SEP 1 1 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director

for Licensing, DL

FROM:

L. S. Rubenstein, Assistant Director for Core and Plant Systems, DSI

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTION OF SEABROOK INTEGRATED DESIGN INSPECTION (IDI) ISSUES (FINDINGS 6-17 AND 6-30) TAC NO. 57134

In accordance with a memorandum from Brian Grimes to Frank Miraglia, on the above subject, the Power Systems Branch has reviewed IDI finding 6-17, circuit breaker fault current qualification and 6-30, conduit marking, which fall in PSB area of review responsibility. We conclude that non-Class 1E circuit breaker fault current qualification is not necessary (6-17) and the present identification of conduit marking (6-30) is acceptable. Our detailed evaluation of these items is enclosed. This completes our action on TAC No. 57134.

> Original signed by L. S. Rubenstein

L. S. Rubenstein, Assistant Director for Core and Plant Systems Division of Systems Integration

Enclosure: Evaluation

cc: M. Srinivasan

G. Knighton

V. Nerses

J. Knight

A. Ungaro

O. Chopra

Contact: O. Chopra x28528

DISTRIBUTION: Central Files PSB RF L. Rubenstein

												 -	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF T
OFC	: P:	SB ICPC		PS	BO& K	7.	N. SB			ADZCPS		 	:	
NAME	:OChop	ra:bl	-:	JKni	ght	:MSr	inivas	san	: L?	Rubenglei	n :	 	:	
DATE	: 9/6	/85	: !	9/6/	85	: 9	1/10/8	5	:	9/11/85	:			

Integrated Design Inspection Finding 6-17

At Seabrook all BOP nonsafety-related circuits are designed as associated circuits. These associated circuits are subject to all the requirements placed on Class 1E circuits (such as cable derating, environmental qualification, flame retardancy, splicing restrictions, and raceway fill). The basis for associated circuit acceptability at Seabrook is directly dependent upon fault current interruption by circuit breakers to prevent harmful effects to the Class 1E circuits. However, these breakers have not been tested for fault current interruption capability during a seismic event. In the Seabrook SER, it is stated that in the staff's judgement these protective devices will maintain their structural integrity and perform their function (power removal) when subjected to a seismic event and failure of a load. NRR was requested by IE to confirm whether this SER statement applies to circuit breakers in associated circuits, such as E22 and BQ.

The SER statement applies to circuit breakers E22 and BQ used in associated circuits. This statement was based on the fact that these circuit breakers are identical to the qualified Class 1E breakers. The applicant has stated that although no specific test was performed on these Class 1E breakers to confirm the fault current interruption capability of E22 and BQ circuit breakers during or after a seismic event, these breakers have been demonstrated to maintain their integrity during a seismic event and were subjected to operational tests which included an overload test, a dielectric test and opening and closing of the breakers. Furthermore, the tested breakers were dissected and examined for integrity; no abnormalities were observed. Based on the above and the prototype test performed on such breakers for fault interrupting capability, the staff believes that these breakers will function under fault current during a seismic event. Although non-Class 1E breakers are not qualified as Class 1E,

they are typically designed and manufactured the same as the qualified Class 1E Equipment. The difference is in the formal documentation of quality assurance and qualification of the equipment and in some cases the site related bracing of the equipment. Since the Class 1E breakers maintain their integrity for seismic events, there is reasonable assurance that they will function under fault current during a seismic event, and that the non-Class IE breakers of like design can also be expected to maintain integrity and perform this function. For this reason, the staff concludes there is no need for seismic qualification of non-Class 1E E22 and BQ breakers under fault conditions. Our conclusion is also based on the fact that it is evident from numerous earthquake experiences in operating industrial facilities in highly seismic areas where circuits or loads (equivalent to non-1E design) did not fail and cause fires nor are the circuit breakers protecting those circuits malfunctioned. In actual experience, a wide variety of manufacturers and types of electrical equipment exhibit inherent capability to withstand the event. Therefore, we conclude that it is not essential to require seismic qualification of non-1E equipment to reduce the potential for secondary effects in the very unlikely event of its failure during a low probability design basis event, i.e. an earthquake.

Integrated Design Inspection Finding 6-30 Conduit Marking

In the Seabrook design, the Class IE conduits are not marked to identify the separation group at intervals not to exceed 15 feet in accordance with IEEE Std. 384, and Regulatory Guide 1.75. The Seabrook FSAR takes exception to this requirement. NRR was requested by IE to review this exception.

In the Seabrook design, the Class IE conduit markings are provided at conduit ends, both sides of the walls, floors and in-line boxes to ensure cables of a different separation group are not pulled into a conduit. In addition, QC inspections are performed to assure that cables are routed in conduits of correct separation group. Based on our review of the information provided by

the applicant, the staff concludes that the intent of the conduit identification is to insure that cable is routed into the correct conduit. The method of identification discussed above coupled with QC inspection performed after the installation provides assurance that cables are routed into the correct conduit. In addition the method of identification precludes the need to consult any reference material to distinguish between redundant Class 1E raceway systems. The staff, therefore, finds this exception to the FSAR revision acceptable.

Docket No.: 50-443

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Vincent S. Noonan, Director, PWR Project Directorate No. 5

Division of PWR Licensing-A

FROM:

Victor Nerses, Project Manager, PWR Project Directorate No. 5

Division of PWR Licensing-A

SUB-JECT:

SEABROOK ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF ELECTRICAL

EQUIPMENT SITE AUDIT *

DATE & TIME:

Tuesday, February 25 through Thursday, February 27, 1986 Tuesday: 8:00 am to a time to complete the daily list Wednesday: 8:00 am to a time to complete the daily list Thursday: 8:00 am to a time to complete the daily list (Thursday at 3:00 pm an Exit meeting will be held in the

Seabrook Information and Education (Center)

LOCATION:

Seabrook Site

Seabrook, New Hampshire

PURPOSE:

To review test procedures and results for selected equipment, examine configuration and mounting and determine whether compliance with established criteria has been demonstrated.

PARTICIPANTS:

NRC

PSNH

H. Walker

W. Cloutier

D. M. Beahm

C. Grieman, et al.

M. Trojovsky

V. Nerses, et al.

lictor Nerses, Project Manager PWR Project Directorate No. 5 Division of PWR Licensing-A

cc: See next page

Note: The NRC exit meeting is open to interested members of the public to attend as observers. Members of the public who wish to attend this meeting must contact V. Nerses (301) 492-8535 no later than 12:30 pm February 24, 1986. (The NRC generally does not have access authority to allow members of the public to attend the site audit portion of the meeting. This authority resides with PSNH who has responsibility to maintain physical security ad proprietary information. Therefore, admission of the public to the Seabrook construction site is at the discretion of PSNH. For further information about access to the site tour, contact the site representative, Mr. Sanchez, at (603) 474-9521, Extension 2453).

PWR7

B603030124 B60214 PDR ADOCK 05000443

₩r. Robert J. Harrison Public Service Company of New Hampshire Seabrrok Nuclear Power Station

Thomas Dignan, Esq. John A. Ritscher, Esq. Ropes and Grav 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Mr. Bruce Beckley, Project Manager Public Service Company of New Hampshire Post Office Box 330 Manchester, New Hampshire 03105

Dr. Murray Tye, President Sun Valley Association 209 Summer Street Haverhill, Massachusetts 08139

Robert A. Backus, Esq. O'Neil, Backus and Spielman 116 Lowell Street Manchester, New Hampshire 03105

Mr. Přílip Ahrens, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State House, Station #6 Augusta, Maine 04333

Mr. Warren Hall Fublic Service Company of New Hampshire Post Office Box 300 Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Seacnast Anti-Pollution League Ms. Jane Doughty 5 Market Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Ms. Diana P. Randall 70 Collins Street Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Richard Hampe, Esq. New Hampshire Civil Defense Agency 107 Pleasant Street Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 E. Tupper Kinder, Esq. G. Dana Bisbee, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of Attorney General 208 State House Annex Concord New Hampshire

Resident Inspector Seabrook Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Post Office Box 700 Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Mr. John DeVincentis, Director Engineering and Licensino Yankee Atomic Electric Company 1671 Worchester Road Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

Mr. A.M. Ebner, Project Manager United Engineers & Constructors 30 South 17th Street Post Office Box 8223 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

William S. Jordan, III Diane Curran Harmon, Weiss & Jordan 20001 S. street, Nk! Suite 430 Washington, D.C. 20009

Jo Ann Shotwell, Esq. Office of the Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place Boston, Massachusetts 02108

D. Pierre G. Cameron, Jr., Esq. General Counsel Public Service Company of New Hampshire Post Office Box 330 Manchester, New Hampshire 03105 Mr. Calvin A. Canney, City Manager City Hall 126 Daniel Street Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Ms. Letty Hett Town of Brentwood RFD Dalton Road Brentwood, New Hampshire

Ms. Roberta C. Pevear Town of Hampton Falls, New Hampshire Drinkwater Road Hampton Falls, New Hampshire 03844

Ms. Sandra Gavutis Town of Kensington, New Hampshire RDF 1 East Kingston, New Hampshire 03827

Ms. Anne Verga Chairman, Board of Selectmen Town Hall South Hampton, New Hampshire 03827

Mr. Angie Machiros, Chairman Board of Selectmen for the Town of Newbury Newbury, Massachusetts 01950

Ms. Rosemary Cashran, Chairman Roard of Selectmen Town of Amesbury Town Hall Amesbury, Massachusetts 01913

Honorable Richard E. Sullivan Mayor, City of Newburyport Office of the Mayor City Hall Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950

Mr. Donald E. Chick, Town Manager Town of Exeter 10 Front Street Exeter, New Hampshire 03823

Mr. William B. Derrickson Senior Vice President Public Service Company of New Hampshire Post Office Box 700, Route 1 Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874 Mr. Alfred V. Sargent, Chairman Board of Selectmen Town of Salisbury, MA 01950

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey ATTN: Tom Burack U. S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Mr. Owen B. Durgin, Chairman Durham Board of Selectmen Town of Durham Durham, New Hampshire 03824

Charles Cross, Esq. Shaines, Mardrigan and McEaschern 25 Maplewood Avenue Post Office Box 366 Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Mr. Guy Chichester, Chairman Rye Nuclear Intervention Committee c/o Rve Town Hall 10 Central Road Rye, New Hampshire 03870

Jane Spector Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Room 8105 Washington, D.C. 20426

Mr. R. Sweeney New Hamphire Yankee Division Public Service Company of New Hampshire 7910 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, Maryland 20814

MEETING NOTICE DISTRIBUTION

Docket or Central File 50-443 NRC PDR Local PDR PD#5 Reading File ORAS H. Denton Project Manager V. Nerses OELD E. Jordan B. Grimes J. Partlow (Emergency Preparedness only) Receptionist (Bldo. where meeting is being held) ACRS (10) OPA N. Olson Resident Inspector Regional Administrator M. Rushbrook

. . . .

NRC Participants

H. Walker
D. M. Beahm
M. Trojovsky
V. Nerses, et al.

cc: Licensee/applicant & Service List