

From: Gordon Bourland (gbourland2@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2020 3:06 PM
To: WCS_CISFEIS Resource
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket Reference: NRC-2016-0231 Don?t dump on Texas -- Deny the radioactive waste storage license

Dear Reference Docket ID NRC-2016-0231,

I strongly request that high-level radioactive waste not be transported on highways in Texas and through Texas cities, towns, and farmlands. At present transporting such waste safely through all those areas is not possible.

Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7-A60M
Attn: Program Management, Announcements and Editing Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), Docket No. 72-1050; NRC-2016-0231 Interim Storage Project?s license application to construct and operate a Consolidated Interim Storage Facility (CISF) for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and Greater-Than Class C (GTCC) waste.

I oppose Interim Storage Project's plan to store dangerous high-level nuclear waste in Andrews County, Texas. This environmentally unjust plan would target a largely Latinx region of the country with the deadliest nuclear waste. The region is unsuitable for storing nuclear waste since it?s prone to earthquakes, sinkholes, temperature extremes, wildfires, intense storms and flooding. The hydrogeology of the site is still uncertain.

The NRC has ignored many key health and safety issues raised in thousands of previous comments and in 100 legal contentions, many of which were backed by expert testimony. The inadequate Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) shows that the NRC is still not addressing these concerns.

Some of the many concerns that have not been addressed by this application include:

- Threats from terrorism aren?t adequately addressed and the potential use of drones wasn?t considered.
- Transportation routes were not designated and accident risks have been artificially minimized.
- The DEIS fails to adequately analyze cumulative impacts of the proposed facility and nearby sites on workers, local people and the environment.
- The risks of creating a dangerous de facto permanent site were not included, and nuclear waste should not be moved across the country to a site not designed for permanent disposal.

This nation must end environmental injustice and halt disproportionate impacts on people of color. Dumping the most toxic nuclear waste of a whole nation on the largely Latinx Southwest region is the height of environmental injustice. The site is only a few miles from communities like Eunice and Hobbs, New Mexico, and the waste would flow through many densely populated communities of color on its way there.

I oppose Consolidated Interim Storage at this, and other sites. The NRC should protect public health and safety, the economy and the environment, by halting the application process and denying the license for Consolidated Interim Storage.

Sincerely,

Gordon Bourland
7117 Forestview Drive
Arlington, TX 76016
gbourland2@sbcglobal.net
(817) 455-4474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Federal Register Notice: 85FR27447
Comment Number: 4498

Mail Envelope Properties (Q5fyLa3dQzCPvj4BFKz_eA)

Subject: [External_Sender] Docket Reference: NRC-2016-0231 Don?t dump on Texas -- Deny the radioactive waste storage license
Sent Date: 9/17/2020 3:05:53 PM
Received Date: 9/17/2020 3:06:03 PM
From: Gordon Bourland (gbourland2@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Created By: automail@knowwho.com

Recipients:

Post Office: ismtpd0089p1mdw1.sendgrid.net

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3101	9/17/2020 3:06:03 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: