Jctober 14, 1987

D 1 1 11 11

Docket No. 50-483

LI

FA

SU

DISCRIDUCION:	
Docket File	EJordan
NRC & Local PDRS	JPart1ow
PDIII-3 r/f	TBarnhart
GHolahan	Wanda Jones
PKreutzer	EButcher
DWigginton	ACRS (10)
KPerkins	GPA/PA
OGC-Bethesda	ARM/LEMB
DHagan	Grev File
ON ELECTRIC REGARDING THE	
Y FOR CYCLE 3	
	Distribution: Docket File NRC & Local PDRS PDIII-3 r/f GHolahan PKreutzer DWigginton KPerkins OGC-Bethesda DHagan ON ELECTRIC REGARDING THE Y FOR CYCLE 3

On October 6, 1987, the licensee and representatives from Westinghouse met with the staff to discuss the transition core departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR) penalty for Cycle 3 operation at Callaway. Participants at the meeting are listed in Enclosure 1. The licensee's letter documenting their findings is provided in Enclosure 2. Nonproprietary slides used during the meeting are in Enclosure 3. The proprietary slides used during the meeting are being withheld from public disclosure under 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commissions regulations.

The licensee stated that as a result of a generic assessment which affects the magnitude of the Vantage 5 DNBR transition core penalty, an evaluation was performed for Callaway Cycle 3 which indicated the need for a small (1.5 percent) increase to the DNBR transition core penalty. However, the licensee stated that the small increase continues to be offset by the margin which is maintained between the design and safety limit DNBR's, and that the safety evaluation and significant hazards evaluation conclusions provided in the original reload submittal (dated March 31, 1987) remain valid.

The staff indicated that this new information will be included in the review of the reload application. The staff did not identify any outstanding issues at the meeting.

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures: 1. Participants 2. Licensee's letter 3. Non proprietary slides (proprietary slides withheld - 2.790)

cc: See next page

Office:	LA/PDII	I	+ 2	1
Surname:	PKreutz	e	r	
Date:	10/ /	8	7	

PM/PDI11-3 TATEXV0h/r1 10/14/87

PD/PDIII-3 DWigginton 10/14/87

(8710220294-XA). record corr



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

...

October 14, 1987

Docket No. 50-483

when separated from enclosures.

LICENSEE: Union Electric

FACILITY: Callaway Plant

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH UNION ELECTRIC REGARDING THE TRANSITION CORE DNBR PENALTY FOR CYCLE 3

On October 6, 1987, the licensee and representatives from Westinghouse met with the staff to discuss the transition core departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR) penalty for Cycle 3 operation at Callaway. Participants at the meeting are listed in Enclosure 1. The licensee's letter documenting their findings is provided in Enclosure 2. Nonproprietary slides used during the meeting are in Enclosure 3. The proprietary slides used during the meeting are being withheld from public disclosure under 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commissions regulations.

The licensee stated that as a result of a generic assessment which affects the magnitude of the Vantage 5 DNBR transition core penalty, an evaluation was performed for Callaway Cycle 3 which indicated the need for a small (1.5 percent) increase to the DNBR transition core penalty. However, the licensee stated that the small increase continues to be offset by the margin which is maintained between the design and safety limit DNBR's, and that the safety evaluation and significant hazards evaluation conclusions provided in the original reload submittal (dated March 31, 1987) remain valid.

The staff indicated that this new information will be included in the review of the reload application. The staff did not identify any outstanding issues at the meeting.

Thomas W. Alejin

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects

- Enclosures:
- 1. Participants
- 2. Licensee's letter
- Non proprietary slides (proprietary slides withheld - 2.790)

cc: See next page

Enclosure(s) contain(s) 10 CFR 2.750 MATERIAL. Decontrolled when separated from enclosures. Mr. D. F. Schnell Union Electric Company

\* \*

cc: Dr. J. O. Cermack CFA Inc. 4 Professional Dr., Suite 110 Gaithersburg, MD 20879

Gerald Charnoff, Esq. Thomas A. Baxter, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20037

Mr. T. P. Sharkey Supervisor, Compliance Union Electric Company Post Office Box 620 Fulton, Missouri 65251

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspectors Office RR#1 Steedman, Missouri 65077

Mr. Alan C. Passwater, Manager Licensing and Fuels Union Electric Company Post Office Box 149 St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Manager - Electric Department Missouri Public Service Commission 301 W. High Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Regional Administrator U. S. NRC, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Deputy Director Department of Natural Resources P. O. Box 176 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Callaway Plant Unit No. 1

Mr. Bart D. Withers
President and Chief
Executive Officer
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating
Corporation
F. O. Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Mr. Dan I. Bolef, President Kay Drey, Representative Board of Directors Coalition for the Environment St. Louis Region 6267 Delmar Boulevard University City, Missouri 63130

### TRANSITION CORE DNBR PENALTY MEETING OCTOBER 6, 1987

### ATTENDANCE LIST

### NAME

× .

- T. Alexion M. Hodges
- L. Phillips
- W. Brooks
- F. Orr
- A. Passwater
- W. Bobnar
- K. Connelly
- P. Loftus
- K. Mc Atea
- E. Novendstern

### ORGANIZATION

NRC/PD III-3 NRC/SRXB NRC/SRXB NRC/SRXB NRC/PDI-4 UE/Licensing & Fuels UE/Licensing & Fuels UE/Licensing & Fuels W/ Nuclear Safety W/Thermal-Hydraulic Design W/Thermal-Hydraulic Design

Enclosure 2



1901 Gratiot Street, St. Louis

Donald F. Schnell Vice President

October 6, 1987

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

ULNRC-1643

#### DOCKET NUMBER 50-483 CALLAWAY PLANT REVISED VANTAGE 5 TRANSITION CORE EFFECTS FOR CYCLE 3

. .. 1

References: 1

 ULNRC 1470 dated March 31, 1987
 Westinghouse Letter NS-NRC-87-3268 dated October 2, 1987 from W. J. Johnson to M. W. Bodges

Reference 1 transmitted the Reload License Amendment Application for Callaway Plant using Westinghouse 17 x 17 VANTAGE 5 fuel assemblies. As part of the application, Union Electric referenced WCAP-10444-P-A, "Westinghouse Reference Core Report, VANTAGE 5 Fuel Assembly" as the approved methodology for assessing the transition to VANTAGE 5 fuel.

Westinghouse has recently completed an assessment which affects the magnitude of the VANTAGE 5 DNB transition core penalty. The results of this generic assessment were provided to NRC via Reference 2. An evaluation has been performed for Callaway Cycle 3 which demonstrates the safaty evaluation conclusions presented in Reference 1 remain valid, but indicates the need for a small (1.5 percent) correction to the magnitude of the DNB transition core penalty. The small increase in the magnitude of the DNB transition core penalty continues to be offset by the margin which is maintained between the design and safety limit DNBRs made available due to the improved DNB performance of the IFM grids described in WCAP-10444. The VANTAGE 5 DNB transition core penalty increases from 11 percent to 12.5 percent and the DNB margin decreases from 5.5 percent to 4 percent. The revised transition core penalty and revised DNB margin are provided in the enclosed replacement pages to Reference 1.

8710090206 UPP.

Melling Address: P.O. Box 149, St. Louis, MO 63166

. 288

The results of the Significant Hazards Evaluation transmitted by Reference 1 remain unchanged. If there are any questions with respect to this subject, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

Donald F. Schnell

DS/kea

ULNRC-1470, dated March 31, 1987, Replacement Pages
1) Attachment 1, Safety Evaluation, Page 17
2) Attachment 2, Technical Specification Changes,
 Bases page B3/4.2-4 Attachments:

3) Attachment 3, References, page 40

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) S S CITY OF ST. LOUIS )

1

Donald F. Schnell, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon oath says that he is Vice President-Nuclear and an officer of Union Electric Company; that he has read the foregoing document and knows the content thereof; that he has executed the same for and on behalf of said company with full power and authority to do so; and that the facts therein stated are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

BY F. Donald Schne

Vice President Nuclear

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this lord day of October , 1987.

BARBARA J. PFAR

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MISSOURI MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 22, 1889 ET. LOUIS COUNTY.

200 DN

120

cc: Gerald Charnoff, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

. .

Dr. J. O. Cermak CFA, Inc. 4 Professional Drive (Suite 110) Gaithersburg, MD 20879

W. L. Forney Chief, Reactor Project Branch 1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Bruce Little Callaway Resident Office U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission RR\$1 Steedman, Missouri 65077

Tom Alexion (2) Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 316 7920 Norfolk Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014

Ron Kucera, Deputy Director Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Manager, Electric Department Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MC 65102

100 ON

COO

#### ATTACHMENT 1

follows. A fraction of the margin is utilized to accommodate the transition core DNBR penalty (2% for DFA fuel and 12.5% for VANTAGE 5 fuel) and the appropriate fuel rod bow DNBR penalty, Reference 11, which is less than 1.5%. The existing 7% margin in the OFA fuel and 18% margin in the VANTAGE 5 fuel between the design and safety analysis DNBR limits also includes a greater than 3% DNBR margin in the OFA fuel and 4% DNBR margin in the VANTAGE 5 fuel reserved for flexibility in the design. The VANTAGE 5 transition core penalty is discussed in References 27 and 28.

The LOPAR, and OFA, and VANTAGE 5 designs have been shown to be hydraulically compatible in Reference 1.

The phenomenon of fuel rod bowing, as described in Reference 11, must be accounted for in the DNBR safety analysis of Condition I and Condition II events for each plant application. Applicable generic credits for margin resulting from retained conservatism in the evaluation of DNBR and/or margin obtained from measured plant operating parameters (such as  $F_{AH}^N$  or core flow) which are more restrictive than those required by the plant safety analysis, can be used to offset the effect of rod bow. The safety analysis for the Callaway Plant maintains sufficient margin between the safety analysis limit DNBRs and the design limit DNBRs to accommodate full-flow and low-flow DNBR penalties.

The transition core DNB methodology given in References 2 and 17 has been approved by the NRC via Reference 18. Using this methodology, transition cores are analyzed as if they were full cores of one assembly type (full OFA or full VANTAGE 5), applying the applicable transition core penalties of two percent for OFA fuel and eleven percent for VANTAGE 5 fuel. The safety analyses for the Callaway Plant maintains sufficient margin between the safety analysis limit DNBRs and the design limit DNBRs to accommodate the transition core DNBR penalty and the appropriate rod bow DNBR penalty.

The fuel temperatures for use in safety analysis calculations for the VANTAGE 5 fuel are the same as those used for the OFA fuel. Westinghouse uses the PAD fuel performance code, Reference 6, to perform both design and licensing calculations. When the code is used to calculate fuel temperatures to be used as initial conditions in safety analyses, a conservative thermal safety model, Reference 7, is used.

ULNRC-1643 Attachment 2

and R. R. R. L. Low Hall all and apply the former and the second second

BASES

----

# 3/4.2.2 and 3/4.2.3 HEAT FLUX NOT CHANNEL FACTOR AND NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE

Each of these is measurable but will normally only be determined periodically as specified in Specifications 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. This periodic surveillance is sufficient to ensure that the limits are maintained provided:

- a. Control rods in a single group move together with no individual roi insertion differing by more than + 12 steps, indicated, from the group demand position.
- b. Control rod banks are sequenced with overlapping groups as described in Separitiention 3.1.3.6.
- c. The gentrol rod insertion limits of Specification 3.1.3.6 are maintained.
  - d. The axial power distribution, expressed in terms of AXIAL FLUX DEFFERENCE, is maintained within the limits.

Figure changes in the radial power shape for all permissible rod insertion limits.

Mhen an Fo measurement is taken, an allowance for both experimental error and manufacturing tolerance must be made. An allowance of \$% is appropriate for a full-core map taken with the incore detector flux mapping system and a 3% allowance is appropriate for manufacturing tolerance.

When FM, is measured, (i.e., inferred), no additional allowances are mecassary prior to comparison with the limits of Section 3.2.3. An error allowance of 4% has morn included in the limits of Section 3.2.3.

12.570

Margin between the safety shalysis DNBR limits (1.42 and 1.45 for the Optimized fuel thimble and typical cells, respectively, and 1.61 and 1.69 for the WARTARE 5 thimble and typical cells) and the design DNBR limits (1.32 and 1.34 for the Optimized fuel thimble and typical cells and 1.32 and 1.33 for the VARTARE 5 thimble and typical cells, respectively) is waintained. A fraction of this margin is utilized to accommodate the transition core DNBR penalty (25 for Optimized fuel Himble 5 fuel) and the appropriate fuel rod bow DNBR penalty (loss that 1.55 per MCAP-8691, Rev. 1). The margin between design and safety and with this 1 imits of 75 for Optimized fuel and 185 for VARTARE 5 fuel includes greater than 35 mergin for Optimized fuel and 185 for 4% mergin for VARTAGE 5 fuel for plant design flexibility.

The hot channel factor  $F_0(z)$  is measured periodically and increased by a cycle and meight dependent power factor appropriate to either Hermal Operation or RESTRICTES AND OPERATION,  $M(z)_{MO}$  or  $W(z)_{RAFDO}$ , to provide assurance that the limit on the hot channel factor,  $F_0(z)$ , is Met.  $W(z)_{MO}$  accounts for the effects

CALLAMAY - UNIT 1

Amendment No. 75.

200 DN

55:01 28/90/01

### ATTACHMENT 3

- 22. Lee, N., Rupprecht, S. D., Schwartz, W. R., Tauche, W. D., "Westinghouse Small Break ECCS Evaluation Model Using the NOTRUMP Code," WCAP-10054-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-10081-A (Non-Proprietary) August 1985.
- 23. Esposito, V. J., Kesavan, K., and Maul, B. J.; "W-FLASH-A Fortran-IV Computer Program for Simulation of Transients in a Multi-Loop PWR," WCAP-8200 (Proprietary), July 1973.
- 24. Meyer, P. E., "NOTRUMP, A Nodal Transient Small Break and General Network Code," WCAP-10079-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-10080-A (Non-Proprietary), August 1985.
- Letter C. E. Rossi (NRC) to E. P. Rahe, Jr. (W), "Acceptance for Referencing of Licensing Topical Report WCAP-10266," November 1986.
- Letter W. Johnson (W) to J. Lyons (NRC), "Submittal of WCAP-10266 Addendum 1, BASH Power Shape Sensitivity Studies," January 1987.
- 27. Letter from W. J. Johnson (Westinghouse) to M. W. Hodges (NRC) dated October 2, 1987, NS-NRC-87-3268, Subject: "VANTAGE 5 Transition Core Effects".
- 28. ULNRC 1643 dated October 6, 1987, "Revised Vantage 5 Transition Core Effects for Cycle 3".

Enclosure 3

(N)

à

CRE

### CALLAWAY CYCLE 3 LICENSING MEETING

### NRC/UNION ELECTRIC/WESTINGHOUSE OCTOBER 6, 1987

Ι.	IN	TRODUCTION			-	UNION	ELECTRIC	
	0	BACKGROUND	AND PURPOSI	E OF	THE MEE	TING		
11.	CAL	LLAWAY LICEN SCHEDULE O	SING ACTIVI VERVIEW	TIES	STATUS -	UNION	ELECTRI	~ ~
Π.	VA	NTAGE 5 DNB	TRANSITION	CORE	EFFECTS			
	O BACKGROUND (INCLUDING GENERAL INFORMATION/							
		LICENSING	STATUS)		-	WESTI	NGHOUSE	
	0	THERMAL &	HYDRAULIC					
		CONSIDERAT	IONS		-	WESTIN	NGHOUSE	
	0	LICENSING	CONSIDERATI	ONS	85	WESTI	NGHOUSE	
IV.	CAI	LLAWAY CYCLE	3 LICENSIN	G APP	ROACH/			

ISSUE RESOLUTION - UNION ELECTRIC

AN

V. DISCUSSION/WRAP-UP

5

<u>}</u>},

63%

## CONCLUSION

EFFECT OF SMALL INCREASE IN THE VANTAGE 5 TRANSITION CORE PENALTY DOES NOT ALTER ANY CONCLUSIONS REPORTED IN BOTH THE CALLAWAY LICENSING SUBMITTAL AND WCAP-10444.

.