



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION III  
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD  
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

APR 27 1989

Docket No. 50-440  
EA 89-091

Cleveland Electric  
Illuminating Company  
ATTN: Mr. Alvin Kaplan  
Vice President  
Nuclear Group  
10 Center Road  
Perry, OH 44081

Gentlemen:

This confirms our plans as discussed on April 19, 1989, between Mr. B. Drouin of this office and Mr. G. Dunn of your staff to conduct an Enforcement Conference from 1 P.M.- 3:30 P.M. (CDT) on May 11, 1989 at the Region III Office in Glen Ellyn, Illinois. The purpose of the Enforcement Conference is to discuss the apparent violation documented in Inspection Report No. 50-440/89011(DRS) concerning inadequate corrective action for a previous environmental qualification (EQ) violation.

At the Enforcement Conference, you should be prepared to discuss the topics listed in the enclosure to this letter. We request you provide a handout at the Enforcement Conference that succinctly describes your position on the discussion topics.

We will gladly discuss any questions you may have regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Edward G. Greenman, Director  
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: As stated

See Attached Distribution

TE45  
||

8905050299 890428  
PDR ADOCK 05000440  
Q PDC

APR 27 1989

Distribution

cc w/enclosure:

F. R. Stead, Director, Nuclear  
Support Department  
M. D. Lyster, General Manager,  
Perry Plant Operations Department  
R. A. Newkirk, Manager,  
Licensing and Compliance Section  
S. S. Kensicki, Director, Perry  
Plant Technical Department

DCD/DCB (RIDS)

Licensing Fee Management Branch  
Resident Inspector, RIII  
Harold W. Kohn, Ohio EPA  
Terry J. Lodge, Esq.  
James W. Harris, State of Ohio  
Roger Suppes, Ohio  
Department of Health  
State of Ohio, Public  
Utilities Commission

## Enclosure

### Enforcement Conference Discussion Topics

1. What were the specific corrective action steps taken as a result of the 1987 NRC EQ inspection?
  - Were walkdowns performed? 100% or samples?
  - Were EQ files reviewed? If so, what was the criteria?
  - Were vendors contacted?
  - Were EQ procedures and programmatic controls reviewed?
  - What were the instructions to individuals who implemented corrective actions?
  - Did individuals who implemented corrective actions receive training? If so, describe training.
2. What was the basis for acceptability of these corrective actions in those instances where physical inspections were not performed?
  - Confidence level in previous programs?
  - Past experience?
  - Design documents?
  - Training?
  - QA/QC inspections or audits?
3. What corrective actions are being implemented to resolve Limitorque terminal block issue, Target Rock hardware issue, and terminal box moisture intrusion issue?
4. What is the current EQ organization at Perry?
  - Functional responsibilities?
  - Interaction with other site organizations (maintenance, operations, QA/QC, training, etc.)?
5. What EQ program controls currently exist at Perry?
  - Scope definition?
  - Modifications?
  - Maintenance?

- QC audits?
  - Training?
6. What corrective actions will be taken prior to start-up, to address the quality of installed equipment from an EQ perspective (i.e., plans to inspect/audit installed EQ equipment in light of discrepancies found)?