

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY AUG 1 5 1989

'89 AUG 15 P2:58

- . M: 11

DOCKET BRAN

Mr. Thomas J. Saborito, Jr. 1202 Sicux Street Jupiter, Florida 33458

SERVED AUG 1 5 1989

Dear Mr. Saporito:

Subject: Partial Director's Decision Regarding Turkey Point Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251 (2.206)

On December 21, 1988 and by supplemental letters dated January 13 and 30, February 7 and April 25 and 26, 1989, you requested NRC to take specific actions regarding the Turkey Point facility. On July 12, 1989, the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation issued a "Partial Director's Decision (DD-89-05) denying your request. On July 16, 1989, you filed a document with the Executive Director for Operations entitled "Answer to Partial Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.205", in which you requested that the document be referred to me for the Commission's review.

NRC regulations provide that, within 25 days after the date of the Director's Decision, the Commission may on its own motion review that decision, in whole or in part, to determine if the Director has abused his discretion. Review is at the discretion of the Commission; no individual has the right to such a review. No petition or other request for Commission review of a Director's Decision under this section will be entertained by the Commission (See 10 CFR 2.206(c)). Therefore, to the extent that you request that the Commission undertake a formal review of DD-89-05. I deny this request in accordance with my authority under 10 CFR 2.772.

The Commission has considered your concerns and the Partial Director's Decision, and has determined not to undertake a formal review of the Partial Director's Decision in this matter. Accordingly, the decision became final agency action on August 7, 1989.

In your answer to the Partial Initial Director's Decision, and other letters to the NRC, you request a meeting with the NRC Commissioners. The Commission does not see a need for such a meeting.

8908170126 890815 PDR ADOCK 05000250 G PDR

7502

Mr. Thomas J. Saporito

- 2 - AUG 1 5 1989

Your answer to the Partial Director's Decision has been referred to the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to consider whether your submittal presents any new information as a basis for your request that the NRC take specific actions regarding the Turkey Point facility. Additionally, your allegation of collusion between the NRC and the licensee has been forwarded to the NRC Inspector General.

sincerely Samuel J. Chilk

Secretary of the Commission

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

											1								-	80 · 14		-	 •	
	In		th	e	M	ä	t	t	Ð	r	1.8	1											1	
100	- 1.	01	RI	D	4	e	0	W	E	R	2		L	2-4	Gł	HT	1	00	MI	PA	N	Y	1 1	
					y S								c	1	ei	a r		St	8	ti	01	n,	1 1 1	
																							1	

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

(2.206 Request) (50-89-05)

CEBTIFICATE_DF_SEBVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the notification of expiration of Commission review of DD-89-05 dated August 15, 1989, have been served upon the following persons in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Section 2.712.

Mr. Thomas J. Saporito, Jr. 1202 Sicux Street Jupiter, Florida 33458

Harold F. Reis, Equire Newman and Holtzinger, P.C. 1615 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Suite 2900 101 Marietta Street Atlanta, Beorgia 30323

Jack R. Foldberg, Esquire Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Office of the Secretary

of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 15th day of August, 1989