AUG 21 1987

The Honorable Carl Levin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Levin:

v

Your letter of July 9, 1987 requested that NRC look into the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. NRC regulations require that the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question on emergency procedures in the event of a serious accident at the Fermi-2 nuclear power plant, the emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, Federal Emergency Management Agency, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions, such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

You mentioned the Chernobyl incident and questioned if it was really worth having nuclear power plants if so many people could perish in an emergency situation. With regard to Chernobyl, I am enclosing our publication, "Report on the Accident at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Station" to assist you in understanding that accident. As detailed in Chapter 8 of this report the deaths which ensued from this very severe accident were restricted to personnel who were on site during the course of the incident.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's RD-SAFETY concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely.

Original signed by Victor Stello -

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

8708260066 870821 PDR ADDCK 05000341 PDR

J. Blaha

P. Shea R. Ingram

D. Crutchfield

D. Mossburg(EDO #003032)w/cy incoming

PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming

SECY (1) Control #87-0853

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC & Local PDR

EDO #003032 w/cy incoming

EDO Rdg

V. Stello

T. Murley/J. Sniezek

R. Starostecki

F. Miralgia

J. Funches

J. Stefano

F. Congel

G. Holahan

OGC-Beth

GPA/CA (1)

See Previous Concurrence*

Tech Ed* AD: DRSP* LA/PD31:DRSP* PM/PD31:DRSP* D/PD31:DRSP* MVirgilio JStefano:1t AThomas GHolahan RIngram 7/29/87 7/24/87 7/24/87 7/27/87 7/24/87 D: DRSP* ADP* DDONRR* DONRR* DCrutchfield FMiraglia JSniezek TMurley 7/29/87 7/30/87 7/30/87 8/3/87

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Dear Senator Levin:

Your letter of July 9, 1987 requested that NRC the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. NRC regulations require that the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question of emergency procedures in the event of a serious accident at the Fermi-2 nuclear power plant, I should first point out that the circumstances surrounding the accident at Chernobyl in the Soviet Union are far different from those at nuclear power plants in the United States. The design of the Chermobyl nuclear reactor is substantially different from that of U.S. reactors; the administrative procedures used to control testing at Chernobyl were different from those required at U.S. plants; the regulatory oversight of U.S. plants by the NRC is different; and most important, U.S. reactors have a strong containment structure surrounding the reactor to prevent the immediate widespread release of radioactive material to the environment, as happened at Chernobyl. Finally, to add an additional layer of protection at U.S. plants, the NRC regulations require an emergency protection plan for the area within a radius of about 10 miles from each plant. We require that there be comprehensive plans in place, that trained personnel and facilities be available, and that the plans be demonstrated to be workable in regular exercises. Although it is highly unlikely that emergency actions would ever be required beyond 10 miles from the plant, as in the case of Ms. Kolet, we are confident that the same basic plans and procedures could be used to take emergency protective actions beyond 10 miles if that were thought necessary. We therefore believe that the possibility that "many people perish in an emergency situation", as Ms. Kolet states, is very unlikely.

The emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, Federal Emergency Management Agency, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions, such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local

authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

NRC & Local R EDO #003032 v EDO Rdg V. Stello T. Murley/J. R. Starosteck	v/cy incoming Sniezek	J. Funches J. Stefano F. Congel G. Holahan OGC-Beth GPA/CA (3)	PD31 D. CI P. SI R. II	Green Tic rutchfield hea ngram	00 #003032)w/ ket File w/i	
	Concurrence* * PM/PD31:DR JStefano:1 7/24/87		io ATI	ch Ed* homas 27/87	AD:DRSP* GHolahan 7/29/87	
D:DRSP* DCrutchfield 7/29/87	ADP* FMiraglia 7/30/87	DDONRR* JSniezek 7/30/87	DONRR* TMurley 8/3/87		GPA/CA 8/ /87	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

F. Miralgia J. Blaha

cy incoming ncoming

DISTRIBUTION Docket File

Dear Senator Levin:

Your letter of July 9, 1987 requested NRC assistance in responding to the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. We and the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question on emergency procedures in the event of a serious accident at the Fermi-2 nuclear power plant, I should first point out that the circumstances surrounding the accident at Chernobyl in the Soviet Union are far different from those at nuclear power plants in the United States. The design of the Chernobyl nuclear reactor is substantially different from that of U.S. reactors; the administrative procedures used to control testing at Chernobyl were different from those required at U.S. plants; the regulatory oversight of U.S. plants by the NRC is different; and, most important, U.S. reactors bave a large, strong containment structure surrounding the reactor to prevent the immediate widespread release of radioactive material to the environment, as happened at Chernobyl. Finally, to add an additional Nayer of protection at U.S. plants, the NRC regulations require an emergency protection plan for the area within a radius of about 10 miles from each plant. We require that there be comprehensive plans in place, that trained personnel and facilities be available, and that the plans be demonstrated to be workable in regular exercises. Although it is highly unlikely that emergency actions would ever be required beyond 10 miles from the plant, as in the case of Ms. Kolet, we are confident that the same basic plans and procedures could be used to take emergency protective actions beyond 10 miles if that were thought necessary.

The emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, Federal Emergency Management Agency, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions, such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective

actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION Docket File F. Miralgia J. Blaha NRC & Local PDR D. Mossburg(EDO #003032)w/cy incoming J. Funches EDO #003032 w/cy incoming J. Stefano PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming EDO Rdg F. Congel D. Crutchfield V. Stello G. Holahan P. Shea T. Murley/J. Sniezek OGC-Beth R. Ingram R. Starostecki GPA/CA (3) SECY (3) Control #87-0953

See Previous Concurrence* LA/PD31:DRSP* PM/PD31:DRSP* D/PD31:DRSP* Tech Ed* AD: DRSP* RIngram JStefano:1t MVirgilio AThomas GHolahan 7/24/87 7/24/87 7/24/87 7/27/87 7/29/87 AOP* D:DRSP* DDONRR* EDO GPA/CA Murley DCrutchfield FMiraglia JSniezek VStello 7/29/87 07/30/87 07/30/87 08/3/87 08/ /87 08/ /87 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Dear Senator Levin:

Your letter of July 9, 1987 requested NRC assistance in responding to the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. We and the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question on standard emergency procedures, the NRC regulations require a two-part protective action plan with one part addressing the zone within a radius of about 10 miles from the plant and one for the zone within a radius of about 50 miles. The emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, FEMA, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions, such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC & Local PDR EDO #003032 w/cy incoming EDO Rdg V. Stello T. Murley/J. Sniezek R. Starostecki	F. Miralgia J. Funches J. Stefano F. Congel G. Holahan OGC-Beth GPA/CA (3)	D. Mossburg(EDO #003032)w/cy incoming PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming D. Crutchfield P. Shea R. Ingram SECY (3) Control #87-0853
c		

See Previous LA/PD31:DRSP* RIngram 7/24/87			io A	ech Ed* Thomas /27/87	AD: DRSP* GHolahan 7/29/87
D:DRSP* DCrutchfield 7/29/87	ADRAMA FMiragina 12087	DOONRR JSWiezek 1/30/87	DONRR TMurley / /87	EDO VStello / /87	GPA/CA / /87

Dear Senator Levin:

Your letter of July 9, 1987, requested NRC assistance in responding to the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. We and the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question on standard emergency procedures, the NRC regulations require a two part protective action plan with one part addressing the zone within a radius of about 10 miles from the plant and one for the zone within a radius of about 50 miles. The emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, FEMA, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director of Operations

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC & Local PDR EDO #003032 w/cy incoming EDO Rdg V. Stello T. Murley/J. Sniezek R. Starostecki		F. Miralgia J. Funches J. Stefano F. Congel G. Holahan OGC-Beth GPA/CA (3)	D. Mo PD31 D. Cr P. St R. Ir	J. Blaha D. Mossburg(EDO #003032)w/cy incom PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming D. Crutchfield P. Shea R. Ingram SECY (3) Control #87-0853		
See Previous LA/PD31:DRSP* RIngram 7/24/87	PM/PD31:DR JStefano:1	t MVirgilio	A.T.h	ch Ed* nomas 27/87	AD: DRSP* GHolahan 7/29/87	
Derutchfield	ADP FMiraglia / /87		DONRR TMurley / /87	EDO VStello / /87	GPA/CA / /87	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Dear Senator Levin:

DISTRIBUTION

Your letter of July 9, 1987, requested NRC assistance in responding to the concerns expressed by Ms. Kolet in her letter to you relative to the safety of commercial nuclear power plants in the United States and emergency planning. This letter responds to your request.

It is a fundamental task of the NRC to ensure that existing reactors and those coming on line operate safely. The NRC's highest priority is to ensure the protection of the public health and safety. This is accomplished by vigilant oversight of the design, construction, and operation of nuclear power plants. We and the industry take extensive measures to prevent and mitigate potential accidents.

With respect to Ms. Kolet's question on standard emergency procedures, The NRC regulations require a two part protective action plan with one part addressing the zone within a radius of about 10 miles from the plant and one for the zone within a radius of about 50 miles. The emergency plan at Fermi-2, has been developed by Detroit Edison Company (DECo) and reviewed by NRC, FEMA, State and local authorities. Under this plan DECo would be responsible for: (1) classifying the emergency with respect to the potential risk to the public health and safety; (2) notifying offsite authorities; and (3) recommending any public protective actions such as sheltering or evacuation. State and local authorities would: (1) determine the proper protective actions; (2) alert and notify the general public within 15 minutes of a decision to recommend protective actions; and (3) assist the general public in carrying out the protective actions. These emergency plans are tested every year by DECo and every two years by the State and local authorities.

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Ste No, Jr. Executive Director of Operations

Docket File NRC & Local PDR EDO #003032 w/cy incoming EDO Rdg V. Stello T. Murley/J. Sniezek R. Starostecki		F. Miralgia J. Funches J. Stefano F. Congel G. Holahan OGC-Beth GPA/CA (3)	D. Mo PD31 D. C P. SI R. I	J. Blaha D. Mossburg(EDO #003032)w/cy incomin PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming D. Crutchfield P. Shea R. Ingram SECY (3) Control #87-0853		
	PM/PD31:DR JStefano:1	t MVirgili	o AT	ch Ed* homas 27/87	AD: DRSP MP/ GHolahan Jan 7/89/87	
D:DRSP DCrutchfield / /87	FMiraglia	DDONRR JSniezek / /87 OFFICIAL RECOR	/ /87	EDO VStello / /87		

I hope that this response will be helpful to you in addressing Ms. Kolet's concerns. Please let me know if the NRC can be of further assistance to you.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director of Operations

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC & Local PDR EDO #003032 w/cy incoming EDO Rdg V. Stello

T. Murley/J. Sniezek R. Starostecki

F. Miraglia J. Funches

J. Stefano

F. Congel

J. Blaha

D. Mossburg (EDO #003032) w/cy incoming PD31 Green Ticket File w/incoming

D. Crutchfield G. Holahan

OGC-Beth GPA/CA (3)

SECY (3) Control #87-0853

P. Shea R. Ingram

PM/PD31: DRSP LA/PD31: DRSP Ringram /- 1/24/87 JStefano: 1t 7/24/87

MON D/PD31:DRSP MVirgilio 7/24/87

Tech Edil fromthe 7/27/87

AD: DRSP GHolahan.

D: DRSP DCrutchfield FMiraglia / /87

ADP / /87

DDONRR JSniezek / /87

DONRR TMurley / /87

EDO VStello / /87

GPA/CA / /87

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555



EDO PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

FROM:

DUE: 08/04/87

EDO CONTE 003032

SEN. CARL LEVIN

DOC ::: 07/09/87

FINAL REPLY.

TO:

CA

FOR SIGNATURE OF:

** GREEN **

SECY NO: 87-0853

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DESC:

ROUTING:

ENCL LETTER FROM TRACY KOLET CONCERNING SAFFTY OF DAVIS, RIII NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS, SPECIFICALLY FERMI II

DATE: 07/20/87 ASSIGNED TO: NRR CONTACT: MURLEY

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

NRR RECEIVED: JULY 21, 1987

DRSP: CRUTCHFIELD Virgilia / Stefano NRR ROUTING: MURLEY/SNIEZEK STAROSTECKI MIRAGLIA

MOSSBURG

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

PAPER NUMBER: CRC-87-0853

LOGGING DATE: Jul 16 87

ACTION OFFICE:

OPA

AUTHOR:

C. Levin--Const Ref

AFFILIATION:

U.S. SENATE

LETTER DATE:

Jul 9 87 FILE CODE: I&P-2 BP

SUBJECT:

Express concern about nuclear power plants

ACTION:

Direct Reply

DISTRIBUTION: OCA to Ack

SPECIAL HANDLING: None

NOTES:

TraceyKolet

DATE DUE:

Jul 30 87

SIGNATURE:

AFFILIATION:

DATE SIGNED:

Rec'd Off. EDO

Date 7-20-87

Time 2:15p