#7 Central UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 AIIC 0 1 10041 Mr. Albert R. Chernoff, Project Manager Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Office U.S. Department of Energy Albuquerque Operations Office P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87115 Dear Mr. Chernoff: SUBJECT: CO-LOCATION OF NATURITA, COLORADO, SITE'S RESIDUAL RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL TO URAVAN. COLORADO Thank you for your letter of July 1, 1994, advising the Nuclear Regulatory Commission that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Office is considering the feasibility of colocating the Naturita, Colorado, site's residual radioactive material to the Umetco Minerals Corporation facility at Uravan, Colorado. Based on the information provided, the NRC will suspend any further review of the Naturita Remedial Action Plan (RAP) related to the Dry Flats disposal cell option until a final decision on the disposal site is made. As you know, the State of Colorado, as an Agreement State under the Atomic Energy Act, has the authority to regulate radioactive material within that state. Therefore, if DOE chooses the Uravan option, the NRC's authority will be to ensure that the remediation and restoration of the Naturita processing site meets the appropriate standards promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Although the NRC would not review information relative to any design and construction for the Naturita tailings at the Uravan site, the staff believes that any outstanding issues related to 'hat site should be resolved prior to the finalization of any RAP utilizing the Uravan option. Specifically, the staff is concerned about the resolution of issues raised in a DOE draft technical report on the Uravan site and presented at a DOE/NRC meeting of January 12, 1993. As previously stated at the January 12 meeting and in our letter of June 29, 1993 (D. Gillen, NRC, to A. Chernoff, DOE), we recommend that DOE finalize the draft report and provide some documentation that previous technical issues raised, regarding the Uravan site, are resolved. Carlein 9910290169 991027 PDR FOIA MIDDLET99-336 PDR

#7 Central UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 All: n 1 10041 Mr. Albert R. Chernoff, Project Manager Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Office U.S. Department of Energy Albuquerque Operations Office P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87115 Dear Mr. Chernoff: SUBJECT: CO-LOCATION OF NATURITA, COLORADO, SITE'S RESIDUAL RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL TO URAVAN, COLORADO Thank you for your letter of July 1, 1994, advising the Nuclear Regulatory Commission that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Uranium Mill Tallings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Office is considering the feasibility of colocating the Naturita, Colorado, site's residual radioactive material to the Umetco Minerals Corporation facility at Uravan, Colorado. Based on the information provided, the NRC will suspend any further review of the Naturita Remedial Action Plan (RAP) related to the Dry Flats disposal cell option until a final decision on the disposal site is made. As you know, the State of Colorado, as an Agreement State under the Atomic Energy Act, has the authority to regulate radioactive material within that state. Therefore, if DOE chooses the Uravan option, the NRC's authority will be to ensure that the remediation and restoration of the Naturita processing site meets the appropriate standards promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Although the NRC would not review information relative to any design and construction for the Naturita tailings at the Uravan site, the staff believes that any outstanding issues related to that site should be resolved prior to the finalization of any RAP utilizing the Uravan option. Specifically, the staff is concerned about the resolution of issues raised in a DOE draft technical report on the Uravan site and presented at a DOE/NRC meeting of January 12, 1993. As previously stated at the January 12 meeting and in our letter of June 29, 1993 (D. Gillen, NRC, to A. Chernoff, DOE), we recommend that DOE finalize the draft report and provide some documentation that previous technical issues raised, regarding the Uravan site, are resolved. Carleij 9910290169 991027 FOIA MIDDLET99-336 PDR

lf you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Ms. Charlotte Abrams of my staff at (301) 415-5808.

Sincerely,

Joseph J. Holonich, Chief High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch Division of Waste Management Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

CC: C. Smythe, DOŁ Alb
D. Bierley, TAC Alb
W. Woodworth, DOE Alb
J. Deckler, CDH

DISTRIBUTION:

CHURA

Central File DWM r/f
JAustin JSurmeier
NMSS r/f HLUR r/f
PDR Qn-Site reps

MKnapp JGreeves
JHolonich MFederline
LSS LPDR

MBell DSollenberger, OSP ACNW

Mark Small Boxes in Concurrence Block to Define Distribution Copy Preference. In small Box on "OFC: " line enter: C = Cover E = Cover & Enclosure N = No Copy

OFC	HLUR OLV	C	HLUR ON CO	<b>OTHER PROPERTY</b>	HLUR \	10			
HAME	CAbrana Pebw		DG111en		JHO1 ONY CA		MATERIAL SALES CONTRACTOR OF THE SALES CONTRACTOR OF T		
DATE	07/27/94	н	07/28/94	H	07/23/94				
Path	& File Name:	5:10	MM\HLUR\CEA\	VATLE	0	FFICT	AL RECOR	COPY	AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

In small Box on "DATE:" line enter: M = E-Mail Distribution Copy H = Hard Copy
Delete file after distribution: Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_