PROPOSED RULE PR 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 72, 150 (54 FR 30049)



August 9, 1989

'89 AUG 11 P2:46

DALMETER

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Secretary Washington D.C. 20555

DOCKE BEANLY

Attention: Docketing & Service Branch

Ref: RIN 3150-AD21 Proposed rule - Preserving the free flow of information to the Commission.

It is my opinion that the proposed rule is unnecessary because it is redundant. Existing laws and regulations already provide for the free flow of information to government agencies.

The way to solve this problem is not to bury it in paper.

The idea that it will "not impose any substantial costs on licensees or license applicants" is simply not true. Every piece of paper we are required to prepare, file, update, sign or read adds to the cost of doing business.

I see no evidence in the proposal document that indicates any improvement in the safety of individuals is to be gained by a series of documents which are, in effect, promises to obey the regulation.

If the Commission feels that existing laws and regulations do not adequately cover the issue, a simple performance based regulation might be in order.

I suggest the following opening language for paragraph 30.7(g)(1).

No licensee, contractor, or sub contractor of a licensee shall impose, etc.

Paragraph (g)(2) then becomes superfluous, as does all the paperwork.

An analogy which comes to mind is that of the stop sign. The rules say I must stop at a stop sign, but I am not required to promise in writing that I will do so, nor am I required to get written agreements from my spouse, my children and my mother-in-law that they, too, will stop.

8908180189 890809 PDR PR 30 54FR30049 PDR

P 510

Each of us, whether receiving a drivers license or a nuclear materials license accepts the rules that apply. There is no need for the additional paperwork.

Very truly yours,

Paul E. Sieck

V.P. Manufacturing

PES:ss

. . . .