| 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME OPERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2201(b) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(I) 20.2203(a)(2)(II) 20.2203(a)(3)(II) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 50.36(c)(1) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 | ^{R (2)})5000 498 | | | PAGE (3) 1 OF 9 |
|---|--|------------------|--|--------------------|
| THE (4) Main Steam Safety Valve Setpoints Found Outside Required Tolerance EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME 0PERATING 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2203(a)(2)(V) X 50 POWER 100 20.2203(a)(2)(I) 20.2203(a)(2)(V) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(2)(IIII) 20.2203(a)(3)(III) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(V) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 20.2203(a)(|)5000 498 | | | |
| Main Steam Safety Valve Setpoints Found Outside Required Tolerance EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) MONTH DAY YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(V) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(b) 20.2203(a)(2)(III) <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>A A.F. 7</th> | | | | A A.F. 7 |
| EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAM 0PERATING 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 100 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(3)(li) 50 50 100 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 20.2203(a)(3)(li) 50 50 100 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50 50 100 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50 50 100 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 | | | and the state of the | |
| MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME 09 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME 0PERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(I) 20.2203(a)(2)(II) 20.2203(a)(3)(II) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(3)(III) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) | | | | |
| OP O2 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME OP 02 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACILITY NAME OPERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(3)(li) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 50.36(c)(2) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50.36(c)(2) 50.36(c)(2) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(liv) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(liv) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(liv) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(liv) 50.36(c)(2) 50 | OTHER FAC | ILITIES IN | VOLVED 7 | (8) |
| O9 O2 97 97 009 01 05 04 98 FACIDITY NAME OPERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(l) 20.2203(a)(2)(l) 20.2203(a)(3)(l) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(3)(li) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 20.2203(a)(2)(li) 50.36(c)(2) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(lii) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(liv) 50.36(c)(2) | and a set of | | DOCKETN | |
| OPERATING MODE (9) 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS O 20.2201(b) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) X 50 20.2203(a)(2)(i) 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(i) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 50.36(c)(2) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 CAUSE Senior Consulting Engineer <t< td=""><td>XAS, UNIT</td><th>12</th><td>05</td><td>5000499</td></t<> | XAS, UNIT | 12 | 05 | 5000499 |
| POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(V) X 50 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(I) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(II) 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(3)(II) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 IMME UICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHC TELEPHC Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer TELEPHC CAUSE | | | DOCKETN | UMBER |
| POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(V) X 50 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(I) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(II) 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 20.2203(a)(3)(II) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 IMME UCENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHC TELEPHC Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer TELEPHC CAUSE | | | | |
| POWER LEVEL (10) 100 20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(e)(3)(1) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(1) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(1) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(1) 50 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(10) 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 50.36(c)(1) 50 50 20.2203(a)(2)(10) 50.36(c)(2) 50 50 50 20.200 Component Consulting Engineer Telepho Telepho C | OCFR S: (Ch | heck one or | | |
| LEVEL (10) 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(1) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(1) 20.2203(a)(3)(1) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(11) 20.2203(a)(4) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(11) 50.36(c)(1) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(11) 50.36(c)(2) 50 20.2203(a)(2)(10) 50.36(c)(2) 50 Scott M. Head - Senior Consu | | | | 3(a)(2)(vill) |
| 20.2203(a)(2)(II) 20.2203(a)(4) 50.200 20.2203(a)(2)(III) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 50.36(c)(2) 20.2203(a)(2)(IV) 50.36(c)(2) 50.36(c)(2) 50.36(c)(2) IAME LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHC Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer TELEPHC CAUSE SYSTEM COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE (SYSTEM | 3(a)(2)(ii) 3(a)(2)(iii) | | and the second sec | 3(a)(2)(x) |
| 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 50.36(c)(2) 50.36(c)(2) LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHR Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE CAUSE SYSTEM | | | 73.7 | |
| 20.2203(a)(2)(N) 50.36(C)(2) 50. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHR Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM SYSTEM <td< td=""><td>$\frac{(a)(2)(1V)}{(a)(2)(V)}$</td><th></th><td>ОТН</td><td></td></td<> | $\frac{(a)(2)(1V)}{(a)(2)(V)}$ | | ОТН | |
| LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHO Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE CAUSE CAUSE CAUSE | (a)(2)(vii) | | in NRC Fo | Abstract below or |
| Scott M. Head - Senior Consulting Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM SYSTEM | | CARGO IN COMPANY | I'M NHC FO | 1005A |
| COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBE | NUMBER (Includ | de Area Code) | | |
| CAUSE I SVET | | 12) 972- | 7136 | |
| CAUSE I SVET | N THIS REPO | DRT /13) | | |
| | COMPONE | ENT MANU | FACTURER | REPORTABLE |
| E CONSTRUCTION OF THE OWNER OWN | | | | TO NPRDS |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) | | | | |
| (YES | | | - | Y YEAR |
| (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE). | PECTED | MONT | distant and the second second | TEAM |
| BSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16) | (PECTED BMISSION ATE (15) | MONT | distant and the second second | The Party |

Inservice Testing, Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B were found with lift settings outside the Technical Specification allowable tolerance of $\pm 3\%$. On September 9, 1997, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. In response condition that could adversely affect their lift setting, were tested to verify operability. Main Steam Safety Valve 7440 i was found with its lift setting outside the Technical Specification allowable tolerance of $\pm 3\%$. On September 9, 1997, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. In response condition that could adversely affect their lift setting, were tested to verify operability. Main Steam Safety Valve 7440 i was found with its lift setting outside the Technical Specification allowable tolerance of $\pm 3\%$. On April 1, 1998, Unit action to confirm that revised maintenance procedures were effective to preclude a previously observed phenonmenon, both safety valves failed to lift within the required lift setting of Table 3.7-2 of Technical Specifications. Previously, the most probable cause of the high initial lift results of the Main Steam Safety Valves was evaluation has been expanded to reevaluate other possible causes of this event. Corrective actions include adjusting the Valves 7430A and 7430B using revised maintenance procedures, and lift testing susceptible Main Steam Safety Valves in the valve sticking condition.

| NRC FORM 366A | | | U.S. NUCLEAF | REGULA | TORY | COMMIS | SSION |
|---------------------|---|------|--------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| LICE | NSEE EVENT REPORT (I TEXT CONTINUATION | LER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | T | LER NUMBER | (6) | AV OF ACTIVITY OF | PAGE (3 | 3) |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | 05000 498 | YEAR | NUMBER | NUMBER | 2 | OF | 9 |
| | | 97 | 009 | 01 | | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On September 2, 1997, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. During the performance of the Main Steam Safety Valve Inservice Testing, Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B were found with lift settings outside the Technical Specification allowable tolerance of $\pm 3\%$. The lift settings were adjusted to within their required allowable tolerances. The test sample was expanded to all twenty Unit 1 Main \pm earn Safety Valves in accordance with ASME requirements. All other Main Steam Safety Valves tested within Technical Specification allowable tolerance. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission was notified of the out-of-tolerance condition of the Main Steam Safety Valves on September 3, 1997, at 0937 hours.

On September 9, 1997, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. In response to the Unit 1 testing results, Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7440, previously evaluated as susceptible to a condition that could adversely affect their lift setting, were tested to verify operability. Main Steam Safety Valve 7440 was found with its lift setting outside the Technical Specification allowable tolerance of \pm 3%. The lift setting was adjusted to within its required allowable tolerance. Although Main Steam Safety Valve 7430A tested within allowable tolerance, the validity of the test came into question in that the as-found testing conditions may have been disturbed. This valve was subsequently lifted within Technical Specification allowable tolerance. A conservative decision was made to test the remaining eighteen Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves. All other Main Steam Safety Valves tested within Technical Specification. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission was notified of the out-of-tolerance condition of Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valve 7440 on September 10, 1997, at 1058 hours.

On April 1, 1998, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. While lift testing Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B as a corrective action to confirm that revised maintenance procedures were effective to preclude a previously observed phenomenon attributed to oxide locking, both safety valves failed to lift within the required lift setting of Table 3.7-2 of Technical Specifications. After the initial lift, both valves were retested to verify the valve lift setting was within the one per cent as-left tolerance required by Technical Specifications and the valves were returned to operable status. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission was notified of the out-of-tolerance condition of the Main Steam Safety Valves on April 1, 1998, at 1713 hours.

The augmented testing conducted on April 1, 1998 was not a Code surveillance but conducted as planned maintenance to confirm corrective actions to preclude the previously observed phenomenon attributed to oxide locking. The total valve population at the South Texas Project susceptible to this valve sticking common mode type of failure has been determined to be the six Main Steam Safety Valves where seat repair maintenance has been performed at the South Texas Project. These valves are Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B in Unit 1 and 7410A, 7430, 7430A, and 7440 in Unit 2. Increased frequency testing of Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7440 had been successful in assuring that these valves lift within allowable tolerances. Corrective action maintenance had been previously performed on Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7410A and 7430 and it was determined increased frequency testing of these valves was not required. Since the April 1, 1998 augmented testing did not validate the corrective action, Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7410A and 7430 were tested on April 2, 1998. The valves lift tested within Technical Specification tolerances.

| NRC FORM 366A | andan taun taun bana ang kana ang kana Ng kana ang k | | U.S. NUCLEAR | REGULAT | ORY | COMMIS | SION |
|---------------------|--|------|--------------|---------|-----|----------|------|
| LICE | NSEE EVENT REPORT (L TEXT CONTINUATION | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | T | LER NUMBER (| 6) | - | PAGE (3) |) |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | 05000 498 | YEAR | NUMBER | NUMBER | 3 | OF | 9 |
| | | 97 | 009 | 01 | | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT (CONTINUED):

The as-found setpoints and the percent deviation for all the Main Steam Safety Valves in both units are found in Table 1. In addition, this table provides the as-found setpoints for the Main Steam Safety Valves that were obtained during past refueling outages, during augmented testing conducted in April, 1997 and during augmented testing conducted in April, 1998.

Previous testing of Main Steam Safety Valves at the South Texas Project identified a condition that could adversely affect the ability of susceptible valves to lift within the Technical Specification allowable tolerance. Test results were reported in South Texas Project Unit 1 Licer see Event Report 97-005 and Unit 2 Licensee Event Report 97-001. As previously reported, it was determined that the most probable cause of the high initial lift results of the Main Steam Safety Valves is an alteration of the nozzle and disc oxide layers. Over time, this mechanism tends to promote oxide locking and galling with subsequent bonding between the nozzle and disc materials appears to require a period of time to elapse at normal operating temperatures and pressures.

Also, there appeared to be a greater susceptibility to bonding of the nozzle and disc if these components are refurbished and the refurbishment procedure does not assure that these components are lapped using the same finish. The maintenance procedures that were previously used to refurbish Unit 1 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B and Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7440 resulted in inconsistent lapping of the nozzle and disc.

Three other Main Steam Safety Valves were previously refurbished by lapping the nozzle and disc using the same finish. These three valves have successfully lifted within the Technical Specification allowable tolerance since their refurbishment. Based on the experience of these three valves, Unit 1 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B were refurbished during the Unit 1 Fall 1997 refueling outage using the revised maintenance procedures to correct the condition. Augmented testing performed on April 1, 1998 did not confirm that the revised maintenance procedures corrected the condition that resulted in these valves not meeting lift allowable tolerances.

Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves, 7430A and 7440, which had not been refurbished using the revised maintenance procedures have been tested on an increased testing schedule which has been successful in assuring that these valves lift within Technical Specification tolerances.

CAUSE OF EVENT

Prior to the April 1998 testing, the most probable cause of the high initial lift results of the Main Steam Safety Valves was considered an alteration of the nozzle and disc oxide lavers. Over time this mechanism is considered to promote oxide locking and galling with subsequent bonding between the valve seat and disc. The most significant contributing cause had been considered to be inadequate guidance in the maintenance procedures for valve refurbishing that resulted in inconsistent lapping of the valve disc and nozzle. Since this probable cause was not confirmed by recent testing, other possible causes such as additional metallurgical bonding mechanisms, material incompatibility and chemistry effects are being reevaluated. The susceptible valve population remains only those six main steam safety valves where seat repair maintenance has been performed at the South Texas Project.

| NRC FORM 366A | | | U.S. NUCLEAR | REGULAT | TORY | COMMIS | SION |
|---------------------|--|------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------|------|
| · · LIC | ENSEE EVENT REPORT (L TEXT CONTINUATION | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | 1 | LER NUMBER | (6) | antioner en en | PAGE (3 |) |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | 05000 498 | YEAR | NUMBER | NUMBER | 4 | OF | 9 |
| | | 97 | 009 | 01 | | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The discovery of Main Steam Safety Valve setpoints outside the Technical Specification required limit is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). After analysis of the out-of-tolerance data obtained during the most recent testing, it was determined that these conditions did not result in a condition that was unanalyzed or outside the design basis of the plant.

The safety function of the Main Steam Safety Valves is to protect the Steam Generators and the piping upstream of the Main Steam Isolation Valves from overpressurization under all modes of operation. During a loss of load/turbine trip or a loss of normal feedwater/loss of offsite power event, operability of the Main Steam Safety Valves ensures that the secondary system pressure will be limited to within 110% (1413.5 psig) of its design pressure of 1285 psig. The operability of the Main Steam Safety Valves also establishes the reactor coolant system conditions for several accident analyses and the maximum steam pressure that the auxiliary feed pumps must overcome to supply minimum flowrate. With 18 of the 20 Main Steam Safety Valves within tolerance and with at least four Main Steam Safety Valves per loop below 1397 psig, the safety analysis remains bounded and all events requiring Main Steam Safety Valve actuation could have been successfully mitigated.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- The lift settings of Unit 1 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B and Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valve 7440 were adjusted within their required allowable tolerances following testing in September, 1997. Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valve 7430A with questionable as-found lift results in September, 1997 was adjusted within its required allowable tolerance. All other Main Steam Safety Valves were found to be within the required allowable tolerance.
- 2. Unit 1 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B were disassembled and inspected during the Unit 1 fall 1997 refueling outage. These valves were refurbished using revised maintenance procedures that assure that the valve disc and nozzle are hand lapped using the same finish. Post-maintenance testing conducted during plant restart assured these valves were left within 1% of setpoint.
- Lift testing of Unit 1 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7430B was performed on April 1, 1998. When the
 initial lift tests did not meet Technical Specification tolerances, the valves were retested and verified to lift
 within their required allowable tolerances.
- 4. Lift setting testing of Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves 7430A and 7440 was performed within approximately 40 days from their last lift setting test conducted on September 9, 1997. These Main Steam Safety Valves have been successfully tested at an increased frequency of approximately every 60 days.
- Unit 1 and Unit 2 Main Steam Safety Valves susceptible to a valve sticking common mode type of failure will be lift tested at approximately 60 day intervals until the valve's next refueling outage.

| NRC FO | RM 366A | | | U.S. NUCLEA | R REGULA | TORY | COMMIS | SIO |
|--------|--|-----------------------|----------|--|------------|--------------|----------|------|
| A-637 | LICENSEE EV | ENT REPORT (1 | ER) | | | | | |
| | TEXT C | ONTINUATION | Mandes / | | | | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET | | LER NUMBER | | F | PAGE (3) | |
| South | Texas, Unit 1 | 05000 498 | YEAR | | | 5 | OF | 9 |
| | | | 97 | | | | | |
| ГЕХТ | (If more space is required, use additional copies of | of NRC Form 366A) | (17) | | | area densere | | |
| | CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (CONTINUED) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | The root cause investigation for this event will | be completed by Jul | y 1998 | l. | | | | |
| 7. | A letter to the Nuclear Pegulatory Commiss | ion will be submit | | | | | | |
| 1. | A letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commiss | sion will be submitt | ed at 1 | east 90 days | prior to | Unit | 2's fal | 1 |
| | 1998 refueling outage detailing the planned | long term correctiv | e actio | on for perior | rmance d | uring | each | |
| | unit's next refueling outage to prevent recur | rence of main stear | n safe | ty valve inor | perability | due | to an | |
| | observed oxide locking phenomena. | | | | | | | |
| 8. | The South Texas Project will continue to activ | vely participate with | the inc | lustry to reso | lve the s | afety | value | |
| | sticking issue. | , participate main | the like | usuy to rest | nve the se | ucry | valve | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ADI | DITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
| The | condition of oxide locking and galling with su | bequart bonding | hatteria | m the unless | | | | |
| anne | ears to require a period of time to elapse at norm | al operating temper | Detwee | in the valve | seat and | disc | mater | als |
| Can | won shows that seat conditioning lifting of Main | Steam Safety Value | atures | and pressure | s. Exper | ience | at Dia | DIO |
| 180 | yon shows that seat conditioning lifting of Main | olerance lift results | es con | ducted anyti | me up to | appr | oximal | ely |
| did | days of plant operation has averted high out-of-to | Derince int results. | Kece | nt testing at | the South | n Tex | as Proj | ect |
| cetti | not duplicate the experience at Diablo Canyon. | Revised maintenanc | e proc | edures had i | resulted i | n suce | cessful | lift |
| othe | ng results until the April 1, 1998 testing. As a rear possible causes of this event. | suit, the root cause | evalua | tion has been | n expande | d to i | reevalu | ate |
| our | possible causes of this event. | | | | | | | |
| The | Main Steam Safety Valves are model 6-3 | 707RAX-RT-25-X1 | NC101 | 2 manufact | ured by | Con | solidat | edi |
| Dres | sser. The Main Steam Safety Valves are spring-l | loaded with an adju | sting r | ut which in | creases o | r deci | reases | the |
| sprin | ng force to achieve the relief valve opening. | | . 0 | and the second sec | | | | a Be |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Int | 1 Voluntary Licensee Event Report 02,019 (D | Antician 1) IT-1 A | 37.1 | | 27 | - | | |

Unit 1 Voluntary Licensee Event Report 92-018 (Revision 1), Unit 2 Voluntary Licensee Event Report 93-011 (Revision 1), Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 95-003, Unit 2 Licensee Event Report 97-001 and Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 97-005 were submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission documenting Main Steam Safety Valve setpoints outside the required tolerances.

| NRC FORM 366A | | | | U.S. NUCLEAR | REGULATORY COMMISS |
|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| • | | LICENSEE EVE | NT REPORT (L | ER) | incontrol commission |
| ntandarita a transmisma defanse de control de la contro | FACILITY NAME (1) | TEXT CO | NTINUATION | | |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | | er winn sins per pendere angela di printe and an an an an an an an an and | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6 | BEUREPUL |
| | ** | | 05000 498 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 97 009 | NUMBER O OF |
| TEXT (If more one | | | | | 01 |
| in the (i) more space | ce is required, use a | | | (17) | |
| | | the second se | Table 1 | | |
| | | | steam Safety Valve | S | |
| | | (Fa) | ge 1 of 4) | | |
| TRAIN A | 7410 | 7410A | 7410B | 74100 | |
| TRAIN B | 7420 | 7420A | 7420B | 7410C | 7410D |
| TRAIN C | 7430 | 7430A | 7430B | 7420C 7430C | 7420D |
| TRAIN D | 7440 | 7440A | 7440B | 7440C | 7430D |
| | | | | 74400 | 7440D |
| SETPOINT | 1285.0 | 1295.0 | 1305.0 | 1315.0 | 1325.0 |
| | | 04 | /01/98 | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | _ | | | | AST CONDINE DAK |
| TRAIN B | - | | | | - |
| TRAIN C | | 1384.6/+6.9 | 1412.5/+8.2 | - | - |
| TRAIN D | | | 1412.3/+8.2 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1RE07 - 09 | 9/02 - 09/03/97 | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-POUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1278.4/-0.5 | 1300.00/+0.4 | 1311.5/+0.5 | 1309.4/-0.4 | 1000 44.1.0 |
| TRAIN B | 1281.9/-0.2 | 1306.3/+0.9 | 1294.7/-0.8 | 1294.2/-1.6 | 1337.6/+1.0 |
| TRAIN C | 1282.1/-0.2 | 1362.6/+5.2 | 1358.9/+4.1 | 1304.4/-0.8 | 1306.7/-1.4 1330.0/+0.4 |
| TRAIN D | 1268.3/-1.4 | 1295.8/+0.1 | 1305.6/+0.1 | 1316.5/+0.1 | 1310.8/-1.1 |
| | | 4/2 - | 04/03/97 | | 1510.0/-1.1 |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | | |
| | | | AS-PORTED/10 EKK | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1282.6/-0.2 | 1296.95/+0.2 | 1310.45/+0.4 | 1207 6/06 | 1001 01 0 0 |
| TRAIN B | 1263.7/-1.7 | 1313.4/+1.4 | 1299.5/-0.4 | 1307.5/-0.6 | 1331.5/+0.5 |
| TRAIN C | 1286.9/+0.15 | 1414.0/+9.2 | 1393.2/+6.8 | 1314.4/-0.05 1319.6/+0.35 | 1319.75/-0.4 |
| TRAIN D | 1273.2/-0.9 | 1299.5/+0.35 | 1307.6/+0.2 | 1317.25/+0.2 | 1326.5/+0.1 |
| | | | - 05/13/97 | 1517.257+0.2 | 1321.0/-0.3 |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | | | |
| | DI CONTRA ERR | ASHOUND/% EKK | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | • | | | | |
| TRAIN B | 1289.95/+0.07 | 1300.06/+0.39 | 1319.04/+1.08 | 1342.39/+2.08 | 1316 61/ 0 63 |
| TRAIN C | | 1281.03/-1.08 | 1296.06/-0.69 | * | 1316.61/-0.63 |
| TRAIN D | • | | * | | |

* - NOT REQUIRED TO BE TESTED PER TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

| | | | | | REGULATORY | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | • | LICENSEE AVE | NT REPORT (LI | ER) | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | and and a second se | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (| 5)] [| AGE (3) |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | * | | 05000 498 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION 7 | OF |
| | | | | 97 009 | 01 | |
| TEXT (If more space | ce is required, use o | additional copies of l | NRC Form 366A) (| (17) | | tin eliterature |
| | | | Table 1 | | | |
| | | | team Safety Valve | | | |
| | | | ge 2 of 4) | 28 | | |
| | | (* -2 | 50 2 01 4) | | | |
| | | 1RE05 | 5 - 02/27/95 | | | |
| | AS-POUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/ | % ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1283.80/-0.09 | 1296.97/+0.15 | 1216 201 0 07 | 1001 | | |
| TRAIN B | 1277.60/-0.58 | 1296.97/+0.15 | 1316.30/+0.87 1299.98/-0.38 | 1306.10/-0.68 | 1330.50/+(| |
| TRAIN C | 1308.00/+1.79 | 1293.90/-0.08 | 1336.50/+2.41 | 1317.20/+0.17 | 1317.20/-1 | |
| TRAIN D | 1290.90/+0.46 | 1310.20/+1.17 | 1332.50/+2.11 | 1343.60/+2.17 | 1331.40/+0 | |
| | | | 1552.50/+2.11 | 1325.40/-0.79 | 1350.80/+1 | .95 |
| | | 1RE04 | - 09/19/92 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-POUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/ | ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1275.70/-0.72 | 1293.60/-0.11 | | | | |
| TRAIN B | * | 1293.50/-0.12 | | 1304.70/-0.78 | • | |
| TRAIN C | | * | | | | |
| TRAIN D | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| * - NOT REQUIRED TO | BE TESTED PER TECH | NICAL SPECIFICATIONS | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | 1RE03 AS-FOUND/% ERR | - 03/29/91 | | | |
| | | AS-FOUND/10 EKK | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/9 | ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1277.40/-0.59 | 1270.20/-1.92 | 1000 10/ 1 40 | 1000 1010 10 | | |
| TRAIN B | 1269.10-/1.24 | 1274.00/-1.62 | 1283.10/-1.68 1278.40/-2.04 | 1308.60/-0.49 | 1305.90/-1.4 | |
| TRAIN C | 1289.70/-0.37 | 1273.50/-1.66 | 1281.50/-1.80 | 1291.40/-1.79 | 1321.90/-0.2 | |
| TRAIN D | 1275.90/-0.71 | 1303.30/+0.64 | 1338.10/+2.54 | 1303.30/-0.89 1312.90/-0.16 | 1306.90/-1.3 | |
| | | | 1000110112104 | 1312.90/-0.10 | 1356.20/+1. | 52 |
| | | 1RE02 | - 06/13/90 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% | ERR |
| TTD A TAL A | | | | | | |
| TRAIN A TRAIN B | : | • | 1313.93/+0.68 | | | |
| TRAINC | | | • | • | | |
| TRAIN D | | 1000 10/ 1 15 | • | • | • | |
| | | 1280.10/-1.15 | 1322.70/+1.36 | | | |
| * - NOT REQUIRED TO | BE TESTED PER TECH | NICAL SPECIFICATIONS | | | | |
| | | IDEOL | 09/7/90 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | - 08/7/89 AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% | EDP |
| | | | | THE COULD & LAK | AS-POUND/% | EKK |
| TRAIN A | 1251.75/-2.59 | 1282.70/-0.95 | 1301.50/-0.27 | 1.06.10/-0.68 | 1316.00/-0.6 | 2 |
| TRAIN B | 1258.90/-2.03 | 1283.20/-0.91 | 1267.00/-2.91 | 1337.10/+1.68 | 1295.40/-2.2 | |
| TRAINC | 1289.00/+0.31 | 1270.10/-1.92 | 1293.40/-0.89 | 1344.70/+2.26 | 1314.10/-0.82 | |
| TRAIN D | 1281.60/-0.26 | 1275.80/-1.48 | 1289.20/-1.21 | 1288.00/-2.05 | 1286.80/-2.88 | |

| NRC FORM 366A | | | | U.S. NUCLEAR | EGULATORY CON | MISSIC |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------|
| | • | TEXT COL | T REPORT (LE | ER) | | |
| | FACILITY NAME (1) | | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAG | E (3) |
| South Texas, Unit | 1 . | | 05000 498 | | DE (HELP(L)) | F 9 |
| • | • | | | 97 009 | 01 | |
| FEXT (If more spe | ace is required, use ad | ditional copies of N | NRC Form 366A) (| 17) | L. | |
| | | | able 1 | , | | |
| | | Unit 2 Main S | team Safety Valves | | | |
| | | | ge 3 of 4) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TRAIN A | 7410 | 7410A | 7410B | 7410C | 7410D | |
| TRAIN B | 7420 | 7420A | 7420B | 7420C | 7420D | |
| TRAIN C | 7430 | 7430A | 7430B | 7430C | 7430D | |
| TRAIN D | 7440 | 7440A | 7440B | 7440C | 7440D | |
| SETPOINT | 1285.0 | 1295.0 | 1305.0 | 1315.0 | 1325.0 | |
| | | 04 | /02/98 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | | |
| TRAIN A | | | ASTOUNDIN ERR | AS-POUND/% EKK | AS-FOUND/% E | RR |
| TRAIN B | | 1280.7/-1.1% | - | - | - | |
| TRAIN C | 1275.6/-0.7% | - | - | - | - | |
| TRAIN C | 12/3.0/-0.7% | - | - | - | - | |
| IKALI | | - | - | - | - | |
| | | 09/09 | - 09/10/97 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | | |
| TRAIN A | 1290.4/+0.4 | 1294.9/0.0 | 1296.7/-0.6 | 1307.5/-0.6 | AS-FOUND/% EI 1329.4/+0.3 | RR |
| TRAIN B | 1272.5/-1.0 | 1298.7/+0.3 | 1304.5/0.0 | 1312.3/-0.2 | | |
| TRAIN C | 1287.3/+0.2 | * | 1312.5/+0.6 | 1331.0/+1.2 | 1322.5/-0.2 1338.5/+1.0 | |
| TRAIN D | 1342.2/+4.5 | 1304.0/+0.7 | 1303.4/-0.1 | 1323.5/+0.7 | 1345.6/+1.6 | |
| * AS-FOUND CONDI | TIONS DISTURBED, LIFT R | ESULTS INVALID | | 1000.0110.1 | 1545.07110 | |
| | | | - 4/22/97 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% EI | RR |
| TDATAL | 1000 0/ 0 0 | | | | | |
| TRAIN A | 1288.3/+0.3 | 1311.2/+1.25 | 1301.2/-0.3 | 1302.8/-0.9 | 1332.0/+0.5 | |
| TRAIN B TRAIN C | 1282.4/-0.2 | 1306.0/+0.85 | 1306.1/+0.1 | 1318.2/+0.2 | 1340.6/+1.2 | |
| TRAIN D | 1280.4/-0.4 1339.99/+4.28 | 1377.3/+6.35 | 1305.3/+0.02 | 1319.7/+0.4 | 1331.1/+0.5 | |
| TRAIL D | 1559.991+4.28 | 1316.55/+1.7 | 1295.6/-0.7 | 1317.55/+0.2 | 1345.3/+1.5 | |
| | | | 5 - 2/3/97 | | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% EF | RR |
| TRAIN A | 1298.93/+1.08 | 1313.75/+1.45 | 1303.29/-0.13 | 1302.78/-0.93 | 1317.20/-0.59 | |
| TRAIN B | 1276.74/-0.64 | 1323.13/+2.17 | 1363.54/+4.49 | 1338.46/+1.78 | 1380.59/+4.20 | |
| TRAIN C | 1325.94/+3.19 | 1317.72/+1.75 | 1309.32/+0.33 | 1329.22/+1.08 | 1336.02/+0.83 | |
| TRAIN D | 1380.55/+7.44 | 1328.98/+2.62 | 1331.72/+2.05 | 1351.51/+2.78 | 1383.19/+4.39 | |

| NRC FORM 366A | | | AND A REAL PROPERTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A D | U.S. NUCLEAR R | EGULATORY COMMISSIO |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------|
| • • • | | LICENSEE EVE | NT REPORT (L | ER) | Contraction Commission |
| | | TEXT CO | NTINUATION | ER) | |
| Couth Torres II. 1. 1 | FACILITY NAME (1) | | DOCKET | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) |
| South Texas, Unit 1 | | | 05000 498 | YEAR SEQUENTIAL TR | EVISION 9 OF 9 |
| • • | • | | | 97 009 | 01 |
| TEXT (If more space | ce is required use of | idditional conjust of | NPC En 2664 | | |
| | ,,,, | | able 1 | (17) | |
| | | | team Safety Valve | | |
| | | | ge 4 of 4) | 3 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 2RE0 | 4 - 10/2/95 | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | 1281.71/-0.26 | 1293.90/-0.09 | 1202 061 0 51 | | |
| TRAIN B | 1288.78/+0.29 | 1305.02/+0.77 | 1297.96/-0.54 | 1295.93/-1.45 | 1318.26/-0.51 |
| TRAIN C | 1328.38/+3.38 | 1308.08/+1.01 | 1299.96/-0.39 | 1320.26/+0.40 | 1295.90/-2.20 |
| TRAIN D | 1320.71/+2.78 | 1280.68/-1.11 | 1299.96/-0.39 1288.81/-1.24 | 1320.26/+0.40 | 1340.57/+1.17 |
| | | | | 1278.65/-2.76 | 1286.78/-2.88 |
| | | 2RE0. | 3 - 2/28/93 | | |
| | AS-POUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TOATALA | | | | | |
| TRAIN A | 1271.50/-1.05 | 1283.70/-0.87 | 1303.60/-0.11 | 1301.60/-1.02 | 1334.50/+0.72 |
| TRAIN B | 1284.80/-0.02 | 1295.90/+0.07 | 1304.00/-0.08 | 1307.60/-0.56 | 1345.20/+1.52 |
| TRAIN C TRAIN D | 1357.00/+5.60** | 1294.40/-0.05 | 1307.50/+0.19 | 1315.70/+0.05 | 1295.40/-2.23 |
| | 1281.20/-0.30 | 1287.30/-0.59 | 1297.40/-0.58 | 1302.50/-0.95 | 1321.80/-0.24 |
| ** VALVE 7430 DID N | OT ACTUATE ON 2/28/93 | AND WAS DECLARED | NOPERABLE AND HI-F | LUX SETPOINT ADJUSTED | IN ACCORDANCE WITH |
| TECHNICAL SPECIFIC | ATIONS | | | Sen Sen Ontradioned | IN ACCORDANCE WITH |
| | | 2RE02 | 2 - 9/14/91 | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-POUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TRAIN A | | | | IN TOOLON LARK | AS-POUNDI% EKK |
| TRAIN B | | | • | • | |
| TRAINC | | | * | • | * |
| TRAIN D | 1295.40/+0.81 | 1074 00/ 1 (0 | | 1321.30/+0.48 | 1320.40/-0.35 |
| THE P | 1295.40/40.01 | 1274.00/-1.62 | • | * | • |
| | | *2RE01 | l - 10/11/90 | | |
| | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR | AS-FOUND/% ERR |
| TDATH | 1000 1010 1010 | | | | |
| TRAIN A | 1255.10/-2.33 | 1296.90/+0.15 | 1298.30/-0.51 | 1309.10/-0.45 | 1293.30/-2.39 |
| TRAIN B | 1277.60/-0.58 | 1282.20/-0.99 | 1283.80/-1.62 | 1304.70/-0.78 | 1295.10/-2.26 |
| TRAINC | 1289.40/+0.34 | 1284.30/-0.83 | 1281.20/-1.82 | 1289.50/-1.94 | 1310.70/-1.08 |
| TRAIN D | 1294.70/+0.75 | 1258.60/-2.81 | 1306.80/+0.14 | 1326.30/+0.14 | 1315.60/-0.71 |