| NRC Form (9-83) | 366 | | | | | | | LIC | ENSE | E EVI | ENT | EPC | ORT (| LER) | U.S. NI | JCLEAR REGULAT APPROVED OMB EXPIRES: 8/31/88 | ORY COMMISSION NO. 3150-0104 | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| FACILITY | DOMESTIC BOOK | DEPTH HUNDER | | - | | | | | - | | | | | [0 | OCKET NUMBER | (2) | PAGE (3) | |
| | | | | | | on Power | | | | | | | | | 0 5 0 0 | 10141611 | 1 OF 0 1 | |
| TITLE (4) | Fa | ilı | ire | to | Ac | curately . | Ass | sess t | the I | mpact | the | t a | n Ex | isting Sy | stem Lin | eup Had or | n a Local | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ment Integ | | |
| EVE | NT DA | ATE (S | 5) | | | LER NUMBER | | | | PORT DA | | T | | | FACILITIES INVO | | | |
| MONTH | DAY | 1 | YEAR | YEA | R | SEQUENTIAL | | REVISION NUMBER | MONTH | TH DAY YEAR | | | FACILITY NAMES | | ES | DOCKET NUMBER(S) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | NONE | | 0 15 10 10 | 10111 | |
| 0 3 | 0] | 4 8 | 3 9 | 8 | 9 | 0 1 4 | | 00 | 0 4 | 0 6 | 8 9 | | | | | 0 5 0 0 | 10111 | |
| OPE | RATIN | iG. | | THIS | REP | ORT IS SUBMITTE | D PU | RSUANT | TO THE R | EQUIREN | MENTS O | 10 CF | R & 10 | hack one or more o | f the following) (1 | 1) | | |
| MC | DE 19 |) | 5 | 20.402(b) | | | | 20.405 | (c) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | | 73.71(b) | | | | |
| POWER | | | | 20.406(a)(1)(i) | | | | 50.36(c)(1) | | | | 50,73(a)(2)(v) | | 73.71(c) | | | | |
| (10) | | 01010 | | 20.405(a)(1)(H) | | | 50.36(c)(2) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form | | | | | |
| | | | | | 20.4 | 05(a)(1)(iii) | | X | 50.73(a |)(2)(i) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A | () | 366A) | n real, was rum | |
| | | | | | 20.4 | 05(a)(1)(iv) | | | 50.73(a |)(2)(ii) | | | | 50,73(a)(2)(viii)(B | 1) | | | |
| | | | | | 20.4 | 06(a)(1)(v) | | | 50.73(a |)(2)(iii) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | L | ICENSEE | CONTAC | T FOR T | HIS LE | R (12) | | | | 4-1 | |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TELEPHONE NUM | BER | |
| D. R | . M | orr | is, | Di | rec | tor - Pl | lan | t Ope | ratio | ons, | Exte | nsic | on 32 | 205 | AREA CODE | 913151- | 1010101 | |
| | | | | | | COMPLETE | ONE | LINE FOR | EACH CO | OMPONE | T FAIL | RE DE | SCRIBED | IN THIS REPOR | | 1712121- | 1010101 | |
| CAUSE | SYSTI | EM | COMPO | DNENT | | MANUFAC TURER | | ORTABLE) NPRDS | | | CAL | SE SY | YSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUFAC TURER | REPORTABLE TO NPRDS | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 1 | | 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 1 1 | | ***************** | |

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines)

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

ABSTRACT

YES III yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!

On March 6, 1989, during the performance of a local leak rate test (LLRT) on a containment penetration, while core alterations were in progress, test engineers identified a violation of secondary containment integrity. On March 4, 1989, during preparations for the LLRT, the Shift Supervisor (SS) revised the valve lineup for the LLRT to accommodate an existing system lineup and to shut valves to prevent secondary containment bypass leakage paths. The revised valve lineup, however, did not prevent a direct leakage path from the steam tunnel, inside the secondary containment, to the turbine building, outside the secondary containment. Therefore, Technical Specification 3.6.6.1, which requires secondary containment integrity, was violated. The cause of this event is attributed to the failure of the SS to accurately assess the impact that the existing system lineup had on the LLRT lineup and on plant conditions. Corrective actions include counselling the SS on the failure to review the LLRT lineup for direct leakage paths, briefing all operations shift supervisors on this event, training operations personnel on preventing secondary containment bypass and direct leakage paths, and performing an evaluation to determine if LLRT procedures should be revised to identify secondary containment boundaries.

8904190011 890406 PDR ADOCK 05000461 S PDC IE221/1

EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15) YEAR

| NR | C | Fo | rm | 34 | 56 | A |
|------|------|----|----|----|----|---|
| 10.1 | 2.72 | | | | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

| | EAPIRES: 8/31/86 | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER |
| Clinton Power Station | 0 5 0 0 0 4 6 | 1 8 9 - 0 1 4 - 0 0 0 2 OF 0 5 |

TEXT Iff more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On March 6, 1989, with core alterations in progress, a vent path from the steam tunnel, inside the secondary containment [BD], to the turbine building [NM], outside the secondary containment, was discovered. This placed the plant in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification 3.6.6.1 and is therefore reportable under the provisions of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). Technical Specification 3.6.6.1 requires secondary containment integrity in Modes 1 (POWER OPERATION), 2 (STARTUP), 3 (MOT SHUTDOWN), when irradiated fuel is being handled in secondary containment, and during core alterations and operations with the potential for draining the reactor vessel.

On January 13, 1989, feedwater (FW) system [SJ] drain valve [V] 1FW033 was danger tagged in the open position to establish a drain path so that work could be performed on a portion of the FW system located outside of secondary containment in the turbine building. Changing the position of a danger tagged valve is prohibited by plant procedures.

On March 4, 1989, the plant was in Mode 5 (REFUELING), at approximately eighty-five degrees Fahrenheit and atmospheric pressure, and the first refueling outage was in progress. Control rod venting, which requires control rod withdrawal, was being performed. Control rods were being withdrawn with the reactor pressure vessel [RPV] head removed and fuel in the vessel therefore, core alterations were in progress and secondary containment integrity was required.

On March 4, 1989, preparations began for a Local Leak Rate Test (LLRT) on the 'B' feedwater line containment penetration [PEN] 1MC-10. The boundaries of this LLRT, included a portion of the FW system which extends across the secondary containment boundary between the steam tunnel and the turbine building.

Prior to implementing the valve lineup for the LLRT, the Shift Supervisor (SS) reviewed the valve lineup to ensure that outage work had not created any secondary containment bypass leakage paths. As a result of this review, the SS identified the need to shut valves between the reactor pressure vessel feedwater inlet line and the FW, reactor water cleanup [CE], and residual heat removal [BO] systems so that no secondary containment bypass path would exist. The SS subsequently revised the LLRT valve lineup to require that these valves be shut.

The SS also revised the LLRT lineup to maintain valve 1FW033 in the open position to accommodate the valve lineup that was performed on January 13, 1989 in support of FW system work.

| | | | m | | |
|--|-----|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

| APPROV | ED OMB | NO. | 3150-01 | 04 |
|---------|---------|-----|---------|----|
| EXPIRES | 8/31/88 | | | |

| EXPIRES: 8/31/8 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | | | | |
| Clinton Power Station | 0 5 0 0 0 4 6 | 1 1 8 9 - 0 1 1 4 - 0 1 0 6 | 013 OF 015 | | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

On March 4, 1989, the revised LLRT valve lineup was implemented. Implementing this lineup opened a number of vent [VTV] and drain valves to provide a vent path for the LLRT. These valves included drain valves 1B21-F465B and 1B21-F466B.

At 0328 hours on March 6, 1989, test engineers performing the LLRT on penetration 1MC-10 realized that the revised LLRT valve lineup provided a direct leakage path from the steam tunnel, inside the secondary containment, to the turbine building, outside the secondary containment, through valves 1FW033, 1B21-F465B, and 1B21-F466B. Therefore, Technical Specification 3.6.6.1 was violated. The main control room was immediately notified and, in accordance with ACTION 'b' of Technical Specification 3.6.6.1, core alterations were suspended.

At 0354 hours, drain valves 1B21-F465B and 1B21-F466B were closed and secondary containment integrity was restored.

At 0455 hours, core alterations resumed.

No automatic or manually initiated safety system responses were necessary to place the plant in a safe and stable condition. No other equipment or components were inoperable at the start of this event such that their inoperable condition contributed to this event.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of this event is attributed to utility-licensed operator error. The SS failed to accurately assess the impact that the existing FW system lineup had on the LLRT lineup and on plant conditions.

The SS developed a false sense of security when he identified and eliminated the secondary containment bypass leakage paths and as a result, failed to recognize that a direct leakage path was created from secondary containment to the turbine building.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The Shift Supervisor involved in this event was counselled for the failure to review the LLRT lineup for direct leakage paths from secondary containment.

All Operations Shift Supervisors will be briefed on this event. This briefing is scheduled to be complete by April 13, 1989.

Appropriate Operations personnel will be trained on preventing secondary containment bypass and direct leakage paths during system work and testing. This training will be provided during operator requalification training for 1989 and is scheduled to be completed by December 31, 1989.

| 9-831 LICENSEE EVENT REF | PORT (LER) TEXT CONTIN | UATION | APPROVED (| U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 31500104 EXPIRES: 8/31/86 | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| ACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | 1 | LER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REVISION | | | | |
| Clinton Power Station | 0 5 0 0 0 4 6 1 | 8 9 - | -0114-010 | 0 4 0 0 0 5 | | | |

Illinois Power (IP) will evaluate the need for revising LLRT procedures to identify valves which could affect secondary containment integrity, to indicate secondary containment boundaries on LLRT system diagrams, and to change the boundaries of LLRTs where possible to avoid crossing secondary containment boundaries. This evaluation is scheduled to be completed by May 30, 1989.

ANALYSIS OF EVENT

This event is reportable under the provisions of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) due to operation prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

Secondary containment was inoperable and the plant was in a condition prohibited by Technical Specification 3.6.6.1 from sometime on March 4, 1989 until 0328 hours on March 6, 1989.

An assessment of the safety consequences and implications of this event indicates that the event is not considered to be safety significant for existing plant conditions or other plant modes or power levels. This determination is based on the following facts:

- Drain valves 1FW033, 1B21-F465B and 1B21-F466B are all three-quarter inch diameter valves. IP has determined that inleakage through a three-quarter inch diameter opening is approximately five cubic feet per minute (cfm) at 0.25 inches of vacuum water gauge.
- Review of the test results for the most recent drawdown test (performed in November, 1988) indicates that secondary containment inleakage was 1417 cfm at 0.25 inches of vacuum water gauge.
- In accordance with section 6.2 of the Updated Safety Analysis Report, the design maximum allowable inleakage for secondary containment is 1500 cfm at 0.25 inches of vacuum water gauge.
- During the period that the direct leakage path from secondary containment existed, secondary containment integrity was demonstrated in accordance with Surveillance Procedure 9000.01, Control Room Surveillance Log, as required by Technical Specification 4.6.6.1.a. This was accomplished by verifying at least once per twenty-four hours that the vacuum within the secondary containment was greater than or equal to 0.25 inches of vacuum water gauge.

No release of radioactive material to the outside environment occurred as a result of this event.

NRC Form 386A (9-83) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88 FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER Clinton Power Station 0 10 0 15 OF 0 15 0 |5 |0 |0 |0 |4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 1 4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386A's) (17)

. . . .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LER 88-016-00 documents a violation of secondary containment integrity caused by the failure of personnel to recognize the location and extent of the repair of a penetration seal.

For further information regarding this event, contact D. R. Morris, Director - Operations at (217) 935-8881, extension 3205.

ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY



CLINTON POWER STATION, P.O. BOX 678, CLINTON, ILLINOIS 61727

April 6, 1989 10CFR50.73

Docket No. 50-461

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Clinton Power Station - Unit 1

Licensee Event Report No. 89-014-00

Dear Sir:

Please find enclosed Licensee Event Report No. 89-014-00: Failure to Accurately Assess the Impact that an Existing System Lineup Had on a Local Leak Rate Test Lineup Results in the Violation of Secondary Containment Integrity. This report is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73.

Sincerely yours,

D. L. Holtzscher Acting Manager -

Licensing and Safety

RSF/krm

Enclosure

NRC Resident Office cc:

NRC Region III, Regional Administrator

INPO Records Center

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety NRC Clinton Licensing Project Manager