

SUNI Review Complete
Template=ADM-013
E-RIDS=ADM-03

ADD: Phyllis Clark, Bill
Rogers, Mary Neely
Comment (25)
Publication Date:2/1/2021
Citation: 86 FR 7747

As of: 2/19/21 8:58 AM Received: February 18, 2021 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. klb-ossr-pu3q Comments Due: March 03, 2021 Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2020-0277

Notice of Intent to Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Comment On: NRC-2020-0277-0001

Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping Process and Prepare Environmental Impact Statement; NextEra Energy Point Beach, LLC, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

Document: NRC-2020-0277-DRAFT-0029

Comment on FR Doc # 2021-02001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Giese

Address:

Racine, WI, 53403-3606

Email: m.mk@juno.com

Phone: 2626371503

General Comment

Wind power as an alternative to 80 years of extended operations at Point Beach nuclear power plant is readily achievable, and should be the preferred alternative. Both onshore and offshore wind power potential should be considered. It is ironic that NextEra (formerly Florida Power & Light) would not include wind power as a viable alternative in its Environmental Report (ER) and license application, considering that if you go to NextEra's website homepage, featured there is a beautiful, powerful photo of large-scale wind turbines filling a vast landscape. Such a visionary scenario is most doable in Wisconsin, both on-land and in Lake Michigan, and should be done, instead of allowing the dangerously age-degraded Point Beach reactors to continue operating for three more decades, or longer. Thank you.