74. 1- 1 wastan

File

April 8, 1963

John F. Newell, Chief, Site-Environmental Branch, Division of Licensing & Regulation

50-205

TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH MR. STRINGER CONCERNING BODEGA BAY PROJECT

Reference: Memorandum to the files this subject, dated April 5, 1963

At 10:45 this morning I called Mr. Stringer of the Fish and Wildlife Service concerning the Bodega Bay Project and obtained the following information:

- Mr. Stringer received preliminary comments from Dr. Rice by telephone this morning, and relayed them to me. My interpretation of Mr. Stringer's remarks concerning Dr. Rice's comments are:
  - (s) Dr. Rice did not feel that the PGSE statement that the concentration of radiosctivity in the liquid effluent would be within Fart 20 requirements was an adequate basis "for reaching a very firm conclusion" concerning the merine aspects of the proposed plant.

The PG&E Hazards Summary Report did not indicate the amounts of the specific nuclides that would be released to the ocean from the plant, and Dr. Rice felt this was an important consideration because of the differences in uptake and reconcentration by various marine organisms of the various nuclides.

- (I presume this comment is based on the potentiality of releasing routinely a large number of curies of all nuclides over a long period of time in the 250,000 gpm condenser cooling water, although Hr. Stringer did not know if this was what Dr. Rice had in mind).
- (b) Dr. Rice apparently believes that the ocean water used for conderser cooling will be subject to neutron exposure and thus become activated. This apparent misconception might account for some of his "lack of firm conclusions" expressed in (a) above.

File - 2 -April 8, 1963 (c) Dr. Rice has some questions concerning the details of the two year study for gathering background data that PGAE has proposed. (d) Dr. Rice's preliminary comments represent his preliminary technical appreisal, but do not represent Department of Interior policy. 2. Fire arrangements were made to meet with Dr. Rice at 2:00 PM on Wednesday April 10 at 1717 H Street to discuss his comments on the project, and for his attendance at the ACRS meeting. According to the above comments as expressed by Mr. Stringer it appears that Dr. Rice may not be completely informed concerning the character of the radioactive liquid effluents that this type' of reactor plant would normally produce, nor of the requirements of the AEC for control over the release of these effluents. cc: R. Lowenstein E. G. Case R. H. Bryan T. Conner, OGC