

UMTRA PROJECT TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD

DATE 6/22/87 TIME 1¹⁵ ☐ AM ☒ PM DOC. NO. 4005-LKV-T-01-01784-00
☒ TO ☐ FROM Ted Johnson RECORDED BY GRT
AFFILIATION NRC PHONE NO. 301-427-4490
LOCATION Silver Springs, Md.
SUBJECT Riprap

① Ted has completed a preliminary (rough) review of our report, an Evaluation of Stockpiled Riprap. The ^{first} ~~only~~ problem he sees so far is with the changed spec. He doesn't feel it would adequately restrict other rock which might be used, rock which is not in the current ~~spec~~ stockpile. I presented arguments for why the proposed spec., along with the oversizing and overthickening of layers, ^{would be} adequate, even if another source were used. Ted said he would discuss NRC's position w/ the ~~the~~ Denver office & get back to me w/in the week. (I had mentioned the meeting w/ Oregon, scheduled for 6/29.)
The 2nd problem is that the frequency & extent of "DOE Construction Period Audits" is not spelled out. I told him that Berg Kachian had mentioned there is a check on what is

DISTRIBUTION

UMTRA PROJECT

MKE
ENG & DES MNGR
DEP E&D MNGR
PROJ QA MNGR
CHIEF ESCI
GRT SITE DE ☒
PROJ SECTY
CHRON ☒
TJ ☒

MK-F PROJ DIRECTOR QUALITY MNGR

actually placed. [DOE should inform NRC of the ~~the~~ schedule & scope of these audits.]

(2.) Ted mentioned that they ~~were~~^{are} very concerned about quality control of riprap during construction after having the shale pieces of riprap @ Canonsburg, not discovered until recently.