4898

GAIL MCGREEVY HARMON

ELLYN R. WEISS

DIANE CURRAN DEAN R. TOUSLEY ANDREA C. FERSTER PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-443/444-02-1

HARMON & WEISS

2001 S STREET, N.W.

SUITE 430

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-1125

87 NOV 27 P12:30

OFFICE OF SEURE TRELEPHONE DOCKETING & SE2020 528-3500 BRANCH

November 23, 1987

Sheldon J. Wolfe, Esq.
Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke
Dr. Jerry Harbour
Administrative Judges
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Seabrook operating license case:

onsite emergency planning and technical issues

Dear Administrative Judges:

The Board's secretary has brought to my attention the fact that the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution's Motion for Extension of Hearing Schedule, dated November 30, was inadvertently captioned "Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board," rather than before the Licensing Board, as was intended. I am enclosing a corrected first page of the Motion, which now has the proper caption for the Licensing Board. I am also enclosing a copy of the service list, which the Board apparently did not receive.

Please accept my apologies for any inconvenience caused by this oversight.

Sincerely,

Diane Curran

cc: service list

8712010043 871127 PDR ADOCK 05000443 F PDR

DS03

November 23, 1987

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

Public Service Company of

New Hampshire, et al.

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL-1

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 & 2)

50-444 OL-1 ONSITE EMERGENCY PLANNING & TECHNICAL ISSUES

NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING SCHEDULE

By order dated October 16, 1987, the Licensing Board established a schedule for litigation of the two remanded contentions in the onsite emergency planning and technical phase of the Seabrook case (NECNP contentions IV and I.V.). Under the schedule, the time for discovery commenced on October 26, 1987, and will close on December 28. The Board also requested the parties to notify it, by December 7, 1987, whether they intend to file summary disposition motions on any of the remanded contentions.

The New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution ("NECNP") respectfully requests an extension of this hearing schedule.

Because of the demands posed by the complexities of the issues raised by these two contentions, as well as other obligations arising from both the onsite and offsite proceedings, the time allowed for discovery is not adequate to fully prepare for this litigation. During the entire first week of discovery on these contentions, NECNP was also required by the Appeal Board to brief