NRC FORM 366 U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (4-95)					SSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98									
		LICEN	ISEE E	VENT RE	PORT	(LER)		LEAR TO IN INFOI NUCL TO	RMA INED IDUS RMA LEAR THE	ARE INCOR TRY, FORV TION AND REGULATO PAPERWO	PER RESPONSE LECTION REQUES RPORATED INTO 1 VARD COMMENTS RECORDS MAI RECORDS MAI RK REDUCTION HUDGET, WASHIN	ST: 50.0 HR THE LICENSING REGARDING NAGEMENT NAGEMENT PROJECT	BURDEN BRANCH ON, DC (3150-0)	PORTED LESSON SS AND FED BACK ESTIMATE TO TH (T-6 F33), U. 20555-0001 AN
FACILITY N	AME (1))	NACONING CONTRACTOR	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	A Peril All In Historic Street Street	NE MOTOR SHIP COMPANY COMPANY	THE REAL PROPERTY.		DOC	KET	NUMBER (2)	Andre Santonia del Compositorio del	7	MATHRACE MICH.	PAGE (3)
Oyster Creek Unit 1							50 - 219				1	of 3			
TITLE (4)	PRESENTATION AND STREET	NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF	ROSESSE PARTIES	NY THE STREET STREET, WHILE ST	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	WANTED STREET	NOT STREET, ST	NO CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN CON		MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	HIL-MARK HATCHEST PROPERTY	THE ROOM OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		re-tonesses	
			Automa	itic Depres	surizatio	on Syste	em Inc	peral	ole I	Due	e to Ina	adequate D	esign		
EVE	EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7)					OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)									
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER		
03	30	98	98	005	0	04	29	98	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER			
OPERA	TING	N	THIS REF	PORT IS SUBM	ITTED PUR	RSUANT	TO THE	REQUI	REME	NTS	S OF 10 (CER II: (Chac	k one or m	050) (111
MODE (9)		14	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED P			20.2203(a)(2)(v)			LIVILIA		50.73(a)(2)(i)		K OHE OF H	50.73(a)(2)(viii)	
POWER LEVEL (10)		0	20.2203(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				X	50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)		
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)						-63.	50.73(a)(2)(iii)			73.71		
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2)					50.73(a)(2)(iv)			OTHER	
											50.73(a)(2)(v)				
									50.73(a)(2)(vii))(2)(vii)				
harte stretter tradication has	ladios/historilarshist	hullendra dharlandra da atautu dhadh	elli anterendes materias.	AND STREET, ST	LICENS	SEE CON	TACT FO	OR THI	SLER	(12	2)		ривитнегородиненс-Моргада	TOPOGRAPHIC STATES	NAVY PARADELPHICE SHE BRANCH SECURIOR
NAME							-			TEL	EPHONE NU	IMBER (Include Are	ea Code)		***************************************
			Mi	chael Godk	enecht							609.	971.418	9	
	MISSESSEP STRATEGY	-	COMPL	ETE ONE LINE	FOR EACH	H COMPO	NENT F	AILURI	E DES	CRI	BED IN T	HIS REPORT	(13)		UNION SCHOOL SECTION S
CAUSE	T	SYSTEM	COMPONE		URER REF	PORTABLE		CAL	-	-	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).

During an evaluation of the voltage drop to the DC operated Electromatic Relief Valves (EMRV) in the Automatic Depressurization System (ADS), it was found that only two of five EMRV solenoids could be assured of operating under Design Basis Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) conditions (assuming a loss of offsite power, a single failure and the environmental conditions of the SBLOCA). The cause of the inoperability of the ADS was inadequate design. The inadequate design was not previously recognized since voltage drop calculations had not been performed to the component level for safety-related DC equipment in all cases. This condition may have existed since original plant construction. An evaluation (currently being design verified) indicates that fuel temperatures would have remained below the 10CFR50 Appendix K limits for the entire spectrum of SBLOCAs with only 2 EMRVs operating. Modifications were performed to insure that adequate voltage is provided to the EMRV solenoids under all modes of operation and other loads were evaluated to assure that other voltage drop concerns did not exist.

X NO

EXPECTED

SUBMISSION

MONTH

DAY

YEAR

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	TORY COMMISSION	
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
	05000	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV		
Oyster Creek, Unit 1	-219	98 005 00	2 of 3	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DATE OF OCCURRENCE

This condition was identified on March 30,1998.

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE

During an evaluation of the voltage drop to the DC operated Electromatic Relief Valves (EMRVs) [EIIS-PCV] in the Automatic Depressurization System (ADS) [EIIS-JE], it was found that only two of five valves would have adequate voltage to ensure operation during Design Basis Accident (DBA) conditions. Three of five valves are required to meet the Oyster Creek Design Basis. Therefore, it has been determined that the Plant has operated outside its design basis. This condition is reportable under 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B).

CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE

The Reactor was in Cold Shutdown at the time of identification. However, this condition may have existed since original plant construction and, therefore, in all modes of operation.

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE

Based on questions from the NRC during a Safety System Engineering Inspection (SSEI), Oyster Creek Engineering investigated the required voltage for EMRV solenoid actuation under all modes of operation, and the actual voltage that would be available in each of these modes. Since no previous evaluation had been performed, this investigation included voltage drop calculations, testing of equipment, and field measurements of actual voltage drops. The end result of the evaluation was that only two of five EMRVs would have sufficient voltage for operation under Design Basis Small Break Loss of Coolant Accidents (SBLOCA) conditions. The Design Basis requires that at least three of the five EMRVs will operate for SBLOCAs.

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE

The cause of the inoperability of the ADS was inadequate design. The inadequate design was not previously recognized due to the fact that voltage drop calculations had not been performed to the component level for safety-related DC equipment in all cases. Voltage drop calculations had only been performed to the panel level. Although the component level analyses were scheduled, they had not been performed at the time of the NRC inspection.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMMISSION	
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
	05000	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV		
Ovster Creek Unit	1 -219	08 005 00	2 of 2	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The ADS is designed to rapidly depressurize the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) during SBLOCAs to allow the Low Pressure Core Spray System [EIIS-BM] to inject and maintain core temperatures below the 10CFR50 Appendix K limits. Design basis assumptions include loss of offsite power, a single failure and environmental conditions existing due to the SBLOCA. The EMRVs provide the depressurization path used by ADS, and 3 of 5 valves are required to meet Design Basis requirements. The EMRVs also provide a high pressure relief function during plant transients, including Main Turbine Trip and Main Steam Isolation Valve closure.

The voltage calculations performed indicate that only 2 of 5 valves would have been assured of having adequate voltage to operate during SBLOCA conditions. An evaluation was performed (and is currently being design verified) to determine the impact on fuel temperatures with only 2 EMRVs available during a SBLOCA, and the results indicate that fuel temperatures would have remained below the 10 CFR50 Appendix K limits for the entire spectrum of SBLOCAs. The design safety limits for RCS pressure would be maintained by mechanically actuated safety valves which are independent of EMRV operation.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

Immediate

Prior to plant start up, modifications were performed to install additional cables and utilize spare conductors, such that the voltage drop to the EMRV solenoids was reduced. These modifications insure that adequate voltage is provided to the EMRV solenoids to operate all 5 valves under all modes of operation.

Prior to plant start up, a selection of AC and DC loads were evaluated to determine if any other voltage drop concerns existed. This included identifying the DC component loads that had voltage drop calculations and those that did not. Computations were developed for a sampling of loads that appeared to be the most limiting circuits and are the highest impacts from a Probabilistic Risk Assessment viewpoint. A sampling of AC loads meeting the same criteria were also reviewed. No additional deficiencies were identified.

Long Term

Bounding calculations will be performed to establish the voltage drops to the component level for safety-related DC components.

SIMILAR EVENTS

None