

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark,
Bill Rogers, Mary Neely
Comment (127)
Publication
Date:2/1/2021
Citation: 86 FR 7747

As of: 3/5/21 10:53 AM
Received: March 03, 2021
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. klt-8a4j-mcs4
Comments Due: March 03, 2021
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0132

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

Submitter Information

Name: Susan Michetti

Address:

Mount Horeb, WI, 53572

Email: sunlightrising@gmail.com

Phone: 6083343515

General Comment

Point Beach and all nuclear plants have routine radioactive releases that have adverse health consequences; and the public should be able to go to the Point Beach web site to obtain accurate information about all radioactive releases and other major safety information, but this info is missing-in-action.

It is unscientific to be silent about any adverse consequences, including those that are routine, that the industry considers acceptable, and that the NRC considers acceptable, but yet when these consequences are overlooked as routine, the full consequences are not properly weighed in their total cumulative numbers.

There are 65 US nuclear plants that have routine radioactive releases from cooling water intake and waste water discharge sites, including Point Beach. Federal regulations permit these radioactive releases. The public remains insufficiently informed around Point Beach that it doesn't take an accident for Point Beach to release radioactivity into air, water, and soil, as part of its everyday routine operation.

Why aren't these permitted radioactive releases found as routine information provided for the public on Point Beach's web site, when these are added burdens given to neighbors living around nuclear plants? Point Beach gets an "F" for failing to inform the public about any disadvantages, and behaving as if it only is an asset. Point Beach's information to the public is untrustworthy information.

Point Beach should have a moral obligation to inform its neighbors of the increased daily radioactive hazard in the air or water or soil, regardless if the release is routine or accidental. The public has a right to know the hazards to which it is exposed.

The EIS must incorporate that Point Beach provide the public with accurate information and data of its radioactive hazards, evacuation plan, and complaint process for adverse health impacts on its website.

The most rational place for the public to go for information about Point Beach's leaks into groundwater,

Lake Michigan, and the atmosphere would be data displayed upon its website. Currently that information appears to be missing-in-action, when it should be readily available on-line and readily transparent and readily accessible in layperson details as well as for academic and scientific thinkers.