SUNI Review Complete Template=ADM-013 E-RIDS=ADM-03

### PUBLIC SUBMISSION ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill Rogers, Kevin Folk, Stac

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill Rogers, Kevin Folk, Stacey Imboden, Mary Neely Comment (161) Publication Date:2/1/2021 Citation: 86 FR 7747 As of: 3/9/21 9:37 AM Received: March 03, 2021 Status: Pending\_Post Tracking No. klu-1ws3-f5gh Comments Due: March 03, 2021 Submission Type: Web

#### Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

#### Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

**Document:** NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0166 Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Marcie Bronchella Address: Mesquite,

# **General Comment**

These are my concerns regarding the point Beach nuclear power plant which I would like to be part of the scoping meeting on this topic.

I think the environmental impact statement needs to communicate the population surrounding it what would happen in the event of a reactor accident whether large medium or small. It needs to investigate all those situations. It also needs to discuss how far away impact would occur. It needs to list the types of impact to people, bodies of water, animals, plants, the air, and the economy and livelihoods. It needs to take into account that the PBNP is like Fukushima on a large body of water and has the

potential of contaminating it.

This statement also needs to address the radiation that is already leaking from the reactor. To do this all of the data from the Wisconsin health department needs to be released from the last three years. How is this radiation monitored currently if at all.

What plans are there to improve the brittleness of the outer layer of the plant before use and during use in the future.

Because it is not unheard of for the great lakes to have earthquakes and tornadoes, and because the risk from this plant could last for thousands of years, the EIS needs to take these potential accidents into account.

In the past this plant has had excess heat and used lake water for cooling but heating up the lake. Especially in the face of climate change, what has been the effect of dumping this warm waste water into the lake in the past and what will it be in the future. These are also points that need to be addressed in the environmental impact statement

How much will it cost customers to shut this down now versus the increased risk of energy versus switching to other sources of energy.

Thank you for your time.