

From: [Guzman, Richard](#)
To: Shayan.Sinha@dominionenergy.com
Cc: [RidsNRRLIC109 Resource](#); [Danna, James](#); [Jeffry A Langan](#); [Kathryn H Barret](#)
Subject: Millstone Unit Nos. 2 and 3 - Acceptance Review Determination: Alternative Requests RR-05-04 and IR-4-02, Use of Alternative Pressure/Flow Testing Requirements for SW System Supply Piping [EPID: L-2020-LLR-0158]
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:33:49 AM

Mr. Sinha,

By letter dated December 17, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20352A334), Dominion Energy Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (DENC) submitted Relief Requests RR-05-04 and IR-4-02 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(z)(2) for meeting certain examination and testing requirements of Section XI of the 2013 American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code for Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 2 and Unit No. 3, respectively. Specifically, DENC requests approval of alternative methods for performing the VT-2 visual examination as required by ASME Section XI, Table IWD-2500-1 and IWD-5220 for the piping segments of the service water system located in the confined space of the intake structure bays.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of these relief requests. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the submittal has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed DENC's submittal and concludes that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that the review of the relief requests will take approximately 80 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review by January 2022. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date (greater than a month) or significant changes in the forecasted hours (greater than 25%), the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager. These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information and unanticipated addition of scope to the review. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

Please contact me if you have any questions. A copy of this email will be made publicly available in ADAMS.

Thanks,

Rich Guzman

Sr. PM, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Office: O-9C7 | Phone: (301) 415-1030

Richard.Guzman@nrc.gov