

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill
Rogers, Kevin Folk,
Stacey Imboden, Mary
Neely
Comment (168)
Publication Date:2/1/2021
Citation: 86 FR 7747

As of: 3/9/21 1:42 PM
Received: March 03, 2021
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. klu-55ho-pwts
Comments Due: March 03, 2021
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0173

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

Submitter Information

Name: Barry Cramer

Address:

Pepper Pike, OH, 44124

Email: barrycramer@gmail.com

Phone: 2164407754

General Comment

The environmental impact statement on Point Beach nuclear power plant brings up many issues which I would like to have addressed at the scope hearing.

First the radiation from a nuclear power plant. Periodically with routine maintenance and refueling, there will be releases. In case of an accident or a natural disaster or sabotage or terrorism, there could be even larger releases. For all of these releases how are these monitored? Where are these reported? The short term (acute and cumulative) and long term effects of these releases need to be evaluated and made known to the public in the EIS. The effects on wildlife, lake life, humans, the drinking water of the 50 million supplied by the lakes, livelihood of the fisherman, tourist industry, and farmers who rely on this water, need to be included in this.

Secondly The EIS needs to include evacuation plans in the event of a disaster. We know disasters happen in nuclear power plants. How will the population at risk of such a disaster be informed that they are at risk and how they will they be educated about evacuation plans?

Thirdly, alternatives need to be evaluated before licensing this plant. If this plant is not licensed, would there be other ways to meet the population's energy needs? Would they present as high a danger to the workers, to the population and to the surrounding environment? Would they cost less to operate and then decommission in the future than just decommissioning PBNPP (Including the cost for storing nuclear waste for thousands of years, the fees added on to utility bills for maintaining the plant, maintenance of this old plant).

Thank you.