

**From:** Chandler, Christopher C <ccchandler0@tva.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 7, 2018 8:52 AM  
**To:** Luina, Scott  
**Cc:** Chandler, Christopher C  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033  
**Attachments:** CR 1289450.pdf

Hi Scott,

I'm attaching a copy of the CR you requested. Just to update you on the status of everything else, it sounds as if there are a few other items to provide from ECP's files, and I am waiting on the ECP manager to deliver those to me.

Thanks,

Chris

**Christopher Chandler**

Associate General Counsel, Nuclear  
Office of the General Counsel

Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 W. Summit Hill Drive  
Knoxville, Tenn. 37902

865-632-7774 (w)  
202-631-3367 (m)  
[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)



**NOTICE:** This electronic message transmission contains information that may be TVA SENSITIVE, TVA RESTRICTED, or TVA CONFIDENTIAL. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

---

**From:** Luina, Scott  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 01, 2018 12:07 PM  
**To:** Chandler, Christopher C  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033

**TVA External Message. Please use caution when opening.**

Chris

Can you please provide CR 1289450?

Thanks!

Scott

---

**From:** Chandler, Christopher C <[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 23, 2018 5:32 PM  
**To:** Luina, Scott <[Scott.Luina@nrc.gov](mailto:Scott.Luina@nrc.gov)>  
**Cc:** Chandler, Christopher C <[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033

Hi Scott,

Attached is a .zip file containing the requested documents along with a cover letter describing their contents. As noted in the letter, our ECP manager has been out of the area for the last few weeks, so I have not been able to ascertain whether the ECP files included are all of them, or whether there are others still outstanding. I will confirm with her when she returns and either provide whatever is still outstanding or else let you know that there aren't any other records. Also, please note that the .zip file is rather large, so let me know if you need the files send separately or if you have any other issues.

Thanks,

Chris

**Christopher Chandler**

Associate General Counsel, Nuclear  
Office of the General Counsel

Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 W. Summit Hill Drive  
Knoxville, Tenn. 37902

865-632-7774 (w)  
202-631-3367 (m)  
[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)



**NOTICE:** This electronic message transmission contains information that may be TVA SENSITIVE, TVA RESTRICTED, or TVA CONFIDENTIAL. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

---

**From:** Luina, Scott <[Scott.Luina@nrc.gov](mailto:Scott.Luina@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 19, 2018 6:39 AM  
**To:** Chandler, Christopher C <[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033

**TVA External Message. Please use caution when opening.**

Chris -

Thanks for the update. Have a good weekend!

Scott

---

**From:** Chandler, Christopher C <[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 18, 2018 10:07 PM  
**To:** Luina, Scott <[Scott.Luina@nrc.gov](mailto:Scott.Luina@nrc.gov)>  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033

Hi Scott,

I just wanted to give you a quick status update. I'm still waiting on a couple of things from Employee Concerns, so it's likely I won't be able to complete the response until early next week. If it looks like it will be any longer than that, we will plan to send an initial response and follow up afterward with whatever the remainder is. Thanks for your patience, and please let me know if you have any questions.

Kind regards,

Chris

**Christopher Chandler**

Associate General Counsel, Nuclear  
Office of the General Counsel

Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 W. Summit Hill Drive  
Knoxville, Tenn. 37902

865-632-7774 (w)  
202-631-3367 (m)  
[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)



---

**NOTICE:** This electronic message transmission contains information that may be TVA SENSITIVE, TVA RESTRICTED, or TVA CONFIDENTIAL. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

---

**From:** Luina, Scott <[Scott.Luina@nrc.gov](mailto:Scott.Luina@nrc.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 02, 2018 1:18 PM  
**To:** Chandler, Christopher C <[ccchandler0@tva.gov](mailto:ccchandler0@tva.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033

**TVA External Message. Please use caution when opening.**

Chris –

Per our phone conversation, as part of an OI investigation (2-2018-033), I am requesting the following related to former TVA employee Michael W. McBrearty:

All ECP related documents regarding McBrearty located at Sequoyah or TVA Corporate. According to McBrearty, he raised concerns to the site ECP and was not sure if they opened an official ECP investigation but was told they at least evaluated or “looked into” his concerns. He spoke with Inza HAGINS-DYER (sp?)

All HR investigation related documents regarding McBrearty either at site or corporate. According to McBrearty he was interviewed by HR about an inappropriate relationship with another TVA employee. Any documentation related to McBrearty being placed on paid administrative leave pending an investigation in May 2018.

Also, can you provide a copy of CR 1262488

That's it for now... I am sure more will follow...

Thanks!

Scott K. Luiña, Senior Special Agent

Office of Investigations, Region II

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

245 Peachtree Center Avenue, NE Suite 1200

Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1257

Desk: 404- 997-4874

Cell: 404-357-2442

Office: 404-997-4878

Fax: 404-997-4908

**Hearing Identifier:** JShea\_IA\_NonPublic  
**Email Number:** 1106

**Mail Envelope Properties** (ed7ebe14988e4ffd8c89a20e7c4ba884)

**Subject:** [External\_Sender] RE: RE: RE: Sequoyah Discrimination Case 2-2018-033  
**Sent Date:** 11/7/2018 8:52:23 AM  
**Received Date:** 11/7/2018 8:52:34 AM  
**From:** Chandler, Christopher C

**Created By:** ccchandler0@tva.gov

**Recipients:**

"Chandler, Christopher C" <ccchandler0@tva.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Luina, Scott" <Scott.Luina@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** tva.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	7243	11/7/2018 8:52:34 AM
image001.png	2595	
image002.png	1225	
image003.png	1962	
image004.png	1968	
image005.png	2056	
image006.png	2121	
image007.png	1976	
image008.png	1913	
CR 1289450.pdf	31066	

**Options**

**Priority:** Normal  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**





















# TVA CR Summary Report

**Condition Report / Summary: 1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

## Condition Information

<b>Status / Date:</b> ARCHIVE - 06/08/2017 02:07 PM	<b>Work Site / Org:</b> NPG - Nuclear Power Group	<b>CAP Due Date:</b> 07/07/2017 11:32 AM
<b>Initiating Depart:</b> D1B6300000 - FLEET REGULATORY OPERATIONS	<b>SBU:</b> NPG - TVA NUCLEAR	<b>BU:</b> NESS - ENGINEERING & SUPPORT SERVICES
<b>Owner Group:</b> D1B6300000 - FLEET REGULATORY OPERATIONS	<b>Significance Level:</b> E	<b>REVCAP Due Date:</b>
<b>Owner:</b> TFULZ9PE8 - Justice, Alesia Cox	<b>Category:</b> PRCS - Procedures/Processes	<b>Long Lead CA Date:</b>
<b>Unit:</b>	<b>Analysis Effort:</b> CLS - Close - No Further Action Required (Level 4)	<b>Date of Regulatory Review:</b> 04/28/2017 02:03 PM

## Condition Report Details

## CR Review

**Condition Details:** Capture additional licensing team learnings related to TVA not submitting a denial letter immediately following two SQN violations from 2015 50.59 and Modifications Inspections. This CR should be related to CR 1262488.

**Initial Actions Taken Details:** Additional learnings were discussed with the licensing peer team meeting on 4/28/17.

**Suggested Solution Details:** Assign E Level to CNL to document additional learnings discussed on 4/28.  
\*\*\*\*\*

Licensing Rep at PSC this morning stated the documented learnings will be attached to this CR

**Detection Method:** LO - Line

**Condition Tag:**

**Operation Shift Review Required?**

**Plant System:** NPG Not Applicable

**Internal Priority:**

<b>Procedures:</b> Document	<b>Description</b>
-----------------------------	--------------------

**Corrective Action?** Yes  
**Corrected Immediately?**  
**Immediate Actions Taken:**  
**Non-Issue (Close)?** No  
**Non-Issue Justification:**  
**Recommend WO?**  
**Create WO Options:** TOPLEVEL - Create Top Level Work Orders

## Asset Information

## Safety

**Asset:**

**Location:**

**TVA UNID:**

**Additional Location Details:**

**Asset Site:** NPG - Nuclear Power Group

**Unit:**

**System:**

**Emergency Preparedness:**

**Safety Class:**

**Tech Spec?**

**Maintenance Rule?**

**Criticality:**

## Multiple Assets and Locations

## User Information

**Originator ID:** PTOSGFCN9 - Henderson, Erin Kathleen

**Phone:**

**On Behalf Of:** PTOSGFCN9 - Henderson, Erin Kathleen

**Phone:**



# TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: 1289450 Capture learnings related to SQN violations

Email: ekwest@tva.gov

Email: ekwest@tva.gov

Supervisor Review By:

Phone:

Email:

## Dates

Date Reported: 04/28/2017 02:03 PM  
Discovery Date: 04/28/2017 02:03 PM  
Occurrence Date:  
Schedule Code:

Target Start Date:  
Target Finish Date:  
Milestone Code:

Actuate Start Date: 05/08/2017 11:32 AM  
Actual Finish Date:  
Shutdown Code:

## Asset Information

Asset:  
Location:  
Asset Site: NPG - Nuclear Power Group

## CR Review

Corrective Action? Yes  
Corrected Immediately?  
Immediate Action Taken:  
Non-Issue (Close)? No  
Non-Issue Justification:  
Recommend WO?  
Create WO Options: TOPLEVEL - Create Top Level Work Orders

## Planning

Work Order Priority:  
Work Type:  
Sub Work Type:  
Job Plan Subtype:  
Regulatory Compliance:  
Planning Group:  
Crew:  
WO Target Start:

## Review Results

Significance Level: E  
Justification: NCAQ  
Category: PRCS - Procedures/Processes  
Analysis Effort: CLS - Close - No Further Action Required (Level 4)  
Detection Method: LO - Line  
CAP Due Date: 07/07/2017 11:32 AM  
REVCAP Due Date:  
Screening Comments:  
Management Review Complete?

Tier:  
Operations Shift Review Performed?  
Outside CLB: NO  
CLB Affected:  
PIIM Item?  
Potential Operability Issue: N/A

MRC/PSC CAP Review? No  
Extent of Condition? No  
Generic Review? No  
Reportable as OE? No  
Self-Revealing?  
Good Catch?

## Human Performance



# TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: 1289450 Capture learnings related to SQN violations

Significant HU?	Site Clock Reset?
HU Event Category:	Dept. Clock Reset?
HU Event Comments:	Crew Learning?

## Operations Shift Review

Current Mode:	Operability Comments:
Percent Power:	
Mode Entry Requirement:	
LCO Required?	
Operability Input Required?	Notification and Reportability Comments:
Notification:	
Reportability Review Required?	
Potential Operability Issue: N/A	
Operability Issue?	
Engineering Evaluation Needed? N	
Required Date:	
Operations Concurrence? No	

## Operations Shift Review History

Ops Reviewer	Review Date	Memo
--------------	-------------	------

## Focus Codes

Focus Code	Description	Entered By	Date Entered
------------	-------------	------------	--------------

## Tech Specs

## Solution Details

Hardware Disposition: NA - Not Applicable  
 Causing Organization: D1B6000000 - NUCLEAR REG AFFAIRS & SPT SRVS  
 ASME? No  
 MWH Loss: 0.00  
 ANI Concurrence?  
 Solution:  
 Problem Statement: Document Learnings from the 2 issues (SQN violations).  
 Extent of Condition / Cause:  
 Cause Evaluation:  
 Resolution: The following learning were captured in the PEER team meeting. Discussion from SQN Licensing Manager to PEER team.





## TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: **1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

From: McBrearty, Michael  
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 2:55 PM  
To: Wilson, Peter Rathvon; Shea, Joseph W; Henderson, Erin Kathleen; Polickoski, James Thomas; Johnson, Jonathan Thornton; Nelson, Blake Jon  
Subject: RE: CR [1262488] Notification of Closure

Joe, Erin, Pete, Jim,

With respect to the closure text for CR 1262488, I think we may be missing some important learning opportunities if we do not take the time to be self-critical and better document the critical thinking that went into some of the decision making and communications. Below are what I believe are the most salient points that need to be addressed in the CR and which are not addressed by the current closure text:

- Decision Making (Process/Basis) – Per procedure NLDP-3, we identified and sequestered a multi-disciplined team (Site and Corporate Licensing, Site and Corporate Engineering, OGC and external expertise from MPR Associates) to conduct a detailed Regulatory Analysis of the NCV's. The initial Regulatory Analysis concluded we should deny both NCV's. We then decided against the conclusion of the Regulatory Analysis, and chose a path forward that was not in accordance with any TVA or NRC process. There was much site reservation with pursuing an approach that was out of NRC process. Prior to and following submittal of the Clarification Letter on the Kirk Key Interlock issue, the NRC Region II Engineering Branch Chief noted on several occasions that there was no NRC process for reviewing this type of submittal and that it would become very low priority for the NRC; he repeatedly informed us the NRC has a process for denying a violation and NRC encourages licensees to use the denial process if they disagree with violations. There seem to have been some missed opportunities to either rethink our path forward or re-engage NRC Region II management to ensure understanding/alignment with our planned Clarification Letter.
- Communications (internal to TVA and external to NRC) – The Service Life clarification letter went through several iterations in December 2015 and January 2016 to address CNL feedback. The final revision was concurred on by SQN (up to and including the SVP) in January 2016, and provided to CNL. In late January/early February 2016, it was noted that CNL management concurred on the revised letter, and the letter was with the CNL VP for

signature. During the next several months, each time SQN inquired about the status of the letter, we were told it was still with the CNL VP for signature. In late 2016, when CNL designated a new Acting CFAM, the status of the letter and SQN's corrective actions for the NCV's was again questioned. In early 2017, CNL communicated to SQN Licensing that the clarification letter was never submitted because a decision was made to try to resolve the issue via the RIS (the basis for this decision was not communicated and its uncertain if this decision was previously communicated to the NRC).

The recent SQN management 4/19/17 drop-in visit with NRC Region II senior management underscored some of the potential communication gaps; during the drop-in visit, NRC RII senior management again re-emphasized that they encourage licensees to communicate disagreements with violations during inspection exit meetings and to utilize the NRC denial process for such disagreements. NRC management suggested it would have been much better for TVA to have utilized that process for the Service Life NCV.

I think it would be valuable for Fleet Licensing to discuss these issues during tomorrow's Peer Team Meeting and consider either revisiting CR 1262488 or generating a new CR to ensure we capture



# TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: 1289450 Capture learnings related to SQN violations

learning opportunities.  
Best Regards,  
Mike  
Michael McBrearty Manager, SQN Site Licensing Office 423-843-7170  
Cell 858-945-0073  
NOTICE: This electronic message transmission contains information which may be TVA SENSITIVE, TVA RESTRICTED or TVA CONFIDENTIAL. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

## Trending

Trending Comments:  
Human Error Mode:

## Trending Classification

Trend Classification: ECODES \ NUCLEAR \ OTHR  
Class Description: Other  
Class Comments:  
Trend Classification: PCODES \ NUCLEAR \ NFA03  
Class Description: Regulatory Compliance  
Class Comments:

## Plant System Codes

System Code	Description
-------------	-------------

## Actions

## Extensions

## Approvals

Name	Date	Comments
Screener: 2LWFC8OU - Gould, Vicki M	05/08/2017 11:32 AM	
Developer:		
Supervisor: TFULZ9PE8 - Justice, Alesia Cox	06/08/2017 12:43 PM	
Dept. Manager:		
Dept. Mgr. Proxy Auth:		
Site Manager:		
Site Mgr. Proxy Auth:		
CARB / MRC:		



# TVA CR Summary Report

**Condition Report / Summary: 1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

## Engineering Evaluations

Engineering Evaluation Needed: N  
Required Date:

## PDO-FE Engineering Evaluation

Evaluation Summary:  
Outside CLB: NO  
CLB Affected:  
Specified (Safety) Function Maintained? Y  
Immediate/Compensatory Measures? N  
Immediate/Compensatory Actions:  
Operations Concurrence:  
Reviewer:  
Date:  
SM/SRO Concurrence:  
Concurrence Date:

## POE Engineering Evaluation

Condition and Affected SSC(s):  
Required SSC Function:  
POE Evaluation:  
POE Conclusion:  
POE Preparer:  
Date:  
SM Approval:  
Approval Date:

## Operating Experience

Op. Exper. Comments:  
Previous Similar Event:

## Op Experience - Related Condition Reports

## Work Log

**Class:** CORRECT\_ACT  
**Created By:** 5U5HL9OJV - McBrearty, Michael  
**Date:** 05/04/2017 03:13 PM  
**Type:** CLIENTNOTE

**Summary:** Attached email with details  
**Details:** Attached email pdf file with details of the items discussed at the 4/28/17 Licensing Peer Team meeting which should be addressed by this CR.

## Comm Log



## TVA CR Summary Report

**Condition Report / Summary: 1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

---

**Originating App:** ESCALATION

**ID:**

**Is Global Issue?**

**Subject:** Condition Report [1289450] has been created.

**Message:** Site: NPG <br><br>  
Originator: Henderson, Erin Kathleen <br><br>  
Location: <br><br>  
Description: Capture learnings related to SQN violations <br><br>  
Details: Capture additional licensing team learnings related to TVA not submitting a denial letter immediately following two SQN violations from 2015 50.59 and Modifications Inspections. This CR should be related to CR 1262488. <br><br>  
Initial Actions Taken: Additional learnings were discussed with the licensing peer team meeting on 4/28/17. <br><br>  
Suggested Solution: Assign E Level to CNL to document additional learnings discussed on 4/28.<br /><!-- RICH TEXT -->

**Created By:** UIER3QWWT.MAXADMIN -  
MAXADMIN

**Date:** 04/28/2017 02:07 PM

**To:** jwshea@tva.gov

**cc:**

**bcc:**

**Inbound?**



## TVA CR Summary Report

**Condition Report / Summary: 1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

**Originating Appl:** WFPROCESS  
**ID:** CRMAIN

**Subject:** CR [1289450] Notification of Closure

**Is Global Issue?**

**Message:** CR [1289450], which you initiated, has been closed as described below.<br><br>

Description: Capture learnings related to SQN violations<br><br>

Details: Capture additional licensing team learnings related to TVA not submitting a denial letter immediately following two SQN violations from 2015 50.59 and Modifications Inspections. This CR should be related to CR 1262488.<br><br>

Initial Actions Taken: Additional learnings were discussed with the licensing peer team meeting on 4/28/17.<br><br>

Corrective Action: Y<br>Recommend WO: N<br><br>

Location: <br>Workorder: <br>WO Priority: <br>Work Type: <br>Sub Work Type: <br><br>

Corrected Immediately: N<br>Immediate Actions Taken: <br>

Non-Issue: N<br>Justification: <br>

Significance Level: E<br>Analysis Effort: CLS<br>Owner Group: D1B6300000<br>

Problem Statement: Document Learnings from the 2 issues (SQN violations).<br><br>

Cause Evaluation: <br><br>

Resolution: The following learning were captured in the PEER team meeting. Discussion from SQN Licensing Manager to PEER team.

From: McBrearty, Michael  
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 2:55 PM  
To: Wilson, Peter Rathvon; Shea, Joseph W; Henderson, Erin Kathleen; Polickoski, James Thomas;  
Johnson, Jonathan Thornton; Nelson, Blake Jon  
Subject: RE: CR [1262488] Notification of Closure

Joe, Erin, Pete, Jim,

With respect to the closure text for CR 1262488, I think we may be missing some important learning opportunities if we do not take the time to be self-critical and better document the critical thinking that went into some of the decision making and communications. Below are what I believe are the most salient points that need to be addressed in the CR and which are not addressed by the



## TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: 1289450 Capture learnings related to SQN violations

current closure text:

- Decision Making (Process/Basis) – Per procedure NLDP-3, we identified and sequestered a multi-disciplined team (Site and Corporate Licensing, Site and Corporate Engineering, OGC and external expertise from MPR Associates) to conduct a detailed Regulatory Analysis of the NCV's. The initial Regulatory Analysis concluded we should deny both NCV's. We then decided against the conclusion of the Regulatory Analysis, and chose a path forward that was not in accordance with any TVA or NRC process. There was much site reservation with pursuing an approach that was out of NRC process. Prior to and following submittal of the Clarification Letter on the Kirk Key Interlock issue, the NRC Region II Engineering Branch Chief noted on several occasions that there was no NRC process for reviewing this type of submittal and that it would become very low priority for the NRC; he repeatedly informed us the NRC has a process for denying a violation and NRC encourages licensees to use the denial process if they disagree with violations. There seem to have been some missed opportunities to either rethink our path forward or re-engage NRC Region II management to ensure understanding/alignment with our planned Clarification Letter.

- Communications (internal to TVA and external to NRC) – The Service Life clarification letter went through several iterations in December 2015 and January 2016 to address CNL feedback. The final revision was concurred on by SQN (up to and including the SVP) in January 2016, and provided to CNL. In late January/early February 2016, it was noted that CNL management concurred on the revised letter, and the letter was with the CNL VP for

signature. During the next several months, each time SQN inquired about the status of the letter, we were told it was still with the CNL VP for signature. In late 2016, when CNL designated a new Acting CFAM, the status of the letter and SQN's corrective actions for the NCV's was again questioned. In early 2017, CNL communicated to SQN Licensing that the clarification letter was never submitted because a decision was made to try to resolve the issue via the RIS (the basis for this decision was not communicated and its uncertain if this decision was previously communicated to the NRC).

The recent SQN management 4/19/17 drop-in visit with NRC Region II senior management underscored some of the potential communication gaps; during the drop-in visit, NRC RII senior management again re-emphasized that they encourage licensees to communicate disagreements with violations during inspection exit meetings and to utilize the NRC denial process for such disagreements. NRC management suggested it would have been much better for TVA to have utilized that process for the Service Life NCV.

I think it would be valuable for Fleet Licensing to discuss these issues during tomorrow's Peer Team Meeting and consider either revisiting CR 1262488 or generating a new CR to ensure we capture learning opportunities.

Best Regards,

Mike

Michael McBrearty Manager, SQN Site Licensing Office 423-843-7170

Cell 858-945-0073

NOTICE: This electronic message transmission contains information which may be TVA SENSITIVE, TVA RESTRICTED or TVA CONFIDENTIAL. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal penalties. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message. <br></><!-- RICH TEXT -->

Created By: TFULZ9PE8 - Justice, Alesia Cox



# TVA CR Summary Report

Condition Report / Summary: 1289450 Capture learnings related to SQN violations

Date: 06/08/2017 12:43 PM

To: ekwest@tva.gov

cc:

bcc:

Inbound?

## Environmental Review

Event Number:  
Event Description:  
Potential Environmental Issue? N  
Environmental Event?  
Environmental Type:  
Environmental Area:  
EMS Process:  
Justification for Environmental Disposition:

Source:  
Audit Detail:  
Notice of Violation? N  
Event Repeat? N  
Reviewer:  
Date:

## Legacy Operations Review

Potential Operability Issue: N/A  
Operability Issue?  
Operability Actions:  
Potential Reportability Issue? N  
Reportability Issue:  
Engineering Evaluation Needed: N

Required Date:  
Ops Notified Other Sites? N  
Operability Reviewer:  
Date:  
Reportability Reviewer:  
Date:

## Safety Review

Safety Event Number:  
Potential Safety? N  
Safety Issue?  
Source Code:  
Justification for Safety Disposition:

Findings Code:  
Violation Notice Required? N  
Reviewer:  
Date:

## Reactivity Review

Potential Reactivity Issue? N  
Reactivity Issue:  
Reactivity Management Description:

Reviewer:  
Date:

## Site Licensing Review

Report No:  
Reportable Basis:

Reviewer:  
Date:



# TVA CR Summary Report

**Condition Report / Summary: 1289450** Capture learnings related to SQN violations

Related Condition Records

Related Work Orders

Related PMs

Failure Details

**Failure Code:**

**Remark Description:**

**Remark Date:**

Classification

Status History

Status	Changed Date	Changed By	Memo
ARCHIVE	06/08/2017 02:07 PM	UIER3QWWT.MAXADMIN - MAXADMIN	Status Changed by Action: CR ARCHIVE
CLOSED	06/08/2017 12:43 PM	TFULZ9PE8 - Justice, Alesia Cox	Status Changed by Action: CR CLOSED
DEVCAP	05/08/2017 11:32 AM	2LNWFC8OU - Gould, Vicki M	Status Changed by Action: CR DEVCAP
WCRSCR	05/08/2017 11:32 AM	2LNWFC8OU - Gould, Vicki M	Status Changed by Action: CR WCRSCR
DRAFT	04/28/2017 02:03 PM	PTOSGFCN9 - Henderson, Erin Kathleen	