



I-MAG-70 50-443/444-α

JAN 3 1989

4/12/89
Federal Emergency Management Agency

Region X

Federal Regional Center

Bothell, Washington 98021-9796

December 19, 1988

'89 MAY 23 P3:42

MEMORANDUM FOR: Richard W. Krimm
Asst. Associate Director, SL-NT

Joseph H. Flynn
General Attorney, GC-PL

SUBJECT: Interim Evaluation and Recommendations for Seabrook

Attached are 50 copies of the documents that comprise the Region's Interim Evaluation and Recommendations for the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station. Please reference my memorandum of December 12. Please destroy all previous drafts and versions of these documents.

As I reflect over the last year and the various tasks and assignments related to the Seabrook site, I find the following dates to be of interest:

1. 12/19/87: I was called to my Regional Director's Office, and on arriving a conference call was established with FEMA Headquarters. I was asked if I could support FEMA Region I regarding Seabrook. (I then went on scheduled leave for 2 weeks.)
2. 12/22/87: Mr. Krimm, representing FEMA, stated at a public meeting that FEMA would begin in January to review the Seabrook Plan for Massachusetts Communities (SPMC).
3. 12/30/87: Mr. Vickers appointed me as the RAC Chairman for Seabrook regarding SPMC.
4. 1/7/88: First discussions with FEMA Headquarters regarding the scope of FEMA Headquarter's commitment for the Agency, and FEMA Headquarter's expectations of the Region.
5. 5/9/88: Mr. Vickers transmitted Review and Evaluation of SPMC to FEMA Headquarters (4 months and 3 days after I started review process).
6. 6/3/88: Mr. Vickers appointed me as RAC Chairman for Seabrook for all offsite plans and preparedness.
7. 6/14/88: Evaluator packets were mailed to 151 FEMA evaluators. Separate controller packets were also mailed.
8. 6/25-7/2: Managed Seabrook exercise evaluation process.

8905300420 890412
PDR ADDCK 05000443
G PDR

No!
See
Buck
making
12/12/88!
WRC
See page
14901
Rec'd +
Faxed to
Downman
on 12/28/88
WRC

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

MAG 70

9. 7/2/88: Conducted participant briefings and Public Meeting.
10. 8/2/88: Draft Exercise Report forwarded.
11. 9/1/88: Mr. Vickers forwarded final Seabrook Exercise Report to FEMA Headquarters.
12. 9/2/88: FEMA Headquarters forwarded Seabrook Exercise Report to NRC.
13. 10/11/88: Mr. Vickers forwarded Review and Evaluation (R&E) of SPMC to FEMA Headquarters.
14. 10/14/88: FEMA Headquarters forwarded R&E of the SPMC to NRC.
15. 10/18/88: Forwarded draft Review and Evaluation of Maine and New Hampshire, and draft FEMA Finding and R&E of SPMC.
16. 12/7/88: I completed final editing of Interim Evaluation and Recommendations for Seabrook Nuclear Power Plant.
17. 12/13/88: Mr. Vickers forwarded Interim Evaluation and Recommendations to FEMA Headquarters.
18. 12/14/88: FEMA Headquarters forwarded FEMA Finding and Determinations for Seabrook to NRC.

The above referenced accomplishments could not have been achieved without your trust and confidence. I want to thank you for your support, advice, counsel and recommendations over these months.

I wish you joy this Holiday and happiness in the New Year.

Richard W. Donovan

Richard W. Donovan
 RAC Chairman for the Review and
 Evaluation of the Seabrook Offsite
 Radiological Emergency Plans and
 Preparedness

Attachments as stated

cc: w/o attachments
 Craig Wingo, Chief, SL-NTH
 Margaret Lawless, SL-ONTH
 Marshall Sanders SL-ONTH
 ✓ Bill Cumming, OGC

1 MR. FLYNN: He maintained them as input to his
2 report. They fell in the same category as draft material.

3 JUDGE SMITH: Am I correct, Mr. Flynn, that these
4 were notes taken at the time of the actual events by the
5 FEMA observers of the exercise? Did you hear me, Mr. Flynn?

6 MR. FLYNN: Yes, I did, Your Honor, and my
7 response was that, yes, that is correct.

8 JUDGE SMITH: All right. Until we have an
9 opportunity to figure out what FEMA's legal responsibilities
10 are and what the discovery aspects are, is there anything we
11 could do to assure that there won't be any further
12 destruction of documents?

13 MR. FLYNN: I will take that as a directive from
14 the Board and I expect to be talking to Mr. Donovan later
15 this after. I will pass that on to him and I will make it a
16 point to pass that on to the --

17 JUDGE SMITH: Yes. I would call it a direct order
18 of the Board until we can inquire into the matter, not to
19 destroy any documents relating to the June 1988 exercise,
20 until we can sort out the legal aspects of it. I'm not
21 saying what he did was correct or incorrect; I just said we
22 don't know, but we want to stop it now until we can unsort
23 it.

24 Judge Frye came into the room and pointed out that
25 it is not a matter of concern to the Shoreham Board because