

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill
Rogers, Kevin Folk,
Stacey Imboden, Mary
Neely
Comment (140)
Publication Date:2/1/2021
Citation: 86 FR 7747

As of: 3/9/21 7:43 AM
Received: March 03, 2021
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. klt-tv4x-djt8
Comments Due: March 03, 2021
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0145

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

Submitter Information

Name: Bre Lembitz

Address: United States,

General Comment

I request my comments be heard regarding scoping on Point Beach nuclear power plant.

Waste from a nuclear power plant is highly deadly to all forms of life , hard to store, and lasts for tens of thousands of years.

The EIS is a form of communication of the risks of license renewal for PBNPP. Thus I feel it should explain waste generation, the risks of the waste including to humans, animals, plants, waterways, livelihoods and the economy. It should explain how the waste is stored at the site. It should explain how the waste is transported from the site. It should explain the long term storage of such waste offsite. And then the EIS should communicate to lay people the risks of this waste should an accident occur at any point on this chain. It should I feel communicate this risk not just for the current generation but for the next thousand years. And it should include the danger to the waste should an earthquake or tornado or bombing or other such calamity occur.

The EIS should do an economic risk benefit analysis. The risk of loss of livelihood, destruction to humanity and environment, versus the benefit of the savings from use of nuclear energy. Included in this risk benefit ratio should be the fees that customers are now paying to maintain nuclear plants. Also included should be the current costs of alternative energy sources and the costs of improving energy efficiency for the customers that PBNPP serves. Included in this economic analysis should be the cost of decommissioning the plant now versus decommissioning the plant in the future.

As the outer vessel on this nuclear plant is the most brittle in the country, estimations of repair and maintenance costs need to be done and included in this analysis.

As there is no data from radiation leakage for the last three years from the Wisconsin health department, no licensing should occur until this data is located and analyzed.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns