

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20656

October 20, 1987

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

APPLICANT:

Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric)

FACILITY:

Comanche Peak Steam Timecric Station Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY OF MEFTING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 1987 - CONDUIT SUPPORTS

GENERIC TECHNICAL ISSUES

On September 14, 1987 the NRC staff and its consultants met with representatives of TU Electric (Applicant) and their design contractors at the offices of Ebasco Services, Inc. in New York City, New York. The meeting notice and list of attendees are provided in Enclosure 1. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the resolutions to the generic technical issues related to the design of conduit supports (Trains A, B, and C greater than two inches) at the Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES).

The conduit support design issues discussed at the meeting were raised primarily during the Independent Assessment Program (Phase 4) conducted by CYGNA Energy Services in 1985. Other conduit support issues identified by sources external to the TU Electric organization including the intervenors, the CPRT third party, and the NRC staff were also discussed. The issue background and the approach to resolve the issues are documented in a report encitled, "Evaluation and Resolution of Generic Technical Issues for Conduits and Conduit Supports, "* Revision 2 dated March 1987. A total of 29 external source issues related to conduit supports were discussed in the meeting. In addition, 4 internally identified issues were addressed. The applicant discussed the overall corrective action program for conduit supports including the as-built walkdowns, design validation approach, and modification programs. Because of the different phases of construction between Unit 1 and Unit 2 when the conduit support corrective action program (CAP) was initiated, the approach used in the CAP for co. duit supports in Unit 1 varied from that of Unit 2, accordingly. The major difference between the Unit 1 and Unit 2 approach was that in Unit 1, an as- wilt validation program was conducted prior to design verification to confirm the conduit routing and support design as installed, whereas in Unit 2 (where conduit installation was in the early stages when the CAP was established) a fully engineered program was established (i.e., conduit routing and support designs were developed by EBASCO prior to installation). Other variations in the Unit 1 and Unit .' design criteria used in the design verification program were established to account for the difference in the types of conduit support designs existing in the respective units.

* The report was transmitted in a letter from W. Counsil to USNRC dated May 19, 1987 (TXX-6395).

8710300156 871020 PDR ADOCK 05000445 A PDR The slides presented by the applicant describing the conduit support design activities and the resolutions to the generic technical issues are provided as Enclosure 2 to this meeting summary. Following the presentation, the staff selected several reports of special studies and test programs (Enclosure 3) which provide the bases for the acceptance criteria used in the design verification activities for a more detailed review. Upon completion of its review, the staff will report its findings on the conduit support corrective action program and on the acceptability of the resolution to the generic technical issues in a safety evaluation.

David Terao, Mechanical Engineer Comanche Peak Froject Division Office of Special Projects

Gaird Derac

Enclosures:

 Meeting Notice List of Meeting Attendees

TU Electric Slides
 List of Documents

cc: See next page

Summary of 9/14/87 meeting

-445

The slides presented by the applicant describing the conduit support design activities and the resolutions to the generic technical issues are provided as Enclosure 2 to this meeting summary. Following the presentation, the staff selected several reports of special studies and test programs (Enclosure 3) which provide the bases for the acceptance criteria used in the design verification activities for a more detailed review. Upon completion of its review, the staff will report its findings on the conduit support corrective action program and on the acceptability of the resolution to the generic technical issues in a safety evaluation.

> David Terao, Mechanical Engineer Comanche Peak Project Division Office of Special Projects

Enclosures:

Meeting Notice List of Meeting Attendees

TU Electric Slides List of Documents 3.

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION Docket File

CPPD Reading NRC PDR Local PDR JKeppler/JAxelrad OSP Reading FMiraglia

AVietti-Cook MMalloy OGC-Bethesda EJordan JPartlow. ACRS (10)

DTerao JLyons RWarnick CPPD-LA

CGrimes

PMcKee

APPIAOSP DTerao:cm Malloy/AVietti-Cook JLyons 08/3/87

AU: OPPD: OSP 00/13/87

D:CPPB:OSP CGrames

W. G. Counsil Texas Utilities Electric Company

cc: Thomas G. Dignan, Jr. Ropes & Gray 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Robert A. Wooldridge, Esq.
Worsham, Forsythe, Sampels &
Wooldridge
2001 Bryan Tower, Suite 2500
Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Homer C. Schmidt Director of Nuclear Services Texas Utilities Electric Company Skyway Tower 400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81 Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Robert E. Ballard, Jr. Director of Projects Gibbs and Hill, Inc. 11 Penn Plaza New York, New York 10001

Mr. R. S. Howard Westinghouse Electric Corporation P. O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Renea Hicks, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Mrs. Juanita Ellis, President Citizens Association for Sound Energy 1426 South Polk Dallas, Texas 75224

Ms. Nancy H. Williams CYGNA Energy Services 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 390 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Units 1 and 2

Asst. Director for Inspec. Programs Comanche Peak Project Division U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 1029 Granbury, Texas 76048

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Lanny A. Sinkin Christic Institute 1324 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20002

Ms. Billie Pirner Garde, Esq. Government Accountability Project Midwest Office 104 East Wisconsin Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

David R. Pigott, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe 600 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94111

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. Suite 600 1401 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20005

Robert Jablon Bonnie S. Blair Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20005-4798

George A. Parker, Chairman Public Utility Committee Senior Citizens Alliance Of Tarrant County, Inc. 6048 Wonder Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76133 cc: Joseph F. Fulbright Fulbright & Jaworski 1301 McKinney Street Houston, Texas 77010

Mr. Donald R. Woodlan
Texas Utilities Electric Company
Skyway Tower
400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Jack Redding c/o Bethesda Licensing Texas Utilities Electric Company 3 Metro Center, Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

William A. Burchette, Esq.
Counsel for Tex-La Electric Cooperative
of Texas
Heron, Burchette, Ruckert & Rothwell
Suite 700
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20007

James M. McGaughy GDS Associates, Inc. Suite 450 2525 Cumberland Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Administrative Judge Peter Bloch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Elizabeth B. Johnson Administrative Judge Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box X, Building 3500 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom 1107 West Knapp Stillwater, Oklahoma 74075

Dr. Walter H. Jordan Administrative Judge 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

ENCLOSURE 1

Meeting Notice
List of Attendees