

John A. Krakuszeski Vice President Brunswick Nuclear Plant 8470 River Rd SE Southport, NC 28461 o: 910.832.3698

oleaning Classified and one Classified 10 CFR 50.73

November 17, 2020 Serial: RA-20-0326

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 1 Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 Docket No. 50-325 Licensee Event Report 1-2020-002, Revision 1

Reference: Brunswick, Unit 1, LER 1-2020-002, "Technical Specification Required Shutdown due to Unidentified Leakage," Revision 0, May 18, 2020, ADAMS Accession Number ML20140A004

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73, Duke Energy Progress, LLC, is submitting the enclosed Revision 1 to Licensee Event Report (LER) 1-2020-002 (i.e., Reference). This revision provides the results of the completed cause evaluation.

This document contains no regulatory commitments.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Ms. Sabrina Salazar, Manager – Nuclear Support Services, at (910) 832-3207.

Sincerely,

John A. KraSusyeli

John A. Krakuszeski

SBY/sby

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report 1-2020-002, Revision 1

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 of 2

cc (with enclosure):

Ms. Laura Dudes, NRC Regional Administrator, Region II Mr. Andrew Hon, NRC Project Manager Mr. Gale Smith, NRC Senior Resident Inspector Chair - North Carolina Utilities Commission

NRC FOF (08-2020)	RM 366		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 08/31/2023								
(08-2020)	CF LON COM MILES	(See Pa) (See NUR	ICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) ge 3 for required number of digits/characters for each block) EG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form ww.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/)						Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 2055-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-01104), Attn: Desk ail: <u>oira_submission@omb_eop.gov</u> . The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.								
1. Facility Name									2. Docket Number				3. I	3. Page			
Brun	swick	Steam E	ectric Plant (BSEP), Unit 1							05000325						1 0	= 3
4. Title																	
Technical Specification Required Shutdown due to Unidentified Leakage																	
5.	. Event [Date	6. LER Number			7. Repo			ate	Facility Name			8. Other	Facilities Involved			ket Number
Month	Day	Year	Year Sequential Number		Revisi No.		nth	Day	ay Year					0500			Ket Humber
03	24	2020	2020 - 002 - 0		01	1	1	1 17		20	Facility Name		Docke 05000		ket Number		
9. Operating Mode 10. Power Level 0000																	
1 022																	
11. This Report is Submitted Pursuant to the Requirements of 10 CFR §: (Check all that apply)																	
10 0	CFR Pa	art 20	20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2		(a)(2)(iv	/)(A)	50.73 (a	50.73(a)(2)(x)		
20.2	2201(b)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.46(a)(3)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			10 CFR Part 73			
20.2	2201(d)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.69(g)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(4)			
20.2	2203(a)	(1)	20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			73.71(a)(5)			
20.2203(a)(2)(i)			10 CFR Part 21			50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			73.77(a)(1)(i)			
20.2	2203(a)	(2)(ii)	21.2(c)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)					50.73(a)(2)(vii)			73.77(a)(2)(i)			
20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			10 CFR Part 50			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A))		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			73.77(a)(2)(ii)			
20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)						
 20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)					50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)						
OTHER (Specify here, in abstract, or NRC 366A).																	
		-				12. Lice	nsee C	ontac	t for th	nis I	LER						
Licensee														Phone Nur		•	
Sabrina Salazar, Manager – Nuclear Support Services(910) 832-								332-32	07								
			13.	Complete O	ne Lin	e for ea	ch Con	npone	nt Fai	lure	Describ	bed in t	this Report				
Cause System C			Componer	nt Manufacturer Repo		portable	ortable to IRIS		Caus	e	System Componen		nt Manufacturer		Reportable to IRIS		
В		SB	RV	T020		Y											
14. Supplemental Report Expected								45 Ermented Ontoniosium Date			Month	1	Day	Year			
No Yes (If yes, complete 15. Expected Submission Date)							15. Expected Submission Date										
16. Abstr	act (Lim	it to 1560 spa	aces, i.e., ap	proximately 15	single-s	spaced ty	pewritter	n lines)	•								
At 12:05 Eastern Daylight Time on March 24, 2020, with Unit 1 in Mode 1, at approximately 22% power, coming out of a refueling outage, a Technical Specification required shutdown was initiated due to increased drywell leakage. The reactor was shutdown in accordance with normal shutdown procedures. Reactor water level reached low level 1 (LL1) following the shutdown. Per design, the LL1 signal resulted in automatic actuation of the Primary Containment Isolation System with closure of Group 2, 6, and 8 isolation valves. The shutdown was uncomplicated and all control rods inserted as expected.																	
The increased drywell leakage was a result of an intermediate-position failure of Safety Relief Valve '1F' in conjunction with opening of the associated vacuum breaker. The cause of this failure was determined to be susceptibility of the Target Rock two stage Safety Relief Valve design to fretting wear of the main disc stem to piston connection when the associated main body is subjected to a high number of cycles during testing, resulting in displacement of the piston and galling in the main body piston guide. Safety Relief Valve '1F' pilot and main valve were replaced and retested upon restart from the reactor shutdown.																	
There was no impact on the health and safety of the public or plant personnel. This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) due to the completion of a Technical Specification required shutdown, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) due to valid actuation of the Primary Containment Isolation System.																	

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO.	3150-010	4 EXPIRES	: 08/31/2023						
(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for or http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/	COMPLET	Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503; e-mail: <u>oira submission@omb.eop.gov</u> . The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document									
1. FACILITY NAME	.,		plays a currently valid OMB control number. 3. LER NUMBER								
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP),			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.						
Unit 1	05000- 325		2020	- 002	- 01						
NARRATIVE			2020	002	01						
Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].											
Background											
Initial Conditions											
At the time of the event, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 (i.e., Power Operation), at approximately 22 percent rated thermal power.											
Reportability Criteria											
This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) because a Technical Specification required shutdown was completed. Technical Specification Action 3.4.4.A, Unidentified Reactor Coolant System (RCS) [AD] leakage increase not within limit, requires RCS leakage to be reduced to within limits within 8 hours. The shutdown was required because the leakage would not have been reduced to within limits within the required Technical Specification Completion Time.											
In addition, this event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) because it involved actuation of a system listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B). Specifically, the Primary Containment Isolation System [JM] actuated during this event.											
The NRC was notified of this event per 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(i) and 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A) via Event Notification 54603 at 16:02 Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) on March 24, 2020.											
Event Description											
At approximately 03:24 EDT on March 24, 2020, during startup testing, main steam [SB] Safety Relief Valve (SRV) '1F' was provided an open signal from the control room. Tailpipe temperature trends indicated that the pilot valve opened, but that the main disc failed to properly reposition to complete the cycle. Thus, the pilot valve remained open and passed steam via the tailpipe to the suppression pool. Troubleshooting and other startup testing were ongoing when, at approximately 10:50 EDT, the control room received unexpected indication of 1.6 gallons per minute (gpm) unidentified leakage in the drywell. At approximately 11:54 EDT, a reading of 3.75 gpm was obtained, exceeding the Technical Specification limit of 2 gpm change in unidentified leakage within 24 hours while in Mode 1. As a result, at 12:05 EDT a Technical Specification required shutdown was initiated.											
The reactor was shutdown in accordance with normal shutdown procedures. Reactor water level reached low level 1 (LL1) following the shutdown. The LL1 signal caused Group 2 (i.e., floor and equipment drain isolation valves), Group 6 (i.e., monitoring and sampling isolation valves), and Group 8 (i.e., shutdown cooling isolation valves) isolations. The LL1 isolations occurred as designed; the Group 8 valves were closed at the time of the event.											
The shutdown was uncomplicated and all control rods inserted as expected. The SRV '1F' pilot valve reseated with lowering reactor pressure following the shutdown.											
Event Cause											
The unidentified leakage was determined to be coming from the SRV '1F' pilot valve through its associated vacuum breaker. The SRV '1F' pilot valve was open because SRV '1F' main body did not open during SRV testing. With the main body stuck shut, the discharge pressure would not lower to the pilot valve reseat pressure to allow it to shut. The SRV '1F' vacuum breaker had remained closed until drywell purge was secured as part of startup activities, at which time the pressure difference between the SRV '1F' discharge line and the drywell caused the vacuum breaker to unseat and steam flow from the open SRV '1F' pilot valve diverted to the Drywell. Unit shutdown resulted in pressure reduction to the pilot valve reseat pressure and the pilot valve closed, terminating the source of unidentified leakage.											

The cause of this intermediate-position failure of SRV '1F' was determined to be susceptibility of the Target Rock two stage SRV design to fretting wear of the main disc stem to piston connection when the associated main body is subjected to a high number of cycles during testing, resulting in displacement of the piston and galling in the main body piston guide.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 08/31/2023									
(Jose NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for c http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/	SHEET	Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503; e-mail: <u>oira submission@comb.eeop.gov</u> . The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.									
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOC	KET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER								
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit 1	05000- 325	i	year 2020	sequential number - 002	REV NO. - 01						
NARRATIVE	L			·	-						
Safety Assessment											
There was no adverse impact on the health and safety of the public. The safety significance of this event is minimal. The reactor was shutdown in accordance with plant procedures and all safety related systems operated as designed.											
Corrective Actions											
The vacuum breaker associated with SRV '1F' was inspected and confirmed to be operating properly. SRV '1F' pilot and main valve were replaced and retested upon restart from the reactor shutdown.											
While there is no specified design limit provided by Target Rock for the number of cycles of a two stage SRV main body, and no consistent industry standard for limiting main body cycles, engineering judgement was applied to vendor information for a similar Target Rock SRV design, and a threshold of 24 cycles was established for considering an SRV at risk for damage by this failure mode. All other Unit 1 SRVs were confirmed to be below this threshold.											
In addition to the aforementioned completed corrective actions, the following corrective actions are currently planned.											
 Five SRVs on Unit 2 have been identified with main bodies that have been cycled during testing 24 or more times. The four SRVs with the most cycles will be replaced in the 2021 refueling outage. The other SRV with greater than 24 cycles will be replaced in the 2023 refueling outage. 											
All five of these SRVs were lifted with no issues as part of testing during startup from the 2019 refueling outage, thereby providing confidence in their continued operation. Regarding the SRV that will remain installed until the 2023 refueling outage, additional confidence in its acceptability is provided based on it having an improved flex piston design and being replaced on an accelerated schedule.											
Any changes to corrective actions or completion schedules will be made in accordance with the site's corrective action program.											
Previous Similar Events											
No events have occurred within the past three years in which increased drywell leakage from an SRV failure resulted in a LER.											
Commitments											
No regulatory commitments are contained in this report.											

I