500



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 23, 1998

Cys: Callan Thompson Thadani Norry Blaha Knapp, NMSS Haney, NMSS Poland, NMSS EDO R/F G980367

DFD 2

The Honorable Jim Nussle United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-1502

Dear Representative Nussle:

I am responding to your letter dated May 19, 1998, regarding proposed revisions to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) 10 CFR Part 35 regulations on medical use of byproduct material.

The NRC staff has provided its recommendations on proposed revisions to Part 35 to the Commission in June 1998. The proposed rule was developed using an increased public participation process including public workshops; meetings with various medical professional societies (including the American College of Radiology); and the posting of a "strawman" rule text on the Internet for comments. The staff has carefully considered the comments received during these interactions in preparing the proposal. After Commission approval, a proposed rule will be published in the <u>Federal Register</u> for public comment and forwarded to your office for your awareness. We expect to hold additional public meetings during the comment period later this year.

The issue of training and experience has received the most comments during the development of the proposed rule. Viewpoints on this issue have varied. The Commission has received comments both supporting reduction in requirements affecting personnel in the diagnostic area, including those from the American College of Cardiology and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiologists, and comments favoring continuance of the existing requirements. The staff draft, while reducing the number of hours required for certain medical modalities, also specified a focus on radiation safety and proposed that personnel competency be verified through an examination. This proposal appears to be in keeping with the direction the Commission provided to the staff namely, to develop a risk-informed, and where appropriate, a more performance-based rule and it addressed an objection often expressed by some commenters, that NRC requirements sometimes intruded into the practice of medicine.

The Commission will carefully consider the staff proposal in light of public comments. The results of that consideration will then be available for additional public comment and discussion.

Sincerely,

Shinley an Jocks

Shirley Ann Jackson

9807010207 980623 PDR COMMS NRCC CORRESPONDENCE PDR

1

The Honorable Jim Nussle United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-1502

Dear Representative Nussle:

I am responding to your letter dated May 19, 1998, regarding proposed revisions to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 10 CFR Part 35 regulations on medical use of byproduct material.

The NRC staff is scheduled to provide its recommendations on proposed revisions to Part 35 to the Commission in June 1998. The proposed rule was developed using an increased public participation process including public workshops; meetings with various medical professional societies (including the American College of Radiology); and the posting of a "strawman" rule text on the Internet for comments. The staft has carefully considered the comments received during these interactions, in preparing the proposal. After Commission approval, a proposed rule will be published in the <u>Federal Register</u> for public comment and forwarded to your office for your awareness. We expect to hold additional public meetings during the comment period later this year.

The issue of training and experience has received the most comments during the development of the proposed rule. Viewpoints on this issue have varied. The Commission has received comments both supporting reduction in requirements affecting personnel in the diagnostic area, including those from the American College of Cardiology and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiologists, and comments favoring continuance of the existing requirements. The staff draft, while reducing the number of hours required for certain medical modalities, also specified a focus on radiation safety and proposed that personnel competency be verified through an examination. This proposal appears to be in keeping with the direction the Commission provided to the staff namely, to develop a risk-informed, and where appropriate, a more performance-based rule and it addressed an objection often expressed by some commenters, that NRC requirements sometimes intruded into the practice of medicine.

The Commission will carefully consider the staff proposal in light of public comments. The results of that consideration will then be available for additional public comment and discussion.

Sincerely,

Shirley Ann Jackson

 DISTRIBUTION: G980367

 NRC File Center
 NMSS R/F

 HThompson
 LCallan

 CPoland
 NMSS Dir. Off. r/f

 PDR: _X_Yes ___ No

EDO r/f PTresslar IMNS RF

DOCUMENT	NAME:A:G980367.w	vpd *See Previous Concurre	ence CP/PRC	OFED/JUNE 10, 1998	
OFFICE	RGB	Editor*	IMNS	NMSS:DIR	DEDRY
NAME	CHaney #	EKraus	FCombs	MKnapp //	Althompson
DATE	6/11/98	4/15/98	6/10/98	6/ 1798 /11	15/2198
OFFICE	EDO A	OCM	OCA		T
NAME ()	LJCallan	SAJackson	DRathbun		CONTRACTOR OF STREET, ST
DATE	6/1 2/98	6/ /98	6/ /98		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

The Honorable Jim Nussle United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-1502

Dear Representative Nussle:

I am responding to your letter dated May 19, 1998, regarding proposed revisions to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 10 CFR Part 35 regulations on medical use of byproduct material.

The NRC staff is scheduled to provide its recommendations on proposed revisions to Part 35 to the Commission in June 1998. The proposed rule was developed using an increased public participation process including public workshops; meetings with various medical professional societies (including the American College of Radiology); and the posting of a "strawman" rule text on the Internet for comments. The staff has carefully considered the comments received during these interactions, in preparing the proposal. After Commission approval, a proposed rule will be published in the Federal Register for public comment and forwarded to your office for your awareness. We expect to hold additional public meetings during the comment period later this year.

The issue of training and experience has received the most comments during the development of the proposed rule. Viewpoints on this issue have varied. The Commission has received comments both supporting reduction in requirements affecting personnel in the diagnostic area, including those from the American College of Cardiology and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiologists, and comments favoring continuance of the existing requirements. The staff draft, while reducing the number of hours required for certain medical modalities, also specified a focus on radiation safety and proposed that personnel competency be verified through an examination. This proposal appears to be in keeping with the direction the Commission provided to the staff namely, to develop a risk-informed, and where appropriate, a more performance-based rule and it addressed an objection often expressed by some commenters, that NRC requirements sometimes intruded into the practice of medicine.

The Commission will carefully consider the staff proposal in light of public comments. The results of that consideration will then be available for additional public comment and discussion.

Sincerely,

Shirley Ann Jackson

DISTRIBUTION: G980367

NRC File Center	NMSS R/F	EDO r/f
HThompson	LCallan	PTressler
CPoland	NMSS Dir. Off. r/f	IMNS RF
PDR: X_Yes No		

DOCUMENT NAME:A:G980367.wpd *See Previous Concurrence

OFFICE	RGB	Editor*	IMNS MAL	NMSS:DIR	DEDR
NAME	CHaney *	EKraus	FCombs	MKnapp	HLThompson
DATE	6/11 /98	4/15/98	6/ 10/98 PCC	6/ /98	5/ /98
OFFICE	EDO	OCM	OCA	T	
NAME	LJCallan	SAJackson	DRathbun		
and the second sec				Land of the second s	The second se

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

The Honorable Jim Nussle United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-1502

Dear Representative Nussle:

I am responding to your letter dated May 19, 1998, regarding proposed revisions to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 10 CFR Part 35 regulations on medical use of byproduct material.

The NRC staff is scheduled to provide its recommendations on proposed revisions to Part 35 to the Commission in June 1998. The proposed rule is being developed using an increased public participation process that included public workshops; meetings with various medical professional societies (including the American College of Radiology); and the posting of a "strawman" rule text on the Internet for comments. The staff has carefully considered the comments received during these interactions, in preparing the proposal. After Commission approval, a proposed rule will be published in the Federal Register for public comment and forwarded to your office for your information. We expect to hold additional public meetings during the comment period later this year.

The issue of training and experience has received the most comments during the development of the proposed rule. Viewpoints on this issue have varied. The Commission has received comments both supporting reduction in requirements affecting personnel in the diagnostic area, including those from the American College of Cardiology and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiologists, and favoring keeping the presently existing requirements. The staff draft, while reducing the number of hours required for certain medical modalities, also specified a focus on radiation safety and proposed that personnel competency be verified through an examination. This proposal appears to be in keeping with the direction the Commission provided to the staff namely, to develop a risk-informed, and where appropriate, a more performance-based rule and it addressed an objection often expressed by some commenters, that NRC requirements sometimes intruded into the practice of medicine.

The Commission will carefully consider the staff proposal in light of public comments when it is received. The results of that consideration will then be available for additional public comment and discussion.

Sincerely,

Shirley Ann Jackson

DISTRIBUTION: G980367

 NRC File Center
 NMSS R/F

 HThompson
 LCallan

 CPoland
 NMSS Dir. Off. r/f

 PDR:
 X_Yes___No

EDO r/f PTressler IMNS RF

DOCUMENT NAME: A: G980367.wpd *See Previous Concurrence 6/10/98 Ce

OFFICE	RGB	Editor*	IMNS	NMSS:DIR	DEDR
NAME	CHaney ch	EKraus	FCombs	MKnapp	HLThompson
DATE	6/ 10/98	4/15/98	6/ /98	6/ /98	5/ /98
OFFICE	EDO	OCM	OCA	T	
NAME	LJCalian	SAJackson	DRathbun		
		In the Construction of the	A SUB FOR THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	And the state of t	Considering and the second of the second

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

4

.

PAPER NUMBER:	CRC-98-0527	LOGGING DATE: Jun 4 98			
ACTION OFFICE:	EDO				
AUTHOR: AFFILIATION:	JIM NUSSLE, REP U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES				
ADDRESSEE :	CHAIRMAN JACKSON				
LETTER DATE:	May 19 98 FILE CODE: IDR 14 PT 35				
SUBJECT:	PROPOSED CHANGES TO PART 35 RADIOISOTOPES	.MEDICAL USE OF			
ACTION:	Signature of Chairman				
DISTRIBUTION:	CHAIRMAN, SECY/RAS RF				
SPECIAL HANDLING:	OCA TO ACK				
CONSTITUENT:					
NOTES :	CHAIRMAN'S CORRESPONDENCE				
DATE DUE:	Jun 18 98				
SIGNATURE: AFFILIATION:		DATE SIGNED:			