556

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

David Ringle  
7113 Heather Rd  
Macungie PA 18062-9432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barbara Sheard  
20220 SW Nancy Ln  
Beaverton OR 97007-6083

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Moseman  
522 Shore Rd Apt 3LL  
Long Beach NY 11561-4567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Walt Luerken  
142 Woodward Ave  
Seekonk MA 02771-2917

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brigid Vele  
134 Lyman Rd  
East Patchogue NY 11772-6212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Vaughan  
4740 N Paseo Aquimuri  
Tucson AZ 85750-1615

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lawrence Bogolub  
1424 Lincoln Ave  
Saint Paul MN 55105-2216

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alleia Bakker  
1752 Vinton Ave  
Memphis TN 38104-5140

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Evans  
3806 Hillside Dr  
Sapulpa OK 74066-8943

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Regina Brooks  
17 Wallace Rd  
Pittsburgh PA 15209-2015

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ken Funabashi  
5723 Dimes Rd  
Derwood MD 20855-1726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Oberdorf  
58 Ann Lee Ln  
Tamarac FL 33319-2466

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Lombardi  
19 Morning Glory Ln  
Levittown PA 19054-2023

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmy Powell  
4709 Este Ave  
Cincinnati OH 45232-1414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Flint Sheffield  
1318 E St Apt 801  
Sacramento CA 95814-1469

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Morales  
330 W Highway 246 Spc 46  
Buellton CA 93427-9714

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sarah Hearon  
PO Box 3587  
Santa Barbara CA 93130-3587

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephan Donovan  
11900 N Labyrinth Dr  
Oro Valley AZ 85737-3451

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Colleen Carroll  
14503 Mecca Pl  
Tampa FL 33625-6608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marla Shrock  
5750 Friars Rd  
San Diego CA 92110-1852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Foley  
225 Munger Hill Rd # 225225  
Westfield MA 01085-4591

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Resa Blatman  
42 Bartlett St  
Somerville MA 02145-3604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carole Matthews  
3098 Church Dr # RR2  
Pittston Twp PA 18640-9633

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laura Waterworth  
3652 S Perth Cir Unit 104  
Aurora CO 80013-7503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Douglas Whitehead  
104 Linden Ave  
Glen Burnie MD 21061-2414

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kim Smith  
405 Files Creek Rd  
Beverly WV 26253-4699

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marge Barry  
866 Central Ave  
Pittsburg CA 94565-2757

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Allen  
4317 N 14th St  
Carter Lake IA 51510-1065

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rutherford Charlot  
10914 Farmers Blvd  
Saint Albans NY 11412-1063

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Supporter Unknown  
266 N Plain Rd  
Housatonic MA 01236-9720

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Curia  
2048 N 68th Pl  
Scottsdale AZ 85257-2637

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bruce Cratty  
1968 Ayers Ave  
Akron OH 44313-7204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dave Searles  
804 17th St  
Brodhead WI 53520-1760

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Louisa Gauerke  
3821 Lincoln High Pl  
Los Angeles CA 90031-2857

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven G. Kellman  
302 Fawn Dr  
Shavano Park TX 78231-1519

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meredith West  
911 N Wood St  
Chicago IL 60622-5005

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

B McClintock  
1450 Ala Moana Blvd  
Honolulu HI 96814

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Buchholz  
2043 S 84th St  
West Allis WI 53227-1703

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mahleen B.  
8 Susan Pl  
Edison NJ 08817-2823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vira Confectioner  
PO Box 374  
Sunol CA 94586-0374

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Melissa Paige  
20 E 35th St Apt 4L  
New York NY 10016-0223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gisela Schloss-Birkholz  
102 Cedar St  
Roswell GA 30075-1139

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry & Martin Horwitz  
1326 23rd Ave  
San Francisco CA 94122-1608

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penny Porter  
1312 Jackson St Apt 15  
San Francisco CA 94109-3242

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Brei  
1350 Kimberly Ridge Rd Apt 214  
Bettendorf IA 52722-4188

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cynthia Hobart  
PO Box 307  
Rolla MO 65402-0307

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sylvana Arguello  
6320 SW 138th Ct Apt 304  
Miami FL 33183-2074

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Teri Yazdi  
51 Winding Way  
San Carlos CA 94070-2812

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Beth Herndobler  
572 Sinaloa Ave  
Pasadena CA 91106-1319

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

DP Thornton  
7646 S Bishop St  
Chicago IL 60620-4125

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Mccombs  
204 Sea Crest Pl  
Otter Rock OR 97369-9761

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Charles Heinrichs  
885 Sierra Vista Dr Apt 207  
Yreka CA 96097-2652

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lee Meadows  
4920 Helaman Ct  
Sacramento CA 95841-4713

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brenda Lewis  
539 Antoine Creek Rd  
Chelan WA 98816-8609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scott Vickers  
1440 N Franklin St  
Denver CO 80218-2410

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Rasmussen  
5831 Audubon Dr  
Pensacola FL 32504-7327

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Crenshaw  
7126 N 19th Ave Unit 123  
Phoenix AZ 85021-8523

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Virgene Link-New  
2004 10th St  
Anacortes WA 98221-1422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Kaufman  
637 N Dellrose Ave  
Wichita KS 67208-3437

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Fuerst  
1632 NE Westwind Dr  
Lees Summit MO 64086-5804

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Russell Ziegler  
22 61st St Apt W  
Downers Grove IL 60516-2032

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dean Webb  
4522 36th Ave W  
Seattle WA 98199-1154

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Benedict  
8463 Etiwanda Ave Apt C  
Rancho Cucamonga CA 91739-9200

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Mabry  
1507 Prince St  
Conway AR 72034-3956

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ted Scherff  
76 Summerfield Blvd  
Bowling Green OH 43402-8809

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christel Markevich  
5570 Magnolia Dr  
Nederland CO 80466-9609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Perry Gx  
14312 Franklin Ave  
Tustin CA 92780-7011

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Allen  
445 Monument Rd Apt 1203  
Jacksonville FL 32225-6457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Verellen  
3542 Cayuga  
Flagstaff AZ 86005-4004

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Mcdowell  
9200 Mulholland Dr  
Grand Forks ND 58201-3102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina And David Pirazzi  
445 Los Altos Ave  
Long Beach CA 90814-1934

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemarie Garczynski  
N6149 Shaw Hill Rd  
Beaver Dam WI 53916-8924

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Lull  
614 W 8th St  
Claremont CA 91711-4212

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jessica Andrews  
6825 N Chaparral Ave  
Tucson AZ 85718-1207

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dawn Albanese  
156 Basswood Dr  
Elk Grove Village IL 60007-1718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Mueller  
6041 Coventry Cir  
Alpharetta GA 30004-7415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Huggins  
206 E Connie St  
Lansing KS 66043-1186

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Yeomans  
153 S Spring St # 48640  
Midland MI 48640-9025

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Bye  
42843 W Sandpiper Dr  
Maricopa AZ 85138-3984

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brit Rosso  
8629 S Triangle K Ranch Pl  
Vail AZ 85641-8932

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Alex Stavis  
65 E 96th St Apt 9A  
New York NY 10128-0776

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Amaryntha Schalin  
1113 Johnstown Rd  
Chesapeake VA 23322-8812

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Large  
125 S Laurel St  
Royal Oak MI 48067-2418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jordan Neiman  
2553 Canyon Dr  
Los Angeles CA 90068-2415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jesse Kirkham  
370 Kaymar Dr  
Danville IN 46122-8503

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Earl Poteet  
753 S Tejon Ave  
Pueblo West CO 81007-3287

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heather Florian  
557 E Park Ave Apt 2  
Chippewa Falls WI 54729-3536

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kenneth Althiser  
38920 Newberry St  
Cherry Valley CA 92223-3658

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Thomas Force  
420 N Pine St  
Ukiah CA 95482-4338

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Drew Simrin  
104 Maynard Ave  
Eugene OR 97404-2841

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Brooker  
319 Megans Bay Ln  
Charleston SC 29492-8512

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nathan Jimenez  
2438 SE 41st Ave Apt 209  
Portland OR 97214-5970

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Richard Han  
16 Eastbury Ct  
Ann Arbor MI 48105-1403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

dc katten  
41667 N 51st St  
Cave Creek AZ 85331-8436

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tamara McCreedy  
6278 Cynthia St  
Simi Valley CA 93063-4330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diana Banducci  
2626 N Lakeview Ave Apt 309  
Chicago IL 60614-1809

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Middour  
35486 Poor House Ln  
Round Hill VA 20141-1829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Terry Akana  
91-1053 Maulihiwa St  
Kapolei HI 96707-2915

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maggie Hodges  
684 Hillsborough St  
Oakland CA 94606-1010

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Stake  
1155 E Tuckey Ln  
Phoenix AZ 85014-1267

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pam Strom  
1229 Hague Ave  
Saint Paul MN 55104-6416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patrick Martin  
1034 W Oak St  
Fort Collins CO 80521-2409

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Annie O'Connor  
20 Pleasant St  
Southampton MA 01073-9557

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sarah Hafer  
12111 NE 4th St  
Vancouver WA 98684-5913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Barri Baas  
3343 Pine Ave  
Long Beach CA 90807-4415

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meaghan Leavitt  
2601 53rd St N  
Saint Petersburg FL 33710-3449

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meg Nielsen  
5707 Wisconsin St  
McFarland WI 53558-9568

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bob Lichenbert  
5307 W Nelson St  
Chicago IL 60641-4954

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ellen Koivisto  
1556 Great Hwy Apt 101  
San Francisco CA 94122-2856

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ramona Kime  
2020 Flanders Rd  
Charlotte MI 48813-9307

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Scout Perry  
86 Corey Rd  
Boston MA 02135-8102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Wolff  
3471 Doris Rd  
Cleveland OH 44111-2975

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Smith  
1755 Kolob Dr  
Fairfield CA 94534-2922

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marjorie Falk  
28 Allegheny Ave Ste 1202  
Towson MD 21204-3919

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Pamela Hoogerhyde  
58 Misty Way  
Lamoine ME 04605-4498

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Josephson  
1040 University Ave  
Berkeley CA 94710-2158

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie Trasoff  
2447 Crestline St  
Ferndale WA 98248-9224

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edward Turner  
724 Sampson Ave  
Phillipsburg NJ 08865-4440

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa McWhorter  
810 E 32nd Ave  
Eugene OR 97405-4204

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert McFarland  
1402 S 17th Ave  
Hollywood FL 33020-6531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzanna Feitler  
5912 Springlake Rd NW  
Canton OH 44718-1169

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Sloan  
10376 Almayo Ave Apt 5  
Los Angeles CA 90064-2679

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Malkin  
4123 Oroville Ct  
Fremont CA 94555-3312

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Doran  
430 E Maple St  
Sequim WA 98382-3408

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacob Thomas  
PO Box 433  
Bellevue ID 83313-0433

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Ronconi  
8835 W 143rd Ter  
Overland Park KS 66221-9507

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Notestine  
6432 E Brian Kent  
Tucson AZ 85710-1108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Suzanne Licht  
3831 Stephen M White Dr  
San Pedro CA 90731-7027

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Russ Ziegler  
22 61st St  
Downers Grove IL 60516-2032

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Catherine Atherton  
1161 Amherst Ave Apt 302  
Los Angeles CA 90049-5893

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Wendy Wish  
1429 E Gore St  
Orlando FL 32806-1417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Francine Brown  
630 Malcolm X Blvd Apt 17S  
New York NY 10037-1257

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Leeallen Meyer  
170 Bunker Hill St  
Boston MA 02129-2538

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eileen Wagner  
57 Brookside Ave Unit 10  
Boston MA 02130-2694

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meredith Diamond  
870 Cardova Dr NE  
Atlanta GA 30324-4248

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Baldwin  
1726 Bingham Cir  
Hebron KY 41048-8330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Isham  
2723 72nd Street Ct W  
Bradenton FL 34209-5327

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christina Bulskov  
409 Requeza St Apt D4  
Encinitas CA 92024-3718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jo Ann Johnson  
PO Box 852  
Dewey AZ 86327-0852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Monica Schuster  
8157 Geyser Ave  
Reseda CA 91335-1208

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Natalia Jacobs  
26 Sumner Mountain Rd  
Amherst MA 01002-9529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Metcalf  
9 Meadow Ln  
Bristol VT 05443-1108

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Steven Rostermundt  
1119 S 91st St  
West Allis WI 53214-2852

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Gricus  
5701 E Glenn St Apt 111  
Tucson AZ 85712-5227

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Heath Post  
1323 W Wieland Rd  
Lansing MI 48906-1895

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mohan Sakhrani  
4072 St Helena Way  
Dublin CA 94568-4722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Heyneman  
716 Five Mile Line Rd  
Webster NY 14580-2614

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristina Fukuda-Schmid  
3544 Keystone Ave Apt 3  
Los Angeles CA 90034-5501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Feliz Samson  
11500 Terra Vista Way  
Sylmar CA 91342-6527

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jill Rothe  
1690 Chatham Dr  
Oshkosh WI 54904-8306

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Leisman  
11 Coolidge Ave  
Concord NH 03301-2203

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Fischhoff  
PO Box 2743  
Silver City NM 88062-2743

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Batchelor  
721 E 27th St  
Bryan TX 77803-7016

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Osborn  
609 Hardin St  
Columbia MO 65203-2457

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ann Rossman  
36 Gould St  
Newport RI 02840-2102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paula Shafransky  
22461 Prairie Rd  
Sedro Woolley WA 98284-8586

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Beers  
36 Conifer Ln  
Avon CT 06001-4514

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Laurie Sudol  
806 Alfonse Rd  
Clarkdale AZ 86324-7403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elori Smile  
70 Raymond Dr  
Reno NV 89503-3508

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Rynes  
570 Harlowe Ln  
Naperville IL 60565-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Mckay  
7247 Birchfield Rd  
Wise VA 24293-6811

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Rhinehart  
418 Los Padres Blvd  
Santa Clara CA 95050-6420

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Anne Ackley  
1068 Park Ave NE Apt 304  
Salem OR 97301-2866

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ali Morse  
2454 S Old Canyon Rd  
Portal AZ 85632-2085

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cathy Wootan  
3862 W 20th St  
Cleveland OH 44109-2936

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Tomlian  
320 W Berry Ave  
Lansing MI 48910-2909

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paul Blackburn  
2563 Kensington Way  
Elizabethtown KY 42701-6830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeff Schwefel  
64 Allston St Apt 3  
Allston MA 02134-5000

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Zana Hristic  
317 SE 32nd Ave  
Portland OR 97214-1935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark M Giese  
1520 Bryn Mawr Ave  
Mount Pleasant WI 53403-3606

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joyce Stoffers  
14202 N Baywood Ct  
Sun City AZ 85351-2331

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dana Weintraub  
17124 SW Marty Ln  
Beaverton OR 97003-4249

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Chakmakian  
38 Susan Dr  
Cranston RI 02920-5525

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karsten Mueller  
50 Quail Xing  
Santa Cruz CA 95060-1766

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeffrey Plotnik  
465 N Palm Ave Apt 302  
Hemet CA 92543-2884

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathy Spera  
9393 County Road 4136  
Tyler TX 75706-6005

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Thompson  
4782 Sycamore Trl  
Maple Plain MN 55359-9605

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marilyn Waltasti  
20117 N Geysers Dr  
Maricopa AZ 85138-3299

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J.T. Smith  
1000 Old Bethlehem Pike  
Sellersville PA 18960-1422

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Therese Debing  
935 Lighthouse Ave Apt 14  
Pacific Grove CA 93950-2450

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nanlouise Wolfe  
820 Western Dr  
Santa Cruz CA 95060-6823

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bud Hoekstra  
PO Box 234  
Glencoe CA 95232-0234

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Leech  
882 Bell St  
East Palo Alto CA 94303-1722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kelly Smith  
610 McGee Ln  
Derry PA 15627-2646

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carlos Arnold  
499 Fair Oaks Dr  
Santa Maria CA 93455-2329

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michelle Krueger  
6214 Johnson St  
Merrillville IN 46410-2955

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maryellen Redish  
671 S Riverside Dr Apt 9  
Palm Springs CA 92264-0649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

R Kadden  
PO Box 4083  
West Hills CA 91308-4083

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Helena Kashleva  
4078 Coquina Dr  
Sanibel FL 33957-5206

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Rokas  
22168 Schroeder Ave  
Eastpointe MI 48021-4008

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Maya Kurtz  
336 Park Dr  
Glenwood Springs CO 81601-4164

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonnie Oliver  
45 W Fort Lowell Rd Unit 22  
Tucson AZ 85705-5927

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Denise Hosta  
12011 Rock Brook Run Apt 2008  
Fort Myers FL 33913-6810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Maxwell  
6084 Busch Blvd Apt 4  
Columbus OH 43229-2517

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Margaret Schulenberg  
300 Pecan Ln  
Round Rock TX 78664-4529

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Geoffrey Ogden  
23347 Potts Mill Rd  
Middleburg VA 20117-2901

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Molly Hauck  
3900 Decatur Ave  
Kensington MD 20895-1531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edmund Weisberg  
951 Fell St Apt 632  
Baltimore MD 21231-3597

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Daniel Manobianco  
3607 W 68th St  
Chicago IL 60629-4124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Chris Finzer  
3029 Roselawn Blvd  
Louisville KY 40220-2531

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jennifer Thornton  
331 Long Plain Rd  
Leverett MA 01054-9501

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jeff Reagan  
380 Bunker Hill St Unit 115  
Charlestown MA 02129-1726

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Infante  
3656 Whitney Ave Apt 37  
Hamden CT 06518-1520

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Bonita Beard  
265 S View Pl S  
Salem OR 97302-4562

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tina Ann  
PO Box 265  
Bolinás CA 94924-0265

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karyn Barry  
221 Worcester Ln Unit 4  
Waltham MA 02451-7574

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ingrid Bangers  
1001 O St Apt 703  
Lincoln NE 68508-3632

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jacqueline Baruch  
3040 Barclay St  
Baltimore MD 21218-3936

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jim Turner  
450 Kennebec St  
Merritt Island FL 32952-2601

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sandra Cobb  
3880 Ellendale Rd  
Moreland Hills OH 44022-1124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Vanessa Gonzalez-Green  
531 Brook Rd  
Towson MD 21286-5634

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sydney Garner  
208 Oregon Ter  
Medford OR 97504-7045

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donald Jones  
244 Englenook Dr  
Debary FL 32713-3286

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Beverly Pettway  
8920 Scotford Rd  
North Chesterfield VA 23236-2141

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Haroutian  
676 Pleasant St Apt 1  
Worcester MA 01602-2770

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

L Franklin  
383 Boundary St  
Waynesville NC 28786-3205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Fore  
122 Wiggin Rd  
Danbury NH 03230-4412

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brooks Robinson  
1166 Del Rio Rd  
Powell WY 82435-8541

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nancy Schwartz  
3941 Ecochee Ave  
San Diego CA 92117-4624

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

suzanne Caruso  
2818 Eel Ave  
Davis CA 95616-2914

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jenny Zickefoose  
3757 Victory Dr  
South Park PA 15129-9310

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lilli Ross  
390 W End Ave  
New York NY 10024-6107

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Baker  
727 La Cruz Dr  
Fort Collins CO 80524-6087

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Audrey Lasse  
438 W Jefferson St  
Oconomowoc WI 53066-3545

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joseph Dadgari  
PO Box 492205  
Los Angeles CA 90049-8205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jack Mahrt  
434 Tahiti St  
Morro Bay CA 93442-2944

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patricia Close  
1280 Middle Tennessee Blvd Apt F2  
Murfreesboro TN 37130-0935

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Klouzal  
6729 Queens Ferry Rd  
Baltimore MD 21239-1430

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tony Cho Dwyer  
1921 Clifden Rd  
Catonsville MD 21228-4839

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Asphodel Denning  
108 5th Ave S  
Seattle WA 98104-3709

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brooke Crowley  
535 Terrace Ave  
Cincinnati OH 45220-1916

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Berry Dilley  
234 E State St  
Athens OH 45701-1750

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Sena  
946 Olive Ave  
Cincinnati OH 45205-1712

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brandi Mccauley  
6215 Woodland Rd  
Des Moines IA 50312-1245

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Culpepper  
933 Surrey Way  
Cincinnati OH 45245-1141

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Eric Fournier  
49 Parker St  
Watertown MA 02472-3913

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Teresa Pitts  
PO Box 193  
Glen Alpine NC 28628-0193

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jocelyn Stowell  
2022 Lawson Rd  
Tallahassee FL 32308-4829

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Buckingham  
7955 W Chalk Creek Rd  
Casper WY 82604-1747

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Blevins  
10054 Candlestick Ln  
Concord Township OH 44077-2122

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Guthrie  
1122 Saint Louis Pl NE  
Atlanta GA 30306-4532

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kimberly Bouchard-Shapiro  
56 Trout Lily Dr  
durham CT 06422-3220

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Carol Cook  
282 La Casa Ave  
San Mateo CA 94403-5015

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Obrien  
831 Center St  
Mineral Point WI 53565-1404

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marc Hutchinson  
112 Sommerford Ct  
Lexington SC 29072-7297

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hazel Landers  
2905 New Garden Rd E  
Greensboro NC 27455-2819

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kyle Ruedinger  
260 Oak Park Dr  
Oshkosh WI 54904-9265

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christine King  
146 College Hwy Apt 7  
Southampton MA 01073-9432

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Zach Myones  
2119 Plantation Bend Dr  
Sugar Land TX 77478-5417

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nyla Bissram  
390 Grimsby St  
Staten Island NY 10306-5830

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ji Montgomery  
2 N Stoner Ave  
Shiremanstown PA 17011-6340

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michele Hall  
151 S Bonnie Brae St  
Wichita KS 67207-1101

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Wald  
PO Box 2587  
Sag Harbor NY 11963-0117

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Norm King  
29 Acadia Rd  
Gardner MA 01440-1505

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marie Harrison  
302 Barrett Springs Ct  
Canton GA 30115-4330

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sydney Bobrow  
44 Church St  
Easthampton MA 01027-1874

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathy Watson  
4642 E Via Azufre  
Tucson AZ 85712-6037

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Bauzo  
22 Reardon St  
Quincy MA 02169-4003

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

L Franklin  
383 Boundary St  
Waynesville NC 28786-3205

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sue Mossman  
PO Box 223  
Arcata CA 95518-0223

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Jones  
2613 Armada St  
Herndon VA 20171-2722

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Caroline Havens  
9513 Rawson Rd  
Morongo Valley CA 92256-9476

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joshua Houdek  
2820 37th Ave S  
Minneapolis MN 55406-1718

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Angela Hansen  
2400 W 102nd St Apt 328  
Bloomington MN 55431-3361

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Penelope Andrews  
17 Copper Rdg  
Hermon ME 04401-0567

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lisa Hughes  
9190 Windsor Ct  
Loveland OH 45140-5547

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nannette Finkel-Rebach  
53 Winding Brook Way  
Shrewsbury NJ 07702-4521

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Robert Olson  
263 W Bakerview Rd Apt 305  
Bellingham WA 98226-9310

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Hallie Adolf  
213 Chatham Ave  
Oakhurst NJ 07755-1367

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Christopher Dowling  
PO Box 1753  
Marfa TX 79843-1753

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Matthew Reid  
1311 Pine St  
Calistoga CA 94515-1737

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Shirley Dechant  
3161 E Crest Shadows Dr  
Tucson AZ 85718-1377

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mark Goodman  
6506 Redpine Rd  
Dallas TX 75248-2952

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Meher Kovoor  
75 Fancher Rd  
Pound Ridge NY 10576-1719

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Donna Heimlich  
330 Aldeberan Dr  
Sewell NJ 08080-2215

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jayne Costa  
664 E Jay Rd  
Jay ME 04239-4620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Marvin Ward  
15 Cottage St Apt 413  
Easthampton MA 01027-6102

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Katherine Christensen  
513 Saude Ave  
Essington PA 19029-1112

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rosemary Fandel  
1100 Port Arthur Rd  
Ladysmith WI 54848-6302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Gabriel Stanley  
22419 Coachway Ln Apt 310  
Richton Park IL 60471-1922

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Philippe Chambadal  
151 W 17th St Apt 5D  
New York NY 10011-5454

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynn Eland  
103 Los Arbor Dr  
Deland FL 32724-1259

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Yurchuck  
2677 Lville Hwy Unit 105  
Decatur GA 30033-2511

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nathaniel Feis  
1408 Hinman Ave Apt 2  
Evanston IL 60201-5311

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kristin Cucolo  
194 Loomis St  
Little Falls NY 13365-1720

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dat Tran  
124 Academy Ln  
Upper Darby PA 19082-1302

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Debbie Griffin

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kyle Alhart  
2327 NW Candlelight Pl  
Bend OR 97703-5450

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

MORELLA CAMEJO  
881 Ocean Dr  
Key Biscayne FL 33149-2609

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Dana Sanchez  
34610 Jensen Rd  
Pine CO 80470-9721

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Rosing  
5913 Beachway St  
Cary IL 60013-1403

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Michael Sivulich  
47 Gloucester Hill Rd  
New Gloucester ME 04260-3854

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephen Parisi  
128 Hirschfield Dr  
Buffalo NY 14221-6808

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

James Colman  
925 Paseo Del Pueblo Sur  
Taos NM 87571-5967

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Adrienne Barton  
1581 Mayfield Ave  
Winter Park FL 32789-2006

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Liz Dyer  
6604 10th St  
Alexandria VA 22307-6604

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Brett Schultz  
38 N Church Rd  
Wernersville PA 19565-2119

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ruth Zowader  
37 Winding Way  
Madison NJ 07940-2810

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tracy Richards  
13900 SE Highway 212 Unit 175  
Clackamas OR 97015-8418

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Joanne Painter  
465 Poland Spring Rd  
Casco ME 04015-4000

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Karen Phillips  
2714 County Road 719  
Alvin TX 77511-1664

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elizabeth Schwartz  
2136 33rd Rd  
Long Island City NY 11106-4285

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Edith Crowe  
5940 W Locksley Loop  
Wasilla AK 99623-0837

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John and Michele Saridan  
222 West St  
Lake Geneva WI 53147-2085

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kelly Kroske  
PO Box 124  
Grass Lake MI 49240-0124

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Tess Fraad  
12 Stuyvesant Oval Apt 8H  
New York NY 10009-2219

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Ana Herold  
1021 Banyan Way  
Pacifica CA 94044-3631

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Patrick Hanahan  
420 Wiley Wilson Rd  
Bowdon GA 30108-2850

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stephanie C. Fox  
14 Wyndcliffe Park  
Bloomfield CT 06002-2142

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Doug Landau  
150 73rd St S  
St Petersburg FL 33707-1143

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Peter Goldman  
365 Edgewood Ave  
Teaneck NJ 07666-3024

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Jimmie Lunsford  
PO Box 16642  
San Diego CA 92176-6642

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Stan Sheggeby  
302 Oriole Ln  
Madison WI 53704-2416

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

J Kelly  
15120 Starr Rd SE  
Olalla WA 98359-8556

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Rachael Riccobene  
106 Ridgecrest Ct Fl Usa  
Panama City FL 32405-9377

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Cody Goin  
973 Rifle Range Rd Lot 16  
Marshfield MO 65706-9561

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Nyberg  
15457 Las Flores Ln  
Oak Forest IL 60452-1824

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

John Consentino  
17232 Chestnut  
Irvine CA 92612-2317

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Kathleen Dear  
1538 Harvard St Apt 5  
Santa Monica CA 90404-3539

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Elak Swindell  
511 Anthony Rd  
Waynesboro GA 30830-1620

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Goldman  
47 Windmill Dr  
Huntington NY 11743-3022

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Davis  
163 Pam Ave  
Guntown MS 38849-9537

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Nicola Giorgio  
14 Jeff Rd  
Largo FL 33774-2036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Earl Labuga  
2806 Harvest Hill Dr  
Friendswood TX 77546-5036

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Keith Krupinski  
9710 N Kenwood Ct  
Kansas City MO 64155-2096

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Linda Delaney  
10409 Edinburgh Dr  
Spotsylvania VA 22553-1740

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Crystal Tracy  
5165 Rosemary Dr  
Chesapeake Beach MD 20732-3451

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Darley Adare  
2625 Bucknell Ave  
Charlotte NC 28207-2649

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lotti Knowles  
5635 Woodman Ave Unit A  
Van Nuys CA 91401-4749

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Susan Evilsizer  
20529 Brookstone Trl  
Cleveland OH 44130-2489

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Paulina Mastryukov  
101 N Merion Ave  
Bryn Mawr PA 19010-2859

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Lynda Higson  
7765 Dos Palos Ln  
Sebastopol CA 95472-5314

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Roseli Rinaldo  
11005 N Millbend Dr  
Spring TX 77380-1355

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Diane St Angelo  
1214 Minnesota Ave  
Lynn Haven FL 32444-2656

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Deborah Dearing  
72 Pixy Pl  
Santa Rosa CA 95409-4155

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Mary Germain  
2929 Nazareth Rd # 137  
Kalamazoo MI 49048-2611

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Janice Seybold  
2421 Golden Rd  
Plover WI 54467-2461

I'm writing to you to ask you to include the following items in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant. Given the need for an in-depth EIS, and the impacts of COVID-19 on public participation, there should be an extension to the public comment period deadline.

It's critical that the following items be studied and included in the EIS:

- How will the nuclear waste created by this extension be addressed? What will the environmental impacts be? What are the environmental and social impacts of creating and storing this waste on the shores of Lake Michigan?
- Nuclear power uses a LOT of water and the Point Beach plant is no different. That water comes from Lake Michigan and is returned much warmer. The impacts on Lake Michigan with twenty more years of this water use needs to be studied.
- With climate change, we know that there will be a lot of changes to Lake Michigan and the shore. This includes rising tides, potential erosion, and the aquatic ecosystem. The EIS should examine the impact of a broad set of climate change models to determine what the potential impacts would be for the entire twenty years the plant would be in existence.
- What would happen if there were an accident? How far-reaching would the impacts be? There needs to be a catastrophic scenario analysis done as part of the EIS. This should include the impacts on the health, economy, and environment of the region. It should also include the impact on the millions that drink water from Lake Michigan.
- The environmental and social benefits of alternatives, including clean energy, battery storage, energy conservation, and energy efficiency. Given the difference in cost and potential, this needs to be completely re-examined, compared to the last approval process.
- The EIS should separately examine both reactors, the impacts, and whether both would need to be re-licensed for grid reliability, etc.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.