Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364

Mr. R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President Alabama Power Company Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400

Dear Mr. McDonald:

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File E. Jordan NRC & Local PDRs J. Partlow

PD21 r/f ACRS (10)

S. Varga G. Johnson G. Lainas ARM/LFMB E. Adensam GPA/PA

P. Anderson T. Barnhart (4)
E. Reeves (Z) T. Murley/
OGC-B J. Sniezek

F. Miraglia

Subject: Relief from ASME Section XI Volumetric Examination Requirements

for Steam Generator Nozzle Inside Radiused Sections -

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (TACS 64435/64436)

By letter dated January 13, 1987, you requested additional relief from the volumetric examination requirements of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components. The specific relief requested is from the volumetric examination of the steam generator primary side nozzle inside radiused sections (Item No. B3.2, Category B-D).

We previously granted certain reliefs for Unit 1 and 2 requirements, which you requested pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g) in our letters dated December 7, 1979, August 24, 1983, February 10, and March 30, 1984 (one-time relief), January 10, and December 27, 1985, June 19, and November 17, 1986. This request, also pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g), is for relief from ASME Code Section XI, as noted above. The enclosed Safety Evaluation delineates the relief, which we hereby grant to allow use of an alternate examination.

The staff has determined that where stated the ASME Code requirements are impractical, the alternative methods to be performed will provide adequate assurance of the structural integrity of the components evaluated, and pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i) the granting of this relief is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. In making this determination, we have given due consideration of the burden that could result if these requirements were imposed on your facility.

The request for relief complies with the standards and regulationa of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules

*Prievipusty concurred

PAnderson

6/11/87

*PM: P021: DRPR

EReeves/dsf

*OGC

RFonner 6/29/87

2/1/187 *D:PD21:DRPR

EAdensam 7/01/87

Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364

Mr. R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President Alabama Power Company Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400

Dear Mr. McDonald:

DISTRIBUTION:

OGC-B

Docket File E. Jordan NRC & Local PDRs J. Partlow

PD21 r/f ACRS (10)

G. Johnson S. Varga G. Lainas ARM/LFMB E. Adensam GPA/RA

P. Anderson T. Barnhart (4) E. Reeves(Z) T. Murley/ J. Sniezek

F. Miraglia

Subject: Relief from ASME Section XI Volumetric Examination Requirements

for Steam Generator Nozzle Inside Radiused Sections -

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (TACS 64435/64436)

By letter dated January 13, 1987, you requested additional relief from the volumetric examination requirements of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components. The specific relief requested is from the volumetric examination of the steam generator primary side nozzle inside radiused sections (Item No. B3.2, Category B-D).

We previously granted certain reliefs for Unit 1 and 2 requirements, which you requested pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g) in our letters dated December 7, 1979, August 24, 1983, February 10, and March 30, 1984 (one-time relief), January 10, and December 27, 1985, June 19, and November 17, 1986. This request, also pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g), is for relief from ASME Code Section XI, as noted above. The enclosed Safety Evaluation delineates the relief, which we hereby grant to allow use of an alternate examination.

The staff has determined that where stated the ASME Code requirements are impractical, the alternative methods to be performed will provide adequate assurance of the structural integrity of the components evaluated, and pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i) the granting of this relief is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. In making this determination, we have given due consideration of the burden that could result if these requirements were imposed on your facility.

The request for relief complies with the standards and regulationa of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation for granting of the relief is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Elinor G. Adensam, Director Project Directorate II-1

Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Elina H. adensam

Enclosure: As stated

cc: w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. R. P. McDonald Alabama Power Company

cc: Mr. W. O. Whitt Executive Vice President Alabama Power Company Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400

Mr. Louis B. Long, General Manager Southern Company Services, Inc. Post Office Box 2625 Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Chairman Houston County Commission Dothan, Alabama 36301

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Robert A. Buettner, Esquire
Balch, Bingham, Baker, Hawthorne,
Williams and Ward
Post Office Box 306
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 24 - Route 2 Columbia, Alabama 36319 Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant

D. Biard MacGuineas, Esquire Volpe, Boskey and Lyons 918 16th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Charles R. Lowman Alabama Electric Corporation Post Office Box 550 Andalusia, Alabama 36420

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Claude Earl Fox, M.D. State Health Officer State Department of Public Health State Office Building Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Mr. J. D. Woodard General Manager - Nuclear Plant Post Office Box 470 Ashford, Alabama 36312