UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION '89 SEP 28 A11:49

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of Docket Nos. 50-443 OL PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF 50-444 OL-Q4 NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al. Off-site Emergency Planning (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

NRC STAFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF MASSACHUSETTS ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR A SCHEDULE FOR THE FILING OF CONTENTIONS ARISING OUT OF THE SEPTEMBER, 1989 ONSITE EXERCISE

BACKGROUND

The NRC Staff opposes the Massachusetts Attorney General's (MAG's) renewed request to set a schedule for the filing of "contentions arising out of the September 27, 1989 onsite exercise." Motion at 1. hearings on the off-site emergency planning exercise and the emergency plan for Massachusetts communities have been completed and this Board is scheduled to issue its decision on those issues by November 30, 1989. $\frac{1}{2}$ The instant motion was filed on September 18, 1989, before the September 27, 1989 exercise was held, and before it could be determined whether there could be contentions arising from that exercise.

^{1/} Licensing Board Report to the Commission, July 6, 1989. The Appeal Board in ALAB-920, certified to the Commission the question of whether certain regulations should be waived to permit the Intigation of financial qualification regulations. That matter is pending before the Commission and could affect the Board's schedule.

BACKGROUND

On June 30, 1989, the Licensing Board denied the original May 31, 1989, motion which sought, inter alia, the setting of "a deadline for submission of contentions" arising from the onsite emergency planning exercise and low power testing. $\frac{2}{}$ The Board denied the motion principally on the ground that it did not have authority to grant the relief requested. Tr. 28287-88. $\frac{3}{}$ The Intervenors filed their "renewed" motion to set a schedule for the submission of contentions on the onsite exercise on September 18, 1989.

DISCUSSION

The Intervenors move to set a schedule for the filing of contentions arising from an onsite emergency planning exercise scheduled for September 27, 1989. The Staff opposes the setting a schedule for the filing of contentions on events which have not even transpired. At the time the instant motion was filed the exercise had not been held and it could not be known if there will be "contentions arising out of the September 27, 1989 on-site exercise." It is indeed speculative to say that a schedule for the submission of a contentions is needed to prevent harm before the exercise is even held and it can be seen if possible contentions can be formulated. See CLI-89-19, NRC (slip op. at 3, September 15, 1989. It is even more difficult to determine what a reasonable schedule

^{2/} Motion of Massachusetts Attorney General To Hold Open The Record Pending Low Power Testing And The Required Yearly Onsite Exercise And For Other Related Relief, May 3, 1989, at 10.

^{3/} See also NRC Staff Response to Motion of Massachusetts Attorney General To Hold Open the Record Pending Low Power Testing and the Required Yearly Onsite Exercise and For Other Relief, June 15, 1989 ("Staff Response"), at 3-5.

for these hypothetical contentions might be, when one does not even know the results of the exercise. No good cause is established to show a schedule is needed nor can a reasonable schedule be established in the present posture of this proceeding. $\frac{4}{}$

Moreover, the request for a period of 45 days after the Staff issues its report on the on-site emergency planning exercise to file contentions on the exercise could not be granted as it is contrary to the Commission's direction that their be expedited procedures "to bring this proceeding to a close within a reasonable timeframe." Commission Memorandum, February 3, 1989; CLI-89-19, slip op. at 4; see also Staff Response at 8-9. This Board has stated that it will issue its decision on the remaining litigated issues in November 1989. To propose any schedule that would not allow, at least, a ruling on the admissibility of contentions within that period would be contrary to the directions of the Commission and unjust in preventing a timely completion of proceedings. $\frac{5}{}$

The motion coming before the exercise does not evidence a desire to raise actual concerns about the exercise (which could not then be known), but rather a desire to search for matters to litigate regardless of their merit. As the Staff stated in regard to the original MAG motion of May 31, 1989: "The instant motion seeks to prevent completion of the full power proceeding to give intervenors time to raise additional issues for litigation in the full power proceeding which would substantially delay the completion of the proceeding." Staff Response, June 15, 1989 at 2. There is no basis upon which to grant the motion.

^{5/} Further, it is doubtful that the Licensing Board has the authority to set a scedule past the time it might issue its decision on presently admitted contentions. Staff Response, June 15, 1989, at 3-5, 8-10.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the NRC Staff opposes the MAG's motion to set a schedule for the filing on contentions on the September 1989 exercise.

Respectfully submitted,

Edin J. Ken

Edwin J. Reis

Deputy Assistant General Counsel

for Reactor Licensing

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 26th day of September 1989

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*89 SEP 28 A11:48

DOCKETET

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL Off-site Emergency Planning

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF MASSACHUSETTS ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR A SCHEDULE FOR THE FILING OF CONTENTIONS ARISING OUT OF THE SEPTEMBER, 1989 ONSITE EXERCISE" in the above captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class or, as indicated by an asterisk, by deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 26th day of September 1989:

Ivan W. Smith, Chairman (2)*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Richard F. Cole*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Kenneth A. McCollom Administrative Judge 1107 West Knapp Street Stillwater, OK 74705

Thomas G. Dignan, Jr., Esq. Robert K. Gad, III, Esq. Ropes & Gray One International Place Boston, MA 02110-2624

Robert A. Backus, Esq. Backus, Meyer & Solomon 116 Lowell Street Manchester, NH 03106 Philip Ahrens, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General State House STation Augusta, ME 04333

John Traficonte, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Geoffrey Huntington, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 25 Capitol Street Concord, NH 03301

Diane Curran, Esq. Harmon, Curran & Tousley 2001 S Street, NW Suite 430 Washington, DC 20009 H. J. Flynn, Esq. Assistant General Counsel Federal Emergency Management Agency 500 C Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20472

Paul McEachern, Esq. Shaines & McEachern 25 Maplewood Avenue P.O. Box 360 Portsmouth, NH 03801

Charles P. Graham, Esq. McKay, Murphy & Graham 100 Main Street Amesbury, MA 01913

Sandra Gavutis, Chairman Board of Selectmen RFD #1, Box 1154 Kensington, NH 03827

Calvin A. Canney City Hall 126 Daniel Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

R. Scott Hill-Whilton, Esq. Lagoulis, Clark, Hill-Whilton & McGuire 79 State Street Newburyport, MA 01950

Allen Lampert Civil Defense Director Town of Brentwood 20 Franklin Exeter, NH 03833

William Armstrong Civil Defense Director Town of Exeter 10 Front Street Exeter, NH 03833

Gary W. Holmes, Esq. Holmes & Ellis 47 Winnacunnet Road Hampton, NH 03842 Judith H. Mizner, Esq. 79 State Street Newburyport, MA 01950

Robert Carrigg, Chairman Board of Selectmen Town Office Atlantic Avenue North Hampton, NH 03862

William S. Lord Board of Selectmen Town Hall - Friend Street Amesbury, MA 01913

Mrs. Anne E. Goodman, Chairman Board of Selectmen 13-15 Newmarket Road Durham, NH 03824 Kensington, NH 03827

Hon. Gordon J. Humphrey United States Senate 531 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Richard R. Donovan
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
Federal Regional Center
130 228th Street, S.W.
Bothell, Washington 98021-9796

Peter J. Matthews, Mayor City Hall Newburyport, MA 01950

Michael Santosuosso, Chairman Board of Selectmen South Hampton, NH 03827

Ashod N. Amirian, Esq. Town Counsel for Merrimac 145 South Main Street P.O. Box 38 Bradford, MA 01835

Barbara J. Saint Andre, Esq. Kopelman and Paige, P.C. 77 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110 Ms. Suzanne Breiseth Board of Selectmen Town of Hampton Falls Drinkwater Road Hampton Falls, NH 03844

Robert R. Pierce, Esq.*
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Panel (6)*
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Docketing and Service Section*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

J. P. Nadeau Board of Selectmen 10 Central Street Rye, NH 03870

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (1)* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Samuel J. Chilk*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Edwin J. Reis

Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Reactor Licensing