

From: [Charlie Croizet](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors
Date: Friday, September 25, 2020 10:04:51 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Charlie Croizet
charliecroizet@gmail.com
110 Russel Ave Liverpool, NY 13088 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Renata Baron](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Friday, September 25, 2020 9:07:40 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Renata Baron

Sincerely,
Renata Baron
renatawbaron1@gmail.com
6577 West Ave L 7 Lancaster, CA 93536 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Michael Gavin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements
Date: Friday, September 25, 2020 6:32:44 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Michael Gavin
mgavin7298@gmail.com
8101 Garland Ave, Apt 4 Takoma Park, MD 20912 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Emma Redfoot](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Friday, September 25, 2020 4:54:48 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Emma Redfoot
eredfoot@gmail.com
08 Coyote Lane Red Lodge, MT 59068 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Daniel Rosales](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation
Date: Thursday, September 10, 2020 5:21:24 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,

Daniel Rosales

gdad12@yahoo.com

8155571776 717 Clifford Dr Minooka, IL 60447 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Wayne Keith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors
Date: Wednesday, September 09, 2020 6:49:46 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,

Wayne Keith

wayne.keith@gmail.com

325 2011349 3133 Chimney Rock Rd Abilene, TX 79606-3357 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Nicholas houze](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation
Date: Wednesday, September 09, 2020 1:50:17 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Houze
nshouze@protonmail.com
5743760647 1448 25th ave Greeley, CO 80634 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Michael Mudawar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements
Date: Wednesday, September 09, 2020 9:10:12 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Michael Mudawar
muddy0203@gmail.com
160 NW Magnolia Lakes Blvd PT ST LUCIE, FL 34986 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Ashley Hoover](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, September 08, 2020 4:34:50 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Ashley Hoover
ablasa@yahoo.com
573 2204202 2431 E 3009th Rd Seneca, IL 61360 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Liz Harney](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, September 08, 2020 2:13:07 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Liz Harney
mindfulmetalhead@gmail.com
2176525844 2953 S 5th St Springfield, IL 62703 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Shaffer Shaffer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors
Date: Tuesday, September 08, 2020 1:41:13 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Shaffer Shaffer
yolfe@outlook.com
8159554854 2667 Creekside Lane Morris, IL 60450 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Tao Flaherty](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, September 08, 2020 1:20:01 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Tao Flaherty
neuendorffer@googlemail.com
1451 Greenmount Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15216 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Lynne Van Slyke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Wednesday, September 02, 2020 10:05:46 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Lynne Van Slyke
lmvanslyke@gmail.com
8157525806 532 Lincolnshire Drive Sycamore, IL 60178 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Bryan Barnard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation
Date: Tuesday, September 01, 2020 5:11:34 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Bryan Barnard
diego.barnard13@gmail.com
255 Woodlawn Ave Chula Vista, CA 91910 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Brian Fischer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, September 01, 2020 4:31:54 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Brian Fischer
brfischer1985@gmail.com
2174143936 3200 blueberry lane Springfield, IL 62711 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Mike Kleckner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation
Date: Tuesday, September 01, 2020 11:38:18 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Mike Kleckner
mkleck@msn.com
9169199949 748 Marcy Street Ottawa, IL 61350 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Billy Don"tswear](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225
Date: Sunday, August 23, 2020 2:50:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate

economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,

Billy Groom

From: [Mickey Davis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation
Date: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 11:52:16 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right-size SMR and Advanced Reactor regulation

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Mickey Davis
czarmickey@gmail.com
Jefferson Rd Edwardsville, IL 62025 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Ernestine Kuhr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 10:35:44 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Ernestine Kuhr
ekuhr@carolina.rr.com
240 Amberleigh Dr Apt 102 Wilmington, NC 28411-8018 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Eric Uhrhane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 5:16:23 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,

Eric Uhrhane

uranium+genatomic@gmail.com

4155551212 1279 Second Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Benjamin Leopardo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 2:16:55 PM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: I support NRC's movement towards performance based emergence preparedness guidelines for SMRs and Advanced Reactors

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Leopardo
benjaminjleopardo@gmail.com
145 Liscom Hill Rd. McKinleyville, CA 95519 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Dr. Erik Walker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 10:29:20 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Dr. Erik Walker
ewalk@vols.utk.edu
3039 Oakwood Hills Lane Knoxville, TN 37931 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com

From: [Tori Riso](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements
Date: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 8:43:29 AM

Re: Docket NRC-2015-0225: Right size EP and EPZ requirements

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing in support of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's proposal to amend its regulations to include new alternative emergency preparedness approaches for light-water SMRs. I support the NRC's movement towards performance-based, risk-informed, and consequence-oriented approaches to EP as described in the proposed rulemaking and draft Regulatory Guide (DG), DG-1350, "Performance-Based Emergency Preparedness for Small Modular Reactors, Non-Light-Water Reactors, and Non-power Production or Utilization Facilities." However, I urge the NRC to continue to refine the regulations and guidance surrounding EP to ensure that it can effectively scale to the right-size given the inherent safety of advanced reactors. While the current rulemaking and guidance are applicable to light-water SMRs, the requirements do not fully recognize the inherent safety associated with microreactors. Though they are step in the right direction, I encourage additional adjustments to fully account for the very minor risk presented by some advanced reactors.

Scaleable emergency preparedness (EP) directly supports the NRC's primary mission to protect the public health and safety by ensuring that clean, emission-free power plants can be effectively evaluated and brought online. An appropriate regulatory framework surrounding EP supports a streamlined application process that allows for the rapid deployment of nuclear power plants. The reasons I support the effort to appropriately reform emergency preparedness to streamline the application process include:

- 1) Decisions concerning emergency planning activities and the size of emergency planning zones (EPZs) should be risk-informed, performance-based and consequence-oriented. SMRs and advanced reactors are designed to have inherent safety characteristics and smaller radioactive material inventories. Therefore a much smaller maximum potential release of radioactive material is theoretically possible. With that in mind, the EPZ needs to be able to match the risk associated with the facility and perhaps should be more comparable to that of an industrial facility with similar levels of risk. As the NRC has decades of regulatory experience with hundreds of small-scale reactors and no serious releases to date, it is well suited to make a right-sized assessment of SMRs and advanced reactors.
- 2) Advanced reactors that utilize a fast spectrum are the only effective tool in the world to both produce clean electricity as well as reduce radioactive waste. In fact, they are key to closing the fuel cycle and turning current hazards into valuable assets to the public.
- 3) As can be seen in the global impacts of air pollution and climate change, the greatest risk associated with nuclear reactors is not building them. Countries with abundant nuclear energy have fewer public health impacts from air pollution and contribute less per capita to climate change. Advanced reactors can also address historic environmental injustices by replacing fossil power plants that disproportionately pollute the air of poor and disadvantaged communities.

Given the above environmental and societal benefits, as well as the remarkably low risk associated with building and operating SMRs and advanced reactors, it is imperative these reactors not be subject to unnecessary burdens, especially considering the disproportionate economic burden they would place on smaller reactors. Simply taking a clear-eyed evidence based approach to assessing risk of radioactive release should allow these reactors to bring power to remote communities and more easily fight climate change and air pollution.

Thank you for continuing to ensure health and safety, in particular by enabling the deployment of clean, non-CO2-emitting power sources.

Sincerely,
Tori Riso
vriso@buffalo.edu
1000 Terrain St Malvern, PA 19355 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact info@oneclickpolitics.com