## ROPOSED RULE PR 50,55 (53FR 527/6)

'89 MAR 17 P4:00

L.CHETT

DOCAL MARK BOBERT W. JEFFRIES 14 KAWTHORN BURT ESTATES BURT ESTATES COAL CITY, IL 60416

The Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to comment on proposed rule changes to 10CFR Parts 50 and 55; Education and Experience Requirements for Senior Reactor Operators and Supervisors at Nuclear Power Plants.

It is my opinion that the alternatives proposed will not further ensure the protection of the public nor will it enhance the capability of the operating staff to respond to accidents or restore the reactor to a safe and stable condition. Neither alternative should be enacted as rule.

My greatest objection to both alternatives is that they would evencualy reduce to nothing the number of Reactor Operators (ROs) advancing to Senior Operator (SOs). Contrary to the times stated in the notice, it typicaly would take an RO 7 to 8 years to obtain a BS degree while continuing to work on a rotating shift as an RO. Few people find themselves in a position to make this type of commitment for that amount of time. The net result would be SOs obtained by hiring and training degreed individuals with little operating experience, reducing the operating experience level of SOs. This will block career advancement at the RO level. This career stagnation at the RO level will make it more difficult to find motivated people to fill both Auxiliary Operator (AO) and RO positions.

Both alternatives to the proposed rule change provide no enhancement of reactor safety. Both alternatives will reduce the experience level of SOs. Both alternatives will cause career stagnation and animosity among ROs and AOs. For these reasons neither alternative should be enacted as rule.

Adurall-SRO WITH NO OGGRUE

DSID

8903230398 890317 PDR PR PDR 50 53FR52716