

Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, LA 70057-3093 Tel 504-464-3786

Paul Wood

Manager, Regulatory Assurance

10 CFR 50.73

W3F1-2020-0061

October 23, 2020

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Licensee Event Report (LER) 2020-002-00

Violation of Technical Specification 3.4.5.1 (Reactor Coolant System

Leakage Detection Instrumentation) Due to Personnel Error

Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 (Waterford 3)

NRC Docket No. 50-382

Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-38

The enclosed report is being sent pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73.

This letter contains no new regulatory commitments.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Paul Wood, Regulatory Assurance Manager, at 504-464-3786.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey K. Bradley Digitally signed by Jeffrey K. Bradley Date: 2020.10.23 06:55:06 -05'00'

Regulatory Assurance Manager (Acting)

JKB/mmz

Enclosure: Waterford 3 Licensee Event Report 2020-002-00

cc: NRC Region IV Regional Administrator

NRC Senior Resident Inspector – Waterford 3

NRC Project Manager – Waterford 3

# **Enclosure**

# W3F1-2020-0061

Waterford 3 Licensee Event Report 2020-002-00

#### NRC FORM 366 (08-2020)

# U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023



# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6

(See Page 3 for required number of digits/characters for each block)  (See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form <a href="https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/">https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/</a> )																
1. Facility Name Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3										Docket Number 5000382			3. Page 1 OF 3			
				bited by Tentation) du				for <sup>-</sup>	Techi	nical Specifi	cation 3.4	1.5.1 (	Reactor C	Coolant	System	
5. Event Date			6.	LER Numbe	r	7. Report Date			8. Other Facilities Involved							
Month Day Year		Year	Year Sequential Rev Number No.		Month	Day	Year		Facility Name			L	Docket Number 05000			
08	24	2020	2020	002	00	10	23	20	20	Facility Name			[	Oocket N	ımber	
00	24	2020	2020	002	00	10	23	20	20				(	5000		
9. Operating Mode 1								10. Power Level 100								
			11.1	his Report is	Submitt	ed Pursuant	to the Re	quire	ments	of 10 CFR §: (	Check all t	hat app	nly)			
10 CFR Part 20				20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			☐ 50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)		☐ 50.73(a)(2)(x)				
☐ 20.2201(b)				20.2203(a)(3)(i)			☐ 50.46(a)(3)(ii)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)		10 CFR Part 73				
20.2201(d)				20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			☐ 50.69(g)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			☐ 73.71(a)(4)			
20.2203(a)(1)				20.2203(a)(4)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			☐ 73.71(a)(5)			
20.2203(a)(2)(i)				10 CFR Part 21			⊠ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			☐ 73.77(a)(1)(i)			
20.22	203(a)(2	)(ii)		21.2(c)		□ 50.7	3(a)(2)(i)	(C)		☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v	(ii'	□ 73	.77(a)(2)(i)			
20.22	203(a)(2	)(iii)	1	0 CFR Pa	rt 50	50.7	3(a)(2)(ii)	(A)		☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v	riii)(A)	□ 73	.77(a)(2)(ii)			
20.22	203(a)(2	)(iv)		50.36(c)(1)(i)	(A)	□ 50.7	3(a)(2)(ii)	(B)		☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v	riii)(B)					
20.22	203(a)(2	)(v)		50.36(c)(1)(ii	)(A)	□ 50.7	3(a)(2)(iii	)		50.73(a)(2)(i	κ)(A)					
☐ Othe	r (Speci	ify here, ir	Abstract	, or in NRC 3	66A).											
						12. Lice	nsee Co	ntact	t for th	is LER						
Licensee Contact Paul Wood - Manager, Regulatory Assurance								Phone Number (Include Area Code) 504-464-3786								
				13. Cor	nplete C	ne Line for e	ach Com	poner	nt Failu	re Described in	this Report		1			
Caus	se	System	Compone	mponent Manufacturer		Reportable To IRIS		Ca	ause	System	Component		Manufactur	er Rep	Reportable To IRIS	
Α		IL	RI G063/General Atomics			Υ						1				
14. Supplemental Report Expected								Month Day				Year				
No Yes (If yes, complete 15. Expected Submission Date)						ate)		15.	Expected Sub	mission Da	ate					
16. Abstra	act (Limit	to 1560 spa	aces, i.e., a	pproximately 15	single-s <sub>l</sub>	paced typewri	tten lines)									

On August 24, 2020, Engineering identified that an incorrect and nonconservative moving filter particulate channel engineering conversion factor was being used for all radioactive particulate detectors installed at Waterford 3. Affected instruments included the containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel, which is required to be operable for reactor coolant system leakage detection per Technical Specification (TS) 3.4.5.1, "Leakage Detection Instrumentation." The containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel was declared inoperable per TS 3.4.5.1. The time period that the monitor was inoperable exceeded the allowed outage time of 30 days, resulting in an Event or Condition Prohibited by Technical Specifications.

The cause of this event was personnel error. The vendor representative incorrectly calculated the conversion factor. The factors did not account for filter speed, flow rate, and flow-rate conversion factor. There was lack of vendor oversight on the part of the licensee when the factors were originally developed. Review of historical records identified that the condition had existed since installation (during the Waterford 3 construction phase, prior to startup). Corrective action to update the instrument with the correct conversion factor values was completed on September 10, 2020, thus restoring operability, and TS 3.4.5.1 was exited.

NRC FORM 366A (08-2020)

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO



# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/)

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 08/31/2023

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: <a href="mailto:oira submission@omb.eop.gov">oira submission@omb.eop.gov</a>. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER			
Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3	05000-382	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	
		2020	- 002	- 00	

#### **NARRATIVE**

#### **EVENT DESCRIPTION**

#### A. Plant Status

At the time of this event Waterford 3 was operating at 100% reactor power (Mode 1). There were no other structures, systems or components out of service that contributed to this event.

# B. Event Chronology and Description of Event

On August 24, 2020, a Waterford 3 engineer identified that the engineering conversion factors used for all eleven moving filter particulate detectors in operation at Waterford 3 were incorrect and nonconservative. The factor did not account for filter speed, flow rate, and a flow-rate conversion factor. This was identified while reviewing information related to a planned modification which includes changing from moving to fixed filter mode of operation. This condition was entered into the corrective action process.

The containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel [RI] is required to be operable for reactor coolant system [AB] leakage detection per Technical Specification (TS) 3.4.5.1, "Leakage Detection Instrumentation." This TS requires this instrument to be operable (in combination with other diverse measurement means available) in order to provide a high degree of confidence that a 1 gallon per minute (gpm) reactor coolant pressure boundary leak is detected. This instrument was one of the eleven instruments that was identified with an incorrect engineering conversion factor (note: the other ten radiation monitors identified with incorrect engineering conversion factors are not the subject of any TS). The incorrect engineering conversion factor was orders of magnitude different than the correct factor, resulting in the instrument's inability to detect a 1 gpm leak; therefore, it was incapable of performing its TS 3.5.4.1 function. The containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel was declared inoperable and TS 3.4.5.1 action a. was entered. This action requires restoring the monitor to operable status within 30 days, or, be in Mode 3 in 6 hours and Mode 5 in the following 30 hours.

Review of historical records identified that the condition had existed since installation (during the Waterford 3 construction phase, prior to startup). The amount of time that the containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel was inoperable exceeded the TS 3.4.5.1 action a. allowed outage time of 30 days. This event is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), Operation or Condition Prohibited by Technical Specifications.

# C. Event Causes

To determine causal factors for this event, Event and Causal Factor Charting was performed. Through this method of evaluation, it was concluded that the vendor incorrectly calculated particulate channel engineering conversion factors during plant construction (Causal Factor 1) and there was lack of vendor oversight when the engineering conversion factors were developed (Causal Factor 2).

A review of historical records revealed that the test procedure for the instrument was completed by a vendor test operator in 1980. The transfer calibration was performed on the instrument in 1981. These procedures require the database be loaded with the required monitor and channel items; therefore, there is reasonable assurance that the incorrect moving filter particulate engineering conversion factor was installed prior to performance of the test procedure in 1980. The correct engineering conversion factor calculation methodology for a moving filter particulate detector is listed in the original revision for the radiation monitoring

NRC FORM 366A (08-2020) Page **2** of **3** 

NRC FORM 366A (08-2020)

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

**CONTINUATION SHEET** 

(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request; 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: oira submission@omb.eop.gov. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nur	egs/staff/sr1022/r3/)	requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.						
1. FACILITY NAME	Y NAME 2. DOCKET NUMBER				3. LER NUMBER			
Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3	05000-382		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.			
			2020	- 002	- 00			

database manual; however, this methodology was not used by the vendor representative. It is unknown what guidance was available to the representative at that time.

There is evidence that the incorrect factor was provided to Waterford 3 upon acceptance of each monitor from the vendor in 1984, and that the incorrect factor was used at the inception of the radiation monitor system database in 1985. Oversight of the vendor by Waterford 3 personnel was not rigorous. Note: This is a latent issue. Existing processes are in place which require detailed technical review of equipment/components prior to installation and return to service for operational use.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

- 1) Revise the original configuration of the containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel with the correct conversion factor values (complete – September 10, 2020).
- 2) Revise the alert and alarm setpoints for the containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel to account for the engineering conversion factor applicable to the fixed filter mode of operation (complete – October 19, 2020).

#### SAFETY EVALUATION

The actual consequence of this event was that the conversion factor used in the containment atmospheric monitor particulate channel was determined to have been incorrectly established, resulting in the channel being incapable of performing its TS 3.4.5.1 function. There were no other actual consequences to the safety of the general public, nuclear safety, industrial safety, or radiological safety for this event.

The potential consequence to the safety of the general public, nuclear safety, industrial safety or radiological safety of this event (i.e., if the containment sump monitor particulate channel instrumentation is unavailable) is inability to detect a 1 gpm leak from the reactor coolant pressure boundary. The safety significance of leaks from the reactor coolant pressure boundary can vary widely depending on the source of the leak as well as the leakage rate and duration.

The risk if no action is taken is low. The basis for this determination is as follows:

Multiple alternative monitoring methods exist and are readily available to the operators to detect a 1 gpm leak from the reactor coolant pressure boundary. These indications include containment sump level, sump weir flow, and containment gaseous, iodine, and particulate levels. Additional indications available include pressurizer, reactor drain tank, quench tank, and volume control tank levels.

### PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

Using the Waterford 3 corrective action process database and other databases, operating experience searches were performed for applicable condition reports that were originated between January 2000 and August 2020. The search yielded seven condition reports. All condition reports were reviewed, and none were found to be applicable to this evaluation.

Note: Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes and component codes are identified in the text as [XX].

NRC FORM 366A (08-2020) Page 3 of 3