

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 3 1 1989

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414 License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52 EA 89-46

Duke Power Company
ATTN: Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: ORDER IMPOSING A CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY - \$75,000

This refers to your letter dated June 16, 1989, in response to the Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalty (Notice) sent to you by our letter dated May 19, 1989, related to NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-413/88-38 and 50-414/88-38 sent to you by our letter dated March 2, 1989. Our letter and Notice described the inoperability of one train of the Containment Air Return and Hydrogen Skimmer System due to an electrical wiring error associated with the January 1988 installation of a station modification (Violation A) and the failure to report the degraded safety system for ten months after its discovery (Violation B). To emphasize the need for adequate post modification testing and prompt reporting of inoperable safety systems, the May enforcement action was issued which included a proposed civil penalty of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000) for Violation A.

The NRC has reviewed your r_sponse in which you admitted Violations A and B. You stated that you do not believe Violation A warranted escalation and requested the civil penalty be partially mitigated. In addition, you also requested that Violation B be reduced to a Severity Level IV violation.

After careful consideration of your response, we have concluded for the reasons given in the Appendix attached to the enclosed Order Imposing Civil Monetary Penalty, that there is insufficient cause to mitigate the Civil Penalty for Violation A or to reduce the severity level of Violation B. Accordingly, we hereby serve the enclosed Order on Duke Power Company imposing a civil monetary penalty in the amount of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000). We will review the effectiveness of your corrective actions during a subsequent inspection.

TEIT

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rule of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

Sincerely,

Hugh L. Thompson, Sr. Deputy Executive Director for

Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards

and Operations Support

Enclosure: Order w/Appendix

cc w/encl: T. B. Owen, Station Manager Senior Resident Inspector - Catawba State of South Carolina