I have noted my concurrence for OELD on the proposed letter to SDG&E regarding the above matter. Concurrence was, however, subject to the following observations:

1. The  $\underline{cc}$  list on the proposed letter is incomplete and inaccurate. What I consider as an updated and corrected version of the list is attached. OELD concurrence is subject to use of the attached revised cc listing.

Several comments are in order regarding the enclosive to the letter (summary of remaining Environmental Review Milestones).

- A. The July 28, 1978 date shown as the beginning date for hearings on environmental and site suitability issues is approximately some 30 days after the proposed issuance date for the FES. However, July 28, 1978 is a Friday. Thus, Monday, July 31, 1978, would be a more accurate and realistic date to use in this context.
- B. The date of December 1, 1978 for issuance of a PID by the ASLB is, I believe, overly optimistic since it allows only approximately 60 days for all parties to (1) file proposed findings, etc. and (2) for the Board to consider and write the PID. Since, for example, the CERCDC has proposed some 50 contentions, I question whether this time period provided in your enclosure is sufficient. On the other hand, I have no basis at this time for giving you a different estimate. My comments in 2A and B are, thus, merely set forth lest silence on my part be construed as acquiescence at this time. Accordingly, you need not change the dates in question on the enclosure in order to obtain OELD concurrence.

If you have any questions or comments, let me know.

Bernard M. Bordenick Attorney, OELD

cc (w/o enclosure):

W. Regan

W. Paton

R. Hoefling

M. Staenberg

E. Licitra