

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

July 29, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR: C. H. Weil, Investigation and Compliance Specialist FROM: P. M. Byron, Senior Resident Inspector, Fermi 2 SUBJECT: DECO SAFETEAM CONCERNS - INVESTIGATORS' RESPONSE

Enclosed are copies of SAFETEAM investigators' response to SAFETEAM concerns No. 665 and 673.

Please call if you have any questions.

P. M. Byron Senior Resident Inspector Fermi 2

Enclosures

cc w/enclosures: G. C. Wright .



8708260272 870819 PDR FOIA PUNTENN86-245 PDR

FERMI 2 PROJECT SAFETEAM

Date:

ISON:

To:

W.J. Kaczor Director, SAFETEAM

July 3, 1985 STG-85-812

From:

SAFETEAM Investigator

Subject: Response to SAFETEAM Review #665

The concern states: "The EF2 project is not maintaining around the clock sampling while decanting into Lake Erie, going beyond the thirty day time frame and continuing to decant into Lake Erie, and not taking immediate action and reporting their violation of the Tech Spec."

This concern was submitted on May 31, 1985. The principal point of the concern indicates that provisions of the Technical Specification 3.3.7.11 & Table 3.3.7.11-1, which limit discharges to Lake Erie to a thirty day period with the circ. water decant line monitor inoperable, appears to be violated. A review of the control room out-of-specification log and log sheets was compared to the discharge release permits for April and May of 1985. The out-of-specification documentation indicated that the decant monitor was found to be out of spec on three occasions. The three periods were April 24 through April 25, May 15 through May 27, and May 28 through June 1. Discharges were made during these periods when the monitor was declared inoperable, but manual samples were taken for all but two discharges. The unmonitored discharges were documented on Licensee Event Report (LER) #05000-341. The report contains the events which led to the unmonitored discharges and the corrective action implemented This action included replacement of the decant pump motor as documented on PN-21 #818515 and completed on June 8, 1985.

The data and response to this concern was gathered and presented with the assistance of the project Radiological Assessor. Per the Radiological Assessor, "Technically, the thirty day Tech Spec requirement has not been violated since the equipment had been returned to service three times during the total time interval of approximately thirty-six (36) days, the longest period of out of service was twelve days.

cc: Chron File File 665

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information

Act, exemptions 12 FOIA. 86.245

portino, 6

00665 DATE EMPLOYER RECEIVE ORGANIZATION/TITLE (5) JUN 0 3 1985 Non Manual DUTIES: SAFETEAM CONCERN: Concurse feels that EFI my iden molation for 1) not maintaining around decanting into Sabe Erie WITHOLD FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE 2) for going beyond the 30 day time shame & continuing to EI decente ento fake Ere " 3) not taking immediate action & reporting their violation of the feel Speed. COMMENT: COMPUTER CODE Sampling not montained who decanting intelake Frie 4002 30 day violation accurs of moulous not fiel & decenting continues. 2000 2 Violation intraporting problems associated with violating tech goes on deconting los 2 3 Information in this record was deleted Alconport it as in accordance with the Freedom of Information a_ 2.790 (d) mitered Act, exemptions, FOIA DO 143 Withold from public disclosions F assignt CLOSED DATE W9K614/85 Portino, 6

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions FOIA- X-J45

• • •

.

.

portino, 6

1

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions FOIA-

Porting

/18k

Date: July 10, 1985 STG-85-831

To:

W. J. Kaczor Director, SAFETEAM

From:

SAFETEAM Investigator

Subject: Response to SAFETEAM Interview No. 673

Concern No. 673-A states: "Employee concerned about threats made to him by another employee: Non personal threats regarding his work position."

>

The Nuclear Security Department conducted this investigation and their response is as follows. Security contacted the individuals involved and learned that they did not want to give any further information regarding this matter. They felt that further probing into this matter would cause more harm than good; they felt that dropping this matter would be in the best interest of all involved. Security was also advised that the problem inself was the personality conflict between the individuals.

cc: Chron File File 673

> Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions FOIA- SC-345

portions; 6

OK WIK

- 03673. DATE EMPLOYER \$ 3 yrd. EFI ORGANIZATION/TITLE 12 yrs related DUTIES: ____ Max Manual JUN 1 1 1985 SAFETEAM CONCERN: Employe Concerned a bout threats made to him by another employee & non personal threats regarding his work D References . information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions b 2.790 (d) MATERIAL FOIR SEDYS WITHOLD FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMENT: ept 4988 in authority making threats to succordination Alense Lister Le Jape # 4 - Security - theats mode by people in authinty sent security stamp Send to Security 6-12-85 CLOSED DATE Gl 6-13-85