	. '						-										-
NRC For	n 36A												U.S. N	APPROVE			
	•						LIC	ENSE	E EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)		FXPIRES		10. 3150-0	
FACILITY	NAME (1)											DOCKET NUMBER	(2)		PA	GE (3)
					Station								0 5 0 0		-		0 16
ele	s LEI ctrie	R rep cal e	orts quipt	a c ment eref	onditio requir	on pr red f nside	ohi or red	Unit inot	d by 1 1 ope perabl	lechni eratio le.	cal S n wer	pecificat e found n	tions in not to be	that c envir	onme	in ntall	y
EV	ENT DAT	E (5)		LE	R NUMBER				PORT DAT			OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	LVED (8)			
MONTH	DAY	RA3Y	YEAR		NUMBER	REV	MBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA	MES	DOCKET			
										, †				0 15	010	01	
0 3	1 4	8 9	-	and mounths	0 1 9	0	0	0 3	14	89				1 1 1	0 1 0	101	11
	RATING	*				D PURSU	ANTI		Northeast and a state to	NTS OF 10	CFR §: /	T	of the following) (1	T			
				0.402(b) 0.436(a)			-	20.405(50.36(c			-	50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v)			71(b) 71(c)		
POWE LEVE (10)		1 01 0	persona !	0.405(a)			-	50.36(c			-	50.73(a)(2)(vii)				city in Ab	stract
1107		1		0.406(a)			X	50.73(#			-	50.73(a)(2)(viii)((A)	beig 366		Text, NR	C Form
			2	0.405(a)	(1)(iv)		ž	60.751	1(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)((8)				
			20	0.405(#)	(1)(v)			50.73()(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)					
							L	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)						
NAME										~		i	AREA CODE	TELEPHON	NE NUMB	ER	
С.	R. Et	ndris	is, Re	egul								Station	2 1 5	3 1 2 1	7 1 -	1 2	10 1 0
					COMFLETE	ONE LINE	EFOR	EACH CO	OMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	RT (13)				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	ONENT		ANUFAC- TURER	REPORT TO NPP				CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	REPORT TO NP			
			11	-								111	· · · · ·				
		1	1.1		1.1								1 1 1 1				
	L				SUPPLEME	INTAL RE	PORT	EXPECT	ED (14)		L		EXPECT	ED	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
									_				SUBMISS DATE (1	ION			
					ISSION DATE			2	K NO				1				
ABS / PA	ut tumit	10 1400 1	GARC#1, 1.#.,	*pproxi	melery mileen	arrigre aper	a type										
	A	bstr	act:		L	ER 8	9-	019									
	e cti ac cti cti cti no cti cti no cti cti no cti cti cti cti cti cti cti cti cti cti	utage lect omparing on personne of some on se of some of som	e, trica rica st m gura rfor quen quip ccur eale rica action n ph ain icat eted e.	Jnit al e ents ndui nois atic men dui enve due enve ion b th pr	t l st equipm s migh t ent sture on, th their s of a nt cou and th equipm mater The c psophy vironm 5977 ne ele	atio ent ries intr e el inte pos the ent ausea enta enta ctri o Un	n lo lo us econd tu avit or was to co t co t co t	pers cate perf ere ion. tric. ed s late e the the the f th full full equal l s	onnel orm t not m As al eq afety d HEI ndere n whi e saf t nee envi is co not m ifica envir	wer High cheir prope a re quipm y fun LB, s ed th the the the the the the the the the t	e in Ene saf rly sult ent ctio ince em i he e func the ent in re co in a ntal	formed f rgy Line ety fund sealed of of this could no moistur noperable lectrica tion of ere was as a res was a le onduit s all HELE seals a estion,	l in a that cer Break(ction be or prote unqual of be re d o mit the aff no rele sult of ess cons sealing a reas. and low and wil rent ref	tain HELB cause cted ified igate sion ELB o ment ected ase o this ervat to point be) e upo e th int did was d of tive	n e o	
NRC For	m 366	-	PDI	R	ADOCK	0500	003	152	-			11					
(9.83)			0				PD	~									

NRC Form 386 (9-83)

...

UICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT ((L	ER	1) 1	TE)	кт	cc	NT	111	JA	rio	N		U.S.	AP	LEAR REC PROVED O PIRES 8/3	ME NO. 3		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DO	OCK	ET	NUN	ABER	R (2)				T		LE	RNU	MBER (6	1		P	AGE (3)
Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1										Y	RA		SEQU	JENTIAL		NUMBER			
	0	1	5	0	0	10	13	315	12	8	19		0	119	-	010	012	OF	0

Background

The Limerick Generating Station (LGS) Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), Section 3.11, "Environmental Design of Mechanical and Electrical Equipment," states that the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 "Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment Important to Safety for Nuclear Power Plants," shall be met such that all affected safety related electrical equipment must capable of performing its safety function and/or remain in. A afe mode under all conditions postulated to occur during its installed life.

The Unit 1 environmental qualification (EQ) program includes all the systems and equipment located in a potentially harsh environment which is required to mitigate the consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) and a High Energy Line Break (HELB).

The EQ requirements of electrical equipment are determined by performing a review of qualification tests and analyses which were conducted using methodologies which conform to NRC requirements.

As part of the start-up activities for Unit 2 of LGS (currently under construction), an engineering review of the overall implementation of the Unit 2 EQ program was conducted. To assure that program enhancements had been implemented, detailed walkdowns of Unit 2 were performed in accordance with procedures. During these walkdowns (performed in December 1988), Philadelphia Electric Company (PECo) identified that certain safety related electrical equipment (temperature elements, limit switches, solenoid valves, and pressure switches) lacked conduit seals or conduit low point drains, which were required to support the EQ of the equipment. The NRC was notified on March 16, 1989, of the condition in Unit 2 in accordance with the requirements of lOCFR 50.55(e).

As a result of these deficiencies discovered in Unit 2, we recognized that similar conditions may exist in Unit 1, since the Unit 2 EQ requirements were developed directly from the Unit 1 EQ program.

In December 1988, PECo requested Architect/Engineer (A/E) personnel to perform a review of seal requirements for selected electrical equipment in the Unit 1 Reactor Building. As a result of the review, A/E personnel confirmed that seals were required for certain Unit 1 electrical equipment. In order to verify that the seals were in place, EQ walkdowns for Unit 1 reactor building were initiated on February 14, 1989. The walkdown results revealed that the seals were not installed.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

P	PI	FIC.	2.4	(E	D	0	MB	NO.	31	50	-01
×	p	A	E	S.	8	13	1/85				

FACILITY NA	ME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LE	R NUMBE	PAGE	(3)		
Limerick Generating Station, Unit l			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL			NUMBER		T
		0 5 0 0 0 3 52	8 9	-	0 1	9 -	- 010	0 3 0	016
TEXT (# more a	spece is required, use edditional NRC Form 366A'si (17)	ann fe na militer e dana a garan dan madama dan anita an		-	A			Auronaulus resultants a	
	Plant Conditions Prior to	the Event:							
	Operating Mode: *	Handling irradia secondary contai	A gale to be an order to be determined in the second of	internation in the	el ir	1			
	Reactor Power: 09	(Defueled)							

Description of the Event:

NRC Form 366A

(9-83)

On March 15, 1989, at 1030 hours, with Unit 1 in a refueling outage, Unit 1 station personnel were informed that certain electrical equipment located in High Energy Line Break (HELB) compartments might not perform their safety function because their conduit (EIIS:CN) entries were not properly sealed (EIIS:SEAL) or protected against moisture intrusion. During the Unit 1/Unit 2 comparison walkdown of the Unit 1 reactor building electrical equipment which began on February 14, 1989, while Unit 1 was shutdown for a refueling outage, walkdown personnel discovered that 107 temperature elements, 43 limit switches, 31 solenoid valves, and 31 pressure switches had not been installed in compliance with EQ requirements. The above electrical equipment is associated with the steam leak detection system, back pressure (steam-flooding) dampers, and the outboard Main Steam Isolation Valves (MSIVs). This equipment is required to function post-HELB to mitigate the HELB consequences. The temperature elements and the MSIV limit switches are required to be operable per Technical Specifications (TS) 3.3.2, "Isolation Actuation Instrumentation," and 3.3.1, "Reactor Protection System (RPS) Instrumentation," respectively. Since this equipment could not be relied upon to perform its intended safety function due to EQ inadequacies, we consider this equipment to have been inoperable. We have concluded that these conditions have existed since October 26, 1984, the date of issuance of the Unit 1 low power operating license.

This condition was recognized as reportable and a four hour notification was made at 1030 hours on March 15, 1989, in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(i), since the unanalyzed configuration of the electrical equipment could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety functions needed to mitigate the consequences of a postulated HELB. This LER is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) since the electrical equipment in question could not be relied upon to perform its intended safety function to mitigate the consequences of an accident, and with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) since the applicable action required by TS sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 were not taken within the specified time period.

NRC Form 366A (9-83) FACILITY NAME (1)	LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (L	LER)	TE)	ст с	CON	ITIN	UA	тю	N		U.	AP	PROVED C	MB NO.		
PACILITY NAME (1)		DOC	KET NU	MLER	(2)			T	the lot in surge	LE	RNU	MBER (6)		,	AGE	3)
Limerick Ge	nerating Station, Unit 1							YI	EAR		SEQU	JENTIAL	- [REVISION			
			5 0	10	101	31	512	8	19	_	0 1	110		010	014	OF	~ 1

TEX": (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Consequences of the Event:

A HELB did not occur during the time in which the electrical equipment was not sealed, and therefore the affected electrical equipment was not called upon to perform its intended safety function in a harsh environment. There was no release of radioactive material to the environment as a result of this condition.

If a HELB had occurred during reactor operation, moisture intrusion into the backpressure damper devices (solenoid valves, pressure switches, and limit switches) might have prevented closure of the dampers. This could have allowed steam to enter areas of the reactor building not analyzed for a high pressure and temperature environment. The backpressure dampers in question serve the following areas of the reactor building:

- . Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC), El. 201'
- . Safeguard System Room, El. 217'
- . Main Steam and Feedwater Pipe Chase, El. 253' and 283'
- . Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) Recirculation Pump, El. 283'
- . RWCU Non-Regenerative Heat Exchanger, El. 283'
- . RWCU Renerative Heat Exchanger, El. 283'
- . Steam Vent Tunnel, El. 283'

Failure of the leak detection system temperature elements could have precluded timely steam isolation for small steam line breaks up to the point where the flow sensing elements located in the system piping would initiate automatic system isolation valve closure upon a high flow situation. The temperature elements in question are located in the following areas:

- . High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) Room
- . RCIC Room
- . RWCU Room
- . Along the Main Steam Lines
- . Safeguard System Room, El. 217'

NRC Form 366A (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONT	INUATION		ULATORY COMMISSION MB NO. 3150-0104 1/85
FACILITY NAME (1)	and a second	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUN	ABER (6)	PAGE (3)
Limerick Ge	nerating Station, Unit 1			ENTIAL REVISION	
		0 5 0 0 0 3 5	12819-01	119 - 010	015 OF 0 6

TEXT (If more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 306A's) (17)

Each of the four outboard MSIVs have 3 limit switches. Two of the limit switches function to indicate open or closed valve position. The third limit switch provides a trip signal to the RPS. Failure of an outboard MSIV limit switch which provides a trip signal to RPS, would have initiated an RPS half scram. Had all four limit switches to RPS been affected by moisture intrusion, the reactor would have tripped as designed. Failure of any of the eight limit switches used for outboard MSIV position indication, would have caused no adverse effects on the plant.

Although a detailed analysis of the consequences of a HELB event has not been performed, we believe that such an analysis would yield results indicating that dilution of the HELB effect (due to distance from the source, compartment volume, etc.) would result in findings that much of the safety-related equipment located in the affected areas would not have experienced environments exceeding their environmental qualification (i.e., ability to perform its safety-related function).

Cause of the Event:

The cause of this condition was a less conservative design philosophy that did not require conduit sealing to maintain environmental qualification in all HELB areas.

Prior to the issuance of NRC Information Notice No. 84-57, "Operating Experience Related to Moisture Intrusion in Safety-Related Electrical Equipment at Commercial Power Plants," the focus of conduit sealing was limited to those areas of the plant whose relative humidity was 100% during normal operation. Also, the focus of sealing conduits to maintain environmental qualification, at that time, was directed to equipment located inside primarv containment. The only other area other than the primary containment which required environmental conduit seals was the Emergency Service Water (ESW)/Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pipe tunnel due to high humidity. NRC issued Information Notice 84-57 in August of 1984. At that time we did not consider, when reviewing test reports, that conduit seals were required to maintain equipment qualification in other HELB areas.

NRC 50103 366A (C8-0)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONTIN	UATIO	N	U.S.	APP	ROVED O	MB NO. 3			SION
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	LE	R NUMBER (6)			P	AGE (3)	
Limerick Co	nerating Station, Unit 1		YUAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION			-	i yake mana di
Dimerick Ge	neracing beacion, onic i	0 15 10 10 10 1 3 15 1 3	819	_	0 1 1 1 9		0.10	0.16	OF	0	16

TEXT IN more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 395A's) (17)

Corrective Actions:

Modification 5977 adds the environmental seals and low point drains to the Unit 1 safety related electrical equipment in question, in order that the equipment will detect and mitigate the affects of a HELB. This modification will be completed prior to Unit 1 start-up from the current refueling outage.

Actions Taken to Prevent Recurrence:

EQ documentation review procedures have been revised to reflect a more conservative design philosophy, including a more detailed evaluation of the electrical equipment EQ test configuration. Additionally, the scope of the Unit 1 EQ walkdown was expanded to give full confidence that Unit 1 electrical equipment, which is required to be environmentally qualified, was installed properly (i.e. in accordance with the more conservative design philosophy). If in the course of these EQ walkdowns a condition is discovered that is not in conformance with EQ criteria, it will be corrected and reported in a supplement to this LER.

Previous Similar Occurrences:

LER 89-017 Tracking codes: A99, Other Personnel Errors

10 CFR 50.73

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

LIMERICK GENERATING STATION P. O. BOX A SANATOGA, PENNEYLVANIA 19464

April 14 , 1989

Docket No. 50-352 License No. NPF-39

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> SUBJECT: Licensee Event Report Limerick Generating Station - Unit 1

This LER reports a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications in that certain electrical equipment required for Unit 1 operation were found not to be environmentally qualified and therefore considered inoperable. Accordingly, this equipment would not have been available to mitigate the consequences of an accident and the proper Technical Specification action was not taken within the allowed period.

Reference:	Docket No. 50-352
Report Number:	89-019
Revision Number:	00
Event Date:	March 14, 1989
Report Date:	April 14, 1989
Facility:	Limerick Generating Station
	P.O. Box A. Sanatoga, PA 19464

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) and 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Mery truly yours, M. J. McCormick, Jr.

Plant Manager

DMS:sc

. . . .

cc: W. T. Russell, Administrator, Region I, USNRC T. J. Kenny, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, LGS 1