In Reply Refer To: Dockets: 50-445/89-28 50-446/89-28

Mr. W. J. Cahill 1 cutive Vice President TU Electric 400 North Olive Street, Lock Box 81 Dallas, Texas 75201

Dear Mr. Cahill:

This refers to the NRC team inspection of the Post-Construction Hardware Validation Program (PCHVP) conducted by the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) and the Comanche Peak Project Division, Mr. D. P. Norkin and NRC consultants, during the period April 10 through April 21, 1989, of activities authorized by NRC Construction Permits CPPR-126 and CPPR-127 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2, and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. H. D. Bruner and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection and areas of PCHVP which were inspected by the NRC prior to that date. This inspection addressed the actual physical validations performed under the PCHVP. NRC Inspection Report 50-445/89-14; 50-446/89-14 covered TU Electric's decisions regarding use of Comanche Peak Response Team (CPRT) results and technical dispositions as the basis for not performing physical validations.

In summary, the NRC inspection team found that the physical validation portion of the PCHVP has been implemented in an acceptable manner. Reinspection scopes were consistent with CPRT recommendations. Acceptance criteria were traceable to design documents and had adequate design bases. Independent NRC inspections generally confirmed PCHVP inspection data. Technical dispositions provided adequate technical bases for not physically validating portions of attribute populations. Discrepancies identified by reinspections were appropriately handled by NCRs. Finally, the NRC inspection team found that appropriate decisions were made regarding the choice of engineering walkdowns or Quality Control inspections for PCHVP field verifications and that personnel were properly qualified and trained relative to the specific field verification methods.

CPPD: NRR 5/5/89

An DNorkin: www HLivermore / 5/5/89

IP: CPPD: NRR AD: IP: CPPD: NRR RWarnick 5/5/89

8905160076 890509 PDR ADOCK 05000445 PDC

2 MAY - 9 1989 W. J. Cahill Within the scope of the inspection, no violations or deviations were identified. In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room. Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you. Sincerely, ORIGINAL SIGNED BY R. F. WARNICK R. F. Warnick, Assistant Director for Inspection Programs Comanche Peak Project Division Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Enclosure: Inspection Report 50-445/89-28; 50-446/89-28 cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Files (50-445/446)

NRC PDR

LPDR

CPPD-LA

CPPD Reading (HQ)

ADSP Reading

*Site Reading File

*R. Warnick

*J. Wiebe

*H. Livermore

*MIS System, RIV

*RSTS Operator, RIV

RPB, RIV RIV Docket File

*L. Shea, ARM/LFMB

J. Taylor

C. Grimes

P. McKee

J. Lyons

J. Wilson

M. Malloy

J. Moore, OGC-WF

M. Fields

J. Gilliland, RIV

D. Crutchfield

E. Jordan

B. Grimes

B. Hayes

*w/766



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY - 9 1989

In Reply Refer To: Dockets: 50-445/89-28 50-446/89-28

Mr. W. J. Cahill Executive Vice President TU Electric 400 North Olive Street, Lock Box 31 Dallas, Texas 75201

Dear Mr. Cahill:

This refers to the NRC team inspection of the Post-Construction Hardware Validation Program (PCHVP) conducted by the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), Comanche Peak Project Division, Mr. D. P. Norkin and NRC consultants, during the period April 10 through April 21, 1989, of activities authorized by NRC Construction Permits CPPR-126 and CPPR-127 for the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2, and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. H. D. Bruner and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection and areas of PCHVP which were inspected by the NRC prior to that date. This inspection addressed the actual physical validations performed under the PCHVP. NRC Inspection Report 50-445/89-14; 50-446/89-14 covered TU Electric's decisions regarding use of Comanche Peak Response Team (CPRT) results and technical dispositions as the basis for not performing physical validations.

In summary, the NRC inspection team found that the physical validation portion of the PCHVP has been implemented in an acceptable manner. Reinspection scopes were consistent with CPRT recommendations. Acceptance criteria were traceable to design documents and had adequate design bases. Independent NRC inspections generally confirmed PCHVP inspection data. Technical dispositions provided adequate technical bases for not physically validating portions of attribute populations. Discrepancies identified by reinspections were appropriately handled by NCRs. Finally, the NRC inspection team found that appropriate decisions were made regarding the choice of engineering walkdowns or Quality Control inspections for PCHVP field verifications and that personnel were properly qualified and trained relative to the specific field verification methods.

-8905160076 3pp

MAY - 9 1989 or deviations

W. J. Cahill

2

Within the scope of the inspection, no violations or deviations were identified.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

RFWarniele

R. F. Warnick, Assistant Director for Inspection Programs Comanche Peak Project Division Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Inspection Report 50-445/89-28; 50-446/89-28

cc w/enclosure: See next page cc w/enclosure:
Roger D. Walker
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
TU Electric
Skyway Tower
400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, TX 75201

Juanita Ellis
President - CASE
1426 South Polk Street
Dallas, TX 75224

Susan M. Theisen
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, TX 78711-1548

GDS Associates, Inc. 1850 Parkway Place, Suite 720 Marietta, GA 30067-8237

Lanny A. Sinkin Christic Institute 1324 N. Capitol Street Washington, DC 20002

Ms. Billie Pirner Garde, Esq. Garde Law Office 104 East Wisconsin Avenue Appleton, WI 54911

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

William A. Burchette, Esq.
Counsel for Tex-La Electric
Cooperative of Texas
Heron, Burchette, Ruckert & Rothwell
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Washington, DC 20007

TU Electric c/o Bethesda Licensing 3 Metro Center, Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

E. F. Ottney
P. O. Box 1777
Glen Rose, Texas 76043

Joseph F. Fulbright Fulbright & Jaworski 1301 McKinney Street Houston, Texas 77010

George A. Parker, Chairman Public Utility Committee Senior Citizens Alliance of Tarrant County, Inc. 6048 Wonder Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76133