

P.O. BOX 270 HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06141-0270 (203) 665-5000

September 21, 1987

Docket No. 50-336 A06675

Re: PWR Erosion-Corrosion

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2 PWR Erosion - Corrosion Questionnaire

In a letter dated July 16, 1987, (1) the NRC Staff requested, in the form of a questionnaire, specific information regarding water chemistry data and plant-specific design details for Millstone Unit No. 2. This data is to be accumulated by selected utilities and forwarded to the Staff for inclusion into a comprehensive data collection on erosion/corrosion control in nuclear power plants. It was acknowledged in Reference (1) that the information requested was quite extensive and would require diligent efforts to assure accurate and timely completion of the questionnaire.

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO), in an effort to support the concerns of both the NRC Staff and the nuclear industry, is providing in this submittal the majority of the information requested. NNECO believes that positive and affirmative actions have been taken at Millstone Unit No. 2 to enhance the safety of plant personnel associated with balance of plant piping susceptible to erosion/corrosion wear. It should be noted that NNECO submitted an unsolicited letter dated June 10, 1987, (2) one month before Bulletin 87-01 was issued, regarding our inspection program plans. In our response to Bulletin 87-01 dated September 11, 1987, (3) NNECO provided comprehensive details of our past inspection programs and future plans to incorporate the NUMARC working group initiative into our current inspection programs.

⁽¹⁾ D. H. Jaffe Letter to E. J. Mroczka "Millstone Nuclear Station, Unit 2 - Water Chemistry," dated July 16, 1987.

⁽²⁾ E. J. Mroczka letter to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Piping Inspection Program to Detect Erosion/Corrosion Wear," dated June 10, 1987.

⁽³⁾ E. J. Mroczka letter to W. T. Russell, "Response to IE Bulletin 87-01," dated September 11, 1987.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission A06675/Page 2 September 21, 1987

NNECO believes that with our past and current inspection programs, future programmatic initiatives, and information submitted to date and herein, NNECO demonstrates a clear commitment toward addressing erosion/corrosion wear in secondary plant system piping. Consequently, we believe that adequate information has been provided to the NRC Staff.

If the information in this submittal is not sufficient to satisfy NRC Staff needs, please contact us to discuss this matter further.

Very truly yours,

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY

E. J. Mroczka

Senior Vice President

By: C. F. Sears
Vice President

Attachment

cc: W. T. Russell, Region I Administrator

D. H. Jaffe, NRC Project Manager, Millstone Unit No. 2

T. Rebelowski, Resident Inspector, Millstone Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Attachment

Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2 PWR Erosion-Corrosion Questionnaire

PWR EROSION-CORROSION QUESTIONNAIRE (Check or Circle All Applicable)



Please attach copies of the heat balance diagrams for your actual full load and typical low load.

C. FEEDWATER AND CONDENSA	TE CHEMISTRY
1. Please complete the at	tached Table.
2. Feedwater chemistry hi	story (average or typical values, final feedwater):
VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VII	OPERATING CYCLE (See Table 1 and 2) **XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Year of oper.: - XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	. 1 . 2 . 3 . 4 . 5 · 6 · 7 · 8 ·
of FW maximum	Neminal . 9.20
minimum	Nominal . 9 80
average	· 8.83 · 8.77 · 8.88 · 9.03 · 8.96 · 8.89 · 8.80 · 8.89
pH of condensate	
maximum	Similar to Feedwater Valves
minimum	
average	
보고 보통하다 보통 보다를 보고 있다. 이 보고 보고 있는데 이 전 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있다. 그리고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있다면 보고 있다. 그리고 있는데 보고 있다. 그리고 있다.	*************
average	3.4 3.7 5.3 4.0 2.4 2.3 3.2 0.8
Cat. Cond. uS/cm	·Typical Range · O O6-O · 2MS
Spec. Cond. uS/cm	.Typical Range. 2.0-3.0MS - Varies with PH
NH3, ppb	.Typical Range, 200-300 ppb - Varies with Pt
N2H4. ppb Avg	. 21.1 · 8.9 · 11.5 · 17.9 · 27.1 · 18.9 · 12.0 · 21.0 · N/A
Boron, ppb	· N/A · N/A · N/A · N/A · N/A · N/A ·
SCFM	. \(\le 10 \) \(\le 10 \) \(\le 10 \) \(\le 5 \) \
Please send any water chem	stry summary reports and data.
	200
3. Chemical additions	
3.1 Ammonia: typica	concentration in feedwater .300 ppb; added at Polisher outlet
3.2 Hydrazine: typic	cal concentration in feedwater 49.9 ppb; added at Polisher putlet
3.3 Boric acid: typ	ical concentration in feedwater .NA ppb as B;
adde	ed atN.A
D. MATERIALS	
	. 10/ 0- 0
1. Feedwater piping - li	st ASTM or other specification numbers .4:106.Gr.C
2. Wet steam piping: . A-	-106B/Some Cr Mo
3. Attach results of che	mical analysis by you or pipe vendors.

Table 1

MILLSTONE 2

OPERATING HISTORY

CYCLE NUMBER 1	DECEMBER 1975 - NOVEMBER 1977
CYCLE NUMBER 2	APRIL 1978 - MARCH 1979
CYCLE NUMBER 3	MAY 1979 - AUGUST 1980
CYCLE NUMBER 4	OCTOBER 1980 - NOVEMBER 1981
CYCLE NUMBER 5	MARCH 1982 - MAY 1983
CYCLE NUMBER 6	JANUARY 1984 - FEBRUARY 1985
CYCLE NUMBER 7	JULY 1985 - SEPTEMBER 1986
CYCLE NUMBER 8	DECEMBER 1986 - PRESENT

TARLE 2

SUPPRARY OF COMBRESATE AND PERBHATER CHEMISTRY AT >15 PERCENT POWER

81.16.7		CONDENSATE OF	1.4		OFFCKE mah	anh.	KLAH	PERDMATER DRAZINK D	40		a a	1
NUMBER	AWG	HAX	MIN	AWC	MAX	MIM	AVC	MAX	MIM	AWC	MAX	MIN
-	13.5	100	1.0	3.4	80	0.5	21.1	100	0.5	8.83	9.80	7.20
2 2	10.3	68	2.0	3.7	27	1.0	8.9	20	1.0	8.77	9.10	8.05
3	11.5	75	2.0	5.3	30	1.0	11.5	7.5	2.0	8.88	9.35	7.90
	7.2	44	1.0	6.0	35	1.0	17.9	72	3.0	9.03	9.47	8.55
2	8.7	30	3.0	2.4	14	1.0	27.1	96	1.0	8.96	9.42	8.00
9	5.9	44	1.7	2.3	220	0.5	18.9	180	0.5	8.89	3.92	7.12
,	5.9	10	1.0	3.2	10	1.0	12.0	24	1.0	8.80	3.40	6.86

H81 SEP 24 A 10: 12

10 m