

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 1/11/21 4:09 PM
Received: November 29, 2020
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k4-9kd9-4i5c
Comments Due: November 30, 2020
Submission Type: API

Docket: NRC-2020-0141
Reporting Nuclear Medicine Injection Extravasations as Medical Events

Comment On: NRC-2020-0141-0004
Reporting Nuclear Medicine Injection Extravasations as Medical Events; Notification of Docketing and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2020-0141-DRAFT-0433
Comment on FR Doc # 2020-19903

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Peterson
Address:
1106 Orleans Road
Cheltenham, PA, 19012
Email: swattie91@gmail.com

General Comment

Dear members of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission committee,
I am a PhD level cancer researcher and have also been a cancer patient that has undergone FDG-PET imaging. I was surprised and disappointed to learn that extravasation events are exempted from NRC reporting. I was also concerned to learn that such events can occur without the patient being informed or the full extent of the extravasation evaluated. As a researcher who works with beta emitters, I am asked to maintain diligent records of their use and disposal. Yet it seems that once they enter the patients body, that careful due diligence is no longer considered important. Evaluating extravasation extent and radiation exposure is not a challenging task and if thresholds of local radiation exposure are reached, I believe these should be reportable events. I believe that establishing a clear exposure threshold and monitoring practices are within the abilities and responsibilities of the NRC. I do not believe there should be a blanket exemption for all extravasations, regardless of the scope of exposure. Patients have the right to know the extent of their exposure and to be equipped to monitor for health effects that could take years, in the case of cancers, to develop. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this issue.