	NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (4-95)						APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 80.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK. TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING RURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT REPORTATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT PROPERTIES.							
EIGERGEE EVERT REPORT (EER)							LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND F BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BUILD ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BHANCH 6 F331, U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, 2055-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-010 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.							
	(:			for required octers for ea		f			OTTION O	e managemen	AND BUDGET, Y	r Kanino	UN. DU 20803.	
ACILITY NAME (1)						DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)								
Haddam Neck							E E	050002	13	1 of 4				
Non-Q	A Cal	culation	on l	Used to S	Support	Contro	Roor	n Hab	itabil	ity Desig	gn			
EVENT DATE	(6)		166	R NUMBER (6	67	BERO	RT DATE	(7)		OTHER	EACH ITIES	1011011	(ED (D)	
MONTH DAY	YEAR	YEAR	-	QUENTIAL	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY		FACILITIES	S INVOLVED (8)		
				NUMBER				1 60-111					05000	
09 18	97	97	T	003 -	01	09	05	97	FACILITY	Y NAME		DOCKE	T NUMBER	***
	-							-					0500	
OPERATING MODE (9)	N	THIS RE	PORT	T IS SUBMIT	TED PURSU	ANT TO TH	HE REQU	IREMEN	TS OF 1	10 CFR 5: (Check one or	more)	(11)	
MODE (9)		20.	2201	(b)		20.2203(- Internation			50.73(a)(2)(i)	5	0.73(a)(2)(vi	ii)
POWER	000	20.	2203	(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)	5	0.73(a)(2)(x)	
LEVEL (10)	EVEL (10) 20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)	73.71				
		-	DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE	3(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203	a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTHER		
			-	3(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)	Specif	y in Abstract IRC Form 366	belov
		20.	2203	3(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)	Or let is	inc ruini add	_
			-		LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER (12)					
COMPLE CAUSE SYSTE	ETE O	NE LI	NE F	FOR EACHURACTURER		PONEN	T FAI	constraint and some		CRIBED	(860)26 IN THIS MANUFACT	REPO		BLE
	SUPF	PLEMENT	AL R	EPORT EXPE	CTED (14)			1	EXPEC	TED	MONTH		DAY	YE.
YES (If yes, comple		-				No		SUBMISSION						

HNP status have been performed and consisted of reviewing ISAP issues associated with the defueled condition to determine the adequacy and formality of other calculations. In addition, a new accident analyses for the defueled

9709160260 970905 PDR ADDCK 05000213 PDR

condition has been performed.

(4-95)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)					
Haddam Neck	05000213	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER		
		97		003	**	01	2 0	of

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

By NRC order effective July 7, 1981, NUREG-0737 Item III.D.3.4, "Control Room Habitability", required Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (CYAPCO) to perform the necessary analyses and modifications to meet the requirements of Criterion 19, "Control Room", of Appendix a, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants", to 10CFR50. Criterion 19 requires licensees to assure that control room operators will be adequately protected against the effects of accidental release of radioactive gases and that the nuclear power plant can be safely operated or shut down under design basis accident (DBA) conditions. Release of such gases could potentially result in the control room becoming uninhabitable, preventing control room operators from performing actions required to mitigate the consequences of a design basis accident.

In a letter dated July 1, 1981, CYAPCO agreed to install a filtered ventilation system in the control room of the Haddam Neck Plant (HNP) to resolve the control room habitability issue. The NRC accepted the commitment in a subsequent letter and accompanying safety evaluation. In 1986 CYAPCO requested the NRC staff to include this issue in the Integrated Safety Assessment Program (ISAP) as the modification had not yet been installed and the expected safety benefit was low. The NRC staff agreed and the issue was reevaluated in ISAP. The evaluation concluded that the safety benefit was low and CYAPCO requested that the commitment be dropped. The NRC agreed in a letter dated March 8, 1993 to drop the commitment and continue reliance on self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) for control room habitability. The NRC added a condition to the approval that the control room habitability would need to be reevaluated when pending NRC generic guidance was issued. This generic letter was never issued, although new dose calculation computer models were released in December 1996.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On September 18, 1996, with the plant in Mode 5 (cold shutdown), it was determined, during a design and licensing bases reconstitution effort, that formal QA calculations to support the use of self-contained breathing apparatus to ensure control room habitability, during and after a design basis accident, did not exist. This event was initially determined to be not reportable. On February 7, 1997, at approximately 1245 hours, with the plant defueled, this condition was reevaluated and determined to be reportable. Without such calculations, continued habitability of the control room could not be assured, resulting in a condition that alone should have prevented control room operators from performing actions necessary to mitigate the consequences of an accident.

An informal assessment of control room habitability during and after a DBA was performed as part of the HNP ISAP. The assessment relied upon unverified input assumptions and was not independently reviewed or approved. The assessment concluded that, with the use of SCBA, control room habitability could be assured

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(4-95)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
Haddam Neck	05000213	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER				
		97		003		01	3	of	4	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

and that doses received by the control room operators would remain under the limit of Criterion 19 of 10CFR50, Appendix A. Since 1986, habitability of the HNP control room has been based on the results of the informal assessment requiring the use of SCBA. Without verification of the input assumptions, independent review and approval, the conclusion of the informal assessment cannot be considered valid.

The delay between the discovery date and the report dates is attributed to failure to recognize this condition as reportable when first discovered and failure of the corrective action program to assure timely resolution.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause was a programmatic deficiency in that a formal QA calculation should have been performed in 1981 when the decision was made to postpone installation of a filtered ventilation system for the control room. In 1986, this unresolved issue became part of the Integrated Safety Assessment Program (ISAP) which did not require formal QA calculations. The ISAP process should also have triggered a change to the plant design basis when issues required by regulation or order were evaluated and scheduled via this process.

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

This condition is reportable under 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (v) (D) as any event or condition that alone could have prevented mitigation of the consequences of an accident. A 10CFR 50.72 (b) (2) (iii) (D) report was made on February 7, 1997 at 1258 hours.

The safety consequences of this event are considered to have been minimal during past operation. While formal calculations do not exist, the use of SCBA would have provided some level of protection to control room operators and could be expected to allow necessary operator actions to be performed.

CYAPCO has decided to cease power operation of the HNP and all fuel has been permanently removed from the reactor vessel. New accident analysis for the defueled condition have been performed as part of the decommissioning process to assess control room habitability requirements.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

A review of ISAP items associated with the defueled condition was performed. The results of this effort indicate that no other ISAP calculations affect the permanently defueled status of HNP. A new tracking system for corrective actions has been implemented to assure that corrective actions are responded to in a timely manner. Also a new accident analyses assessing control room habitability requirements for the defueled condition has been performed. The analysis demonstrates that no protective actions such as control room operators donning SCBA equipment in the control room during a design basis accident is necessary.

NRC FORM 366A

(4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)						1)
Haddam Neck	05000213	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER				
		97	+ +	003		01	4 0	of	4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The calculational bases and results of the accident analysis are available from the licensee.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

NONE